

## Comprehensive Annual Financial Report

For Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2011

Irvine Ranch Water District Irvine, California

#### **Board of Directors**

Steven E. LaMar, President Mary Aileen Matheis, Vice President Douglas J. Reinhart Peer A. Swan John B. Withers

Paul A. Cook, General Manager

#### Prepared by:

Irvine Ranch Water District Finance Department This page intentionally left blank.

## Table of Contents

	Introductory Section		Financial Section
1	Letter of Transmittal	24	Independent Auditors' Report
2	Profile of Irvine Ranch Water District	26	Management's Discussion and Analysis
2	- Overview	34	Basic Financial Statements
3	– Our People	34	<ul> <li>Statement of Net Assets</li> </ul>
3	- Our Services	36	<ul> <li>Statement of Revenues, Expenses and</li> </ul>
3	Drinking or "Potable" Water System		Changes in Net Assets
3	Recycled Water System	37	<ul> <li>Statement of Cash Flows</li> </ul>
4	Wastewater Collection and Treatment	39	<ul> <li>Notes to the Basic Financial Statements</li> </ul>
	System	81	Supplementary Information
4	Urban Runoff and Source Control Treatment System	82	<ul> <li>Combining Schedule of Net Assets –</li> <li>Water Subfunds</li> </ul>
5	- Our Financial Plan	8.6	- Combining Schedule of Net Assets -
	- Our User Rates & Charges	00	Sewer Subfunds
	Factors Affecting Financial Condition		Sewer Subrunds
	- Local Economy		Statistical Section
	- Financial Planning & Budgeting		Financial Trends Information
9	Short-Term	03	- Net Assets
9	Long-Term	94	
	<ul> <li>Cash Management Policies and Practices</li> </ul>	/4	Revenue Capacity
	<ul> <li>Debt Management Policies and Practices</li> </ul>	95	- Water Sold by Type of Customer
13	- Risk Management	96	
	Major Initiatives	97	
14	- Water Supply Reliability	98	
14	Groundwater Program	99	
14	Well 21 and 22 Treatment Project	100	0
14	Water Banking	100	Debt Capacity Information
15	Baker Treatment Plant	102	<ul> <li>Assessed Valuation and Estimated Actual</li> </ul>
15	Syphon Recycled Water Seasonal		Value of Taxable Property
	Storage Facility	103	<ul> <li>Direct and Overlapping Rates Property Tax</li> </ul>
16	- Expanded Wastewater Treatment Options		Rates
. –	and System Reliability		- Direct and Overlapping Debt
	- Community Education and Outreach	105	
	- Water Conservation Program Implementation	106	
	Awards and Acknowledgments	107	0
20 21	List of Principal Officials Organizational Chart by Function	108	<ul> <li>Outstanding General Obligation Debt by Improvement District</li> </ul>
22	GFOA Certificate of Achievement for Excellence in Financial Reporting	110	<ul> <li>Ratios of General Obligation Debt to Assessed Values</li> </ul>
	Zitechene in 1 maneur reporting	118	<ul> <li>Ratios of Annual Debt Service Expenditures to Total General Expenditures</li> </ul>
		119	<ul> <li>Debt Service Coverage</li> </ul>
		117	Demographic and Economic Information
		121	- Principal employers
		122	
			Operating Information
		123	<ul> <li>Operating Indicators – Water and Sewer Service Connections</li> </ul>
		124	- Operating Indicators - New Service

125 - Operating Indicators - Average Monthly Usage
 126 - Capital Asset Statistics

127 – Full-Time Employees

This page intentionally left blank.





December 8, 2011

The Board of Directors, Irvine Ranch Water District:

Management of the Irvine Ranch Water District (IRWD or the District) has prepared a Comprehensive Annual Financial Report of IRWD for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2011. This document, which contains a complete set of basic financial statements, is presented in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) and audited in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards by a firm of licensed certified public accountants. State law requires that all special-purpose local governments publish these basic financial statements within six months of the close of the agency's fiscal year.

This report contains management's representations concerning the finances of the District. Management assumes full responsibility for the completeness and reliability of the information contained in this report. To provide a reasonable, rather than absolute, basis for making these representations, IRWD management has established a comprehensive framework of internal controls. These controls are designed to protect the District's assets from loss, theft, or misuse, and to ensure sufficiently reliable information for the preparation of the District's basic financial statements in conformity with GAAP. The District's internal controls have been designed to provide appropriate assurance that the basic financial statements will be free from material misstatement. As management, we assert that this financial report is complete and reliable in all material respects.

The District's basic financial statements have been audited by Mayer Hoffman McCann, P.C., a firm of licensed certified public accountants. The goal of the independent audit was to provide reasonable assurance that the basic financial statements of the District for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2011 were free of material misstatement. The independent audit involved examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the basic financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. The independent auditor rendered an unqualified opinion that the District's basic financial statements for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2011 are fairly presented in conformity with GAAP. The independent auditor's report is presented as the first component of the financial section of this report.

A profile of the District is presented in this Introductory Section. In the Financial Section, Management's Discussion and Analysis (MD&A) immediately follows the independent auditor's report and provides an overview and analysis of the basic financial statements. This letter of transmittal and introduction is designed to complement the MD&A and should be read in conjunction with it.

## Profile of Irvine Ranch Water District

#### Overview

Irvine Ranch Water District was established in 1961 as a California Water District under the provisions of the California Water Code. As a special district, IRWD focuses on four primary services - providing potable water, collecting and treating wastewater, producing and distributing recycled and other non-potable water, and implementing urban runoff source control and treatment programs.

The District serves a 179 square mile area, which includes all of the City of Irvine and portions of the cities of Tustin, Newport Beach, Costa Mesa, Orange and Lake Forest, as well as certain unincorporated areas of Orange County, including those canyon areas added to the District as part of the District's consolidation with Santiago County Water District in 2006 and the Orange Park Acres area added to the District as part of its acquisition of Orange Park Acres Mutual Water Company in 2008. Extending from the Pacific Coast to the top of the foothills of East Orange County, the District's region is semi-arid with a mild climate and an average annual rainfall of approximately 12 inches. The total estimated population served is 330,000 through approximately 98,000 water and over 93,000 sewer service connections. The number of service connections has increased by 21% over the last ten years. Daytime population surges to approximately 550,000, reflecting the District's strong employment base.



The District provides its core services to its customers by focusing on the following areas:

- Operational Reliability having multiple sources of water supply and various wastewater treatment alternatives to ensure reliable services.
- Organizational Strength having professional staff work in close collaboration with the Board of Directors to exceed the expectations of our customers.
- Long-Term Financial Planning ensuring sufficient funds are available to construct, operate, and replace facilities, while maintaining competitive rates now and in the future.

#### Our People

IRWD is an independent public agency governed by a five-member, publicly elected Board of Directors. The members of the Board each have varied professional backgrounds which, coupled with an average tenure for the Board members of approximately 18 years, has resulted in effective governance. The District has consistently been on the leading edge of new initiatives in resource management such as water recycling, urban runoff management and water conservation, and in financial management by implementing practices such as variable rate debt financing and long-term infrastructure replacement program development and funding.

The District employs 311 professional staff members who are responsible for daily operations and implementing short and long-term strategic objectives set forth by the Board. The District actively promotes the training and education of employees to increase effectiveness and retention. The average tenure of the employees is approximately 13 years. An aggressive succession planning program at all levels of the organization has been developed to ensure long-term workforce stability.

The Board and District employees take an active role in state and regional trade associations including the Association of California Water Agencies, the California Association of Sewer Agencies, and the California Special District Association. The District actively monitors and works to influence legislation and regulatory actions potentially affecting IRWD's operations and strategic planning. The Board of Directors frequently takes active positions on relevant pending legislation.

#### **Our Services**

The District is functionally organized into four core service areas:

#### Drinking or "Potable" Water System

For many years, the District received virtually all of its drinking water from imported sources. To minimize its dependency on imported water, the District in 1979 began to develop a series of local wells known as the Dyer Road Wellfield that pump high quality groundwater from the Orange County Groundwater Basin. In addition, the District operates wells in the Lake Forest area, which is outside of the current main groundwater basin boundaries. By FY 2010-11, the largest component of the District's water supply was local groundwater, which accounted for 45% of the total supply. Groundwater typically is less expensive and more reliable because it does not have to be transported over hundreds of miles into Southern California and subsequently treated.

The District purchased 32% of its total water supply in FY 2010-11 from the Metropolitan Water District, the region's wholesale water supplier. This water is imported from the

Colorado River, which is transported 242 miles through deserts and over mountain ranges to Southern California, and from the Delta, which is pumped approximately 400 miles from Northern California.


#### Recycled Water System

The District's philosophy is that water is too valuable to be used just once, and that by treating wastewater to provide water for irrigation and industrial purposes, the District reduces its reliance on the more expensive imported water. Wastewater from the community is collected and treated to State Health Department standards at the Michelson Water Recycling Plant and the Los Alisos Water Recycling Plant, which have the combined capacity to produce over 20 million gallons of recycled water per day. Once treated, all of the recycled water is used in the system, which in FY 2010-11 accounted for approximately 23% of the District's total

water supply. Approximately 80% of all business and community landscaping (parks, school grounds, golf courses, street medians, etc.) in the District's service area is irrigated with recycled water. The District also provides recycled water for various industrial and commercial uses. IRWD's ultimate goal is to have recycled water as 25% of its total water supply. This increase in recycled water supply will also provide a substantial portion of the water needed for future growth and redevelopment.

The District operates a number of wells and reservoirs that produce and collect local water for non-potable uses, including Irvine Lake, a 25,000 acre-feet reservoir which receives stream flow (native water) coming from the Santiago Creek watershed. This water is used primarily in the foothills area of the District for agricultural and other irrigation purposes, and supplements the recycled water system during peak demand periods. In addition, the District has 5,000 acre feet of recycled water storage capacity in the San Joaquin, Rattlesnake and Sand Canyon Reservoirs and is currently evaluating additional recycled water storage projects.

#### Water Sources, in Acre Feet FY 2010-11



## Wastewater Collection and Treatment System

The District has an extensive network of gravity sewers, force mains, wastewater lift stations, and siphons that convey wastewater to two owned treatment plants. In FY 2010-11, the District treated approximately 85.2% of its wastewater, while the bulk of the remainder of the wastewater collected by the District is diverted to the Orange County Sanitation District treatment facilities. In the future, expansion of the District's wastewater treatment capabilities will serve the growing population within the District, as well as provide additional treatment capacity for the existing District. This expansion is discussed in more detail in the Major Initiatives section of this document.

## Urban Runoff Source Control and Treatment System

IRWD is statutorily authorized to control and treat urban runoff, and conducts various projects and programs as part of an effort to protect the quality of water within the San Diego Creek watershed. In the early 1990s, the District voluntarily reconstructed wetlands at the San Joaquin Marsh and Wildlife Sanctuary where natural biological processes remove a substantial amount of the pollutant load from San Diego Creek before it reaches environmentally sensitive Upper Newport Bay. In light of the success of this project, the District obtained special legislation allowing it to add urban runoff treatment to its services, and will partially finance, construct and operate a regional urban runoff treatment project known as the Natural Treatment System. The Natural Treatment System consists of 12 wetlands treatment sites located throughout the District's service area with three more currently under construction. The District has various mechanisms in place to fund the operation of this system, and is continuing to work with federal and state legislators to procure additional funding to offset capital expenditures.

#### Our Financial Plan

The District's operating budget for FY 2010-11 was \$108.1 million. The approved FY 2011-12 budget decreased by 0.61% to \$107.5 million.


In addition to the operating budget, the District has a capital budget based upon new and replacement infrastructure needs. A \$116.2 million capital budget for FY 2011-12 was adopted by the Board, although the many of the capital budget projects extend beyond just one fiscal year. The District's capital program includes more than 500 active and planned projects with expenditures totaling more than \$600 million over the next 20 years.


As shown by the Operating Budget chart, nearly all of the District's operating revenue comes from urban uses: residential, commercial/industrial, landscape irrigation customers, while agricultureal uses account for a minor amount.

#### Our User Rates & Charges

The District separates the cost of constructing water and sewer infrastructure from the cost of daily operations and maintenance. The majority of infrastructure costs are financed with general obligation bonds, which are repaid through a combination of property taxes and connection fees. Operation and maintenance costs are funded by monthly user rates and charges.

The District allocates capital costs throughout its service area through the use of water and sewer improvement districts, for which general obligation bond authorization is obtained and used as needed to fund capital projects. Ad valorem property tax rates are set annually by the District, as are connection fees to be paid by property developers and landowners. The District's policy is to allocate the cost of infrastructure evenly between the developer/landowners and the ultimate property owners who benefit from the water and sewer infrastructure.







#### Water Rates

The District's rate structure for water use is separated into a commodity charge component and a service charge component. The commodity charge reflects the melded cost of the District's water supplies while the service charges fund the remaining fixed operational expenses of the District. For FY 2010-11, the District's water service charge was \$8.00 per month for an average residential customer. This monthly service charge also includes a user enhancement component of \$0.30 per month, which is intended to fund the capital costs to update existing infrastructure.

The commodity charge is a five-tiered rate structure based on an allocation of water, that promotes conservation. A basic use allocation is established for each customer account that provides a reasonable amount of water for the customer's needs and property characteristics, giving consideration to factors such as number of occupants, type or classification of use, size of lot or irrigated area, and evapotranspiration rate for the billing period, and other consistently applied criteria. Water customers in the first two tiers pay rates that are the lowest in Orange County; approximately 85% of the District's customers keep their water usage in the first two tiers. The chart below illustrates the five-tier structure.

#### Sewer Rates

The District's sewer rates are also the lowest in Orange County with a monthly service charge of \$16.65 in FY 2010-11 for a typical residential customer that covers collection, treatment and disposal of wastewater. This monthly service charge also includes a user replacement component of \$4.00 per month, which is intended to fund the capital costs to replace and refurbish the existing system. This component is projected to grow annually. In addition, this monthly service charge includes a user enhancement component of \$0.30 per month, which is intended to fund the capital costs to upgrade the existing infrastructure.

#### Historic Rate Trends


The chart on the next page reflects the annual "base rate" charge for an average customer's water and sewer service through FY 2010-11. The District raised rates in each of the last twelve years due largely to increased costs for wholesale supplies and services for both water and sewer.

FY 2010-11 Residential Rate Structure – Potable Water (Commodity Charge)

Tier	Percent of Estimated Customer Need	Cost per ccf*				
Low Volume	0 – 40%	\$0.91				
Base Rate	41 – 100%	\$1.21				
Inefficient	101 - 150%	\$2.50				
Excessive	151 – 200%	\$4.32				
Wasteful	201% +	\$9.48				
*One ccf (100 cubic feet) = 748 gallons						



#### **Monthly Residential Customer Charges**



## The District has completed five consolidations over the last twelve years -

Santa Ana Heights Mutual Water Company in 1997, Carpenter Irrigation District in 2000, Los Alisos Water District in 2001, Santiago County Water District in 2006, and most recently, Orange Park Acres Mutual Water Company in June 2008, resulting in an approximately 20% increase to the District's customer base. The District's most recent consolidation with Orange Park Acres Mutual Water Company added over 500 customers in one of Orange County's more affluent areas.

The integrated services at the District allow for reduced overhead and administrative costs and lower rates and charges to the customers of the consolidated District. When considering consolidation requests, the District looks for increased efficiencies through economies of scale and mutual benefits from combined expertise and resources.

Districts consolidating with IRWD buy-in to the District's existing infrastructure and receive the benefit of lower rates, enhanced reliability and increased services.





#### Factors Affecting Financial Condition

The information presented in the Financial Section is perhaps best understood in the context of the economic environment in which the District operates, which is discussed below.

#### Local Economy

Orange County is the second most populous county in California with over 3.1 million residents and a varied economy in which no single industry is considered dominant. With a location central to Orange County, the District's service area is the home to numerous corporate headquarters such as Taco Bell Corporation, Allergan Inc., Oakley and Broadcom Corporation. The District is also home to various educational institutions, including University of California Irvine, Concordia University, two community colleges, and other colleges and universities with satellite campuses.

During FY 2010-11, the District continued to expand its operating facilities to accommodate more than 1,920 new service connections constructed within District boundaries. There remains a sizable portion of land to be developed, including the Northern Sphere of Irvine, East Orange and property from two de-commissioned military bases.

The assessed value of land in the District's service area has more than quadrupled in the last decade from \$10.6 billion in 2000 to more than \$35.0 billion in 2011, indicating local economic strength.

The State of California's financial condition impacted local governments such as cities, counties and special districts. In 1992, special districts were subjected to legislation that shifted substantial amounts of property tax revenue to the State. The State took this approach again in response to its budget crisis, taking more than \$9.6 million in local tax revenue from the District during FY 2005-06, and took a similar amount of those local tax revenues during FY 2004-05. In response, the District chose to raise both property taxes and connection fees to offset state revenue losses which had been dedicated to annual debt service payments. The District's local tax revenue returned to normal levels in FY 2007-08 and the District's local tax revenue has continued to grow, reaching nearly \$27 million in FY 2010-11. In FY 2009-10, the State exercised its suspension provision of Proposition 1A and borrowed approximately \$2 million



of that from the District, with the obligation required to be repaid within three years with interest. Under Proposition 1A, the State can only exercise its borrowing right again one more time between now and 2019.

## Financial Planning & Budgeting Short-Term

The Board of Directors approves operating and capital budgets annually, which project major elements of the forthcoming year's operating and capital plans and allocates required funding accordingly. The General Manager has limited discretion to transfer appropriations between activities, and Board approval is required for any overall increase to or substantial changes in appropriations. Throughout the fiscal year, actual expenditures are compared to account appropriations on a monthly basis. Variances between budget estimates and actual receipts and expenditures are evaluated to regulate financial conditions and ensure the District's financial goals and objectives are being met.

The budget process is further supported by our long-term automated financial models, enabling the Board to make informed decisions on setting rates and charges that ensure the long-term stability of the District.

#### Long-Term

Meeting the goals of reliable, cost effective long-term water and wastewater service requires substantial planning for both capital improvements and changing operating conditions. The District's Capital Improvement Program anticipates the need for expanded treatment facilities as well as replacement of existing facilities as they wear out. The District staff identifies future infrastructure requirements in advance of needs to ensure that the necessary funding for those projects is in place.

The District regularly updates its water and wastewater master plans using computer modeling that factors in such variables as future development, construction costs, growth rates, inflation, redevelopment, and other items. The District's master plans con-

#### **Real Property Investments**

As a means to match its long-term obligation to replace water and sewer facilities as they reach the end of their useful lives with long-term funding mechanisms, the District obtained legislative authority from the State to invest a portion of its capital facilities replacement fund in real property located in Orange County.

At this time, the District has an interest in four properties with a book value of \$40.9 million and a weighted average return (on original cost) for FY 2010-11 of 9.92%.

Revenues from the District's real estate investments are retained within the Replacement Fund.

template the future demands and facility needs for water and sewer treatment systems. Diversification of the District's water supply and wastewater treatment options are major objectives of the District's master plans. Those objectives are discussed in more detail in the Major Initiatives section of this introduction.

The District has a long history of planning for the replacement of aging water and sewer infrastructure. Recognizing that infrastructure replacement is both inevitable and costly, the District established an infrastructure Replacement Fund in 1977 to provide partial funding for replacing and refurbishing various components of the water and sewer systems as they reached the end of their useful life. The objective of the fund is to help moderate the financial impact on future user rates attributable to varying expenditures associated with replacing capital facilities.

The original funding source for the Replacement Fund was a fixed component of water and sewer rates. User rate contributions were discontinued in 1992 after providing approximately \$25 million to the Replacement Fund over a 15 year period. The objective of the Replacement Fund is to fund 30% of future infrastructure replacement costs. After assessing the District's long-term replacement funding needs, the Board voted

to add \$2.65 to the sewer monthly fixed charge for FY 2006-07. The funding needs are assessed annually using our long-term financial planning models. The Board has considered and assessed an increase to the replacement/ enhancement fees for both water and sewer monthly fixed charges annually. For FY 2008-09, the user replacement/ enhancement fees were \$3.75 per month. For FY 2010-11, the user replacement/enhancement fees increased to \$4.80 per month. For FY 2011-12, the user replacement/enhancement fees were increased once more to \$6.10 per month.

In 1996, the District engaged an independent consultant to assist in developing a replacement fund model that would match projected water and sewer infrastructure replacement needs to future funding requirements. General funding goals were refined and future

replacement costs were projected annually for the next 50 years. This replacement model is updated periodically, most recently during 2005. The replacement fund model was augmented in FY 2006-07 by integrating it with a broader cash flow model which considers both capital and operating expenses, which continues to be further enhanced to meet the District's planning needs. The industry-leading models enable staff and the Board to project future user rate requirements necessary to meet both new and replacement capital needs as well as ongoing operation and maintenance costs.

The District believes its approach to infrastructure replacement and funding reflects best industry practice and illustrates the District's commitment to financial stability and protection of its customers from rate instability.

#### Cash Management Policies and Practices

The District is regulated by State law (primarily California Government Code Section 53600, et seq.) as to the types of fixed-income securities in which it can invest cash assets. In addition, the Board of Directors annually adopts an investment policy that is generally more restrictive than the State codes. The District's standard practice is to maintain an appropriate balance between safety, liquidity and yield of investments while meeting required expenditures. This balance must be upheld in conformance with all applicable State laws, the District's investment policy, and prudent cash management principles.

For FY 2010-11, the District's fixed-income investment portfolio consisted primarily of short-term securities with a portfolio average maturity of less than 12 months. These securities included U.S. government agency notes, the State-managed Local Agency Investment

Fund, local government bonds, and a mutual fund of U.S. Treasury securities. Starting in March 2008, the Board expanded the

Investment Policy, permitting investments in municipalities with ratings of AA or better in either auction rate securities or variable rate demand bonds. A complete discussion of the District's investments in FY 2010-11 is included in Note 2 to the Basic Financial Statements. The annual return on all of the District's cash investments in FY 2010-11 was approximately 0.53%. When real estate investments are included, the average rate of return was 2.00%.

At June 30, 2011, the District's cash assets totaled approximately \$414.1 million. These cash balances are allocated to various restricted funds including the District's Replacement Fund, New Capital Fund, Bond Reserve, Construction, Debt Service and others.



#### **IRWD Joint Powers Agency**

In 1988, the IRWD Joint Powers Agency (JPA) issued two series of tax-exempt pool revenue bonds in a total par amount of \$900 million that could be used to provide a favorable rate of financing for California cities, counties, special districts and other local agencies in higher interest rate environments. The bond proceeds were invested in authorized securities that matched the debt service on the bonds pending the purchase of local agency bonds. Interest rates subsequently dropped and no transactions were ever originated since public agencies could borrow in the traditional markets at lower rates.

After expiration of bond call protection provisions in 1998, the JPA refunded the tax-exempt bonds through the issuance of two series (Issue 1 and Issue II) of taxable refunding bonds. The investment securities pledged to the tax-exempt bonds are now pledged to the repayment of the taxable refunding bonds, and are held separately by a trustee. However, under the taxable refunding structure, the JPA was required by tax law considerations to retain beneficial ownership of approximately 3% of the principal and interest of the investment securities, and thus it receives these excess monies after the scheduled debt service payments. The excess revenues currently are about \$2.5 million annually.

In FY 2009-10, the JPA refunded the majority of the outstanding Issue II taxable bonds, which are callable at par in September 2011. The bonds were reissued at a lower interest rate providing a net savings to the JPA. The final maturity of the new bond issue remains at 2014, and the underlying U.S. Agency investment securing principal and interest payments was not affected as a result of the refunding.

The JPA refunding bonds and underlying investment securities have \$676.4 and \$732.7 million par amounts, respectively, outstanding as June 30, 2011, and both the bonds and the securities will mature in 2014. The District has no legal obligation to use any of its own funds to pay debt service on the JPA bonds. While the District is required to report the underlying investment securities as part of its Cash and Investments, these securities, with the exception of the District's 3% ownership interest and net savings from the Issue II Refunding, are pledged to debt service on the bonds and are not available for the District's use. Of the \$1.2 billion in total cash and investments reported in the District's consolidated financial statements, only \$414 million was generally available to the District as of June 30, 2011 primarily for capital needs.

#### Debt Management Policies and Practices

The District has strived to minimize the cost of its long-term debt. In1983, the District obtained State legislation that allowed for the use of variable rate debt to help achieve this goal.

The District has primarily used a combination of variable rate General Obligation (G.O.) bonds and Certificates of Participation (COPs) to fund its capital facilities. As of June 30, 2011, there were thirteen outstanding general obligation bond issues with a balance of \$388.4 million in variable rate mode and \$175.0 million in fixed rate mode, and one outstanding COPs issue with a balance of \$81.4 million in fixed rate mode. The District has secured direct pay letters of credit to enhance its variable rate debt. The District's all in average cost of debt for FY 2010-11 was 2.01%.

Under California law, all of the G.O. bonds are secured by the District's ability to levy ad valorem property taxes in the applicable improvement districts to pay debt service. Although the District has elected to use a combination of ad valorem property taxes and other legally available funds to pay debt service, the legal authority exists to fully fund G.O. bond debt service through such ad valorem taxes. The COPs issue is secured by certain revenues of the overall District, predominantly user rates. The District is required under some of its debt covenants to collect revenues which will be at least sufficient to yield net revenues equal to 125% of senior debt service payable during the fiscal year. (See Note 12 to the Financial Statements.)

Since a significant amount of the District's outstanding debt is in a variable rate mode, the Board of Directors has taken certain actions to manage and mitigate interest rate


risk. First, the Board adopted a policy to maintain a target amount of investment assets equal to at least 75% of the variable rate debt. In addition, in FY 2003-04, the District began an interest rate swap program under which \$130 million notional amount of LIBOR-based fixed payer swaps were executed. These interest rate swaps have allowed the District to limit the risk exposure on approximately \$194 million\* (or 49.9%) of its variable rate debt to about 4.01%. (\*Assumes a historical ratio for tax-exempt (SIFMA) versus 1-month LIBOR of 67%.)

During FY 2010-11, the District issued \$175 million of new general obligation fixed rate debt utilizing the taxable Build America Bond (BABs) program. BABs, created under the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act and available to issuers in 2009 and 2010, are taxable bonds for which the Treasury Department makes subsidy payments to issuers equaling 35% of the interest costs. The District's BABs issue has a rating of "AAA" from Fitch, a "AAA" rating from Standard and Poor's and a "Aa1" rating from Moody's. Also during FY 2010-11, the District's 2008-B variable rate demand obligation G.O. issues were refunded and re-issued as SIFMA-based Index Tender Notes (ITNs). Interest rates for the ITNs are set periodically at a spread to the SIFMA variable rate municipal index. In FY 2010-11 the District had seven bond issues with expiring letters of credit. The Series 1995 and 2008-A letters of credit with State Street and Landesbank Baden-Wurttemberg. respectively, were replaced with Sumitomo Mitsui letters of credit. The letters of credit on the Series 1989, 1991, 1993 and 2009-B issues with Bank of America were extended, and the letter of credit on the Series 2009-A issue with US bank was also extended.

#### Risk Management

The District utilizes a combination of self-insurance and third party liability insurance to minimize loss exposures from property, third-party liability claims and workers compensation claims. The District self-insures the first \$50,000 per occurrence for property losses, \$100,000 per occurrence for third-party liability claims and \$125,000 per occurrence

for workers compensation claims. Various control techniques used to minimize loss include, but are not limited to, routine employee safety meetings and training sessions, use of uniform language in contracts designed to limit or prevent liability exposure, and development of emergency plans, including a business continuation plan.



## Major Initiatives

The District's major initiatives during FY 2010-11 included the continuing programs to secure water supplies, as well as expanding wastewater treatment capacity and diverting wastewater flows, water education programs, and the continuing implementation of the Water Conservation Program, particularly in light of imported water reductions in 2011 and potential further reductions in 2012.

#### Water Supply Reliability

#### Groundwater Program

The District's Water Resources Master Plan for a reliable water supply mix includes developing sufficient groundwater production capacity to pump to the Basin Production Percentage (BPP) set by the Orange County Water District (OCWD). Currently, the District has the ability to produce approximately 28,000 acre feet per year (AFY) of clear groundwater from the Dyer Road Well Field. The District also produces 13,000 AFY of groundwater that requires treatment at its Deep Aguifer Treatment System (DATS) which removes organic color and its Irvine Desalter Project (IDP) which removes salts and nitrates. In addition, IRWD is currently constructing the Wells 21 &22 Treatment Project which will add an additional 6,300 AF per year of groundwater. Also under construction is Lake Forest Well #2 which produces approximately 200 AF per year that is not subject to the OCWD restrictions. IRWD is also currently constructing the Tustin Legacy 1A Test Well to investigate additional groundwater production capability in the south west Irvine portion of the District. Further, the District continously looks for new opportunities to improve its groundwater production capabilities.

#### Well 21 and 22 Treatment Project

The Wells 21 and 22 Treatment Project is a groundwater recovery project that will allow IRWD to serve an additional 6,300 AF per year of groundwater from the Irvine Subbasin. The project will consist of two wells, an advanced reverse osmosis treatment plant and raw water pipelines to bring the water from the wells to the treatment plant and a product

water pipeline to bring the water from the treatment plant to the distribution system. The facilities are currently under construction and are expected to be brought on-line in June 2012.

#### Water Banking

In addition to developing the local groundwater system, the District has diversified its water supply portfolio by developing a water bank in Kern County, California. The purpose of the water bank is to improve the District's water supply reliability by capturing water in the Kern Fan aquifer during wet hydrologic periods for use during dry periods or during import supply interruptions. The water bank is an important part of the District's ability to deliver reliable water during these conditions.

Opportunities for groundwater banking programs in Southern California are limited. In contrast, the extensive groundwater basin in Kern County is managed to allow storage of water for outside entities. In 2006, the District purchased 611 acres of high quality groundwater recharge land that overlies the regional Kern County groundwater basin along the Kern River. In 2010, the District purchased an additional 323 acres of recharge land in the same area. The District has completed construction of 761 acres of groundwater recharge ponds on both properties combined.

To operate its water bank, the District has entered into a 30-year water banking partnership with the Rosedale-Rio Bravo Water Storage District (Rosedale) in Kern County. Unlike other existing Kern County water

banking arrangements, the District's partnership program with Rosedale has provided long-term equity ownership of water banking capacity rather than typical contract or lease arrangements employed by most other agencies.

As of June 2011, over 25,000 AF of water has been credited to the District's water banking account. The majority of this water was made available through a 28-year Exchange Agreement that the District has with the Buena Vista Water Storage District in Kern County (BVWSD). The terms of the Exchange Agreement will require that half of this water be returned to BVWSD within 5 years with the balance of the water being available to the District for its use.

Seven groundwater wells that will be used to recover water from the water bank have been constructed on the District's water banking properties. The wellheads and pipelines that will convey water from these wells to the Cross Valley Canal are currently being constructed and will be operational in the fall of 2012. Until then, the District's water can be recovered from the water bank through exchanges for water already flowing in the California Aqueduct.

#### **Baker Treatment Plant**

During the current fiscal year, the District is proceeding with the engineering design for the Baker Water Treatment Plant (WTP) project. The design is anticipated to be complete in March 2012 with construction anticipated to be complete in December 2013. When constructed, the Baker WTP will treat approximately 28 million gallons per day of untreated imported water purchased from Metropolitan Water District of Southern California to drinking water standards. Untreated water from Irvine Lake can also be supplied to the plant for treatment to drinking water standards. The Baker WTP will utilize microfiltration and ultraviolet disinfection as the primary treatment processes. Although the plant will be owned and operated by the District, partial capacity in the facility will be purchased by other water agencies located in Southern Orange County. The facility will provide an operational source of supply to the District and participating agencies and, in the event of a short-term water shortage emergency, provide regional water reliability to other neighboring Southern Orange County water agencies. The project construction cost is estimated at approximately \$52 million, with IRWD responsible for approximately 24% of the total cost.

## Syphon Recycled Water Seasonal Storage Facility

The Irvine Ranch Water District (IRWD) is in the process converting the Syphon Reservoir in to a recycled water storage facility. Syphon Reservoir, located in the northern portion of the City of Irvine, is a sixty-year-old irrigation reservoir historically used by the Irvine Company for agricultural purposes. IRWD purchased the Syphon Reservoir in January 2010. Plans are underway to convert the reservoir into recycled water seasonal storage facility. Seasonal storage reservoirs allow the District to store excess recycled water produced in the winter months for use in higher demand summer months. This will increase water reliability by reducing the District dependency on imported water from MWD used to supplement the recycled water system.

The District is also completing a feasibility study to increase storage capability in Syphon Reservoir from its current 500 acre feet to a maximum of 5,000 acre feet. By providing additional storage, this project will allow the District to recycle more of the wastewater flows to the MWRP and reduce the District's dependence on imported water supplies. An expansion of Syphon Reservoir to 5,000 AF would allow recycling 100% of the wastewater flows tributary to MWRP and eliminate IRWD's need to supplement the recycled water system with imported water event in dry years.

#### Expanded Wastewater Treatment Options and System Reliability

IRWD is continuing its program to increase the reliability of the wastewater system by diversifying treatment options and increasing the reliability of critical sewage collections facilities. The goals of the program are to collect wastewater in the most cost effective method available, create a high quality and reliable reclaimed change to recycled water supply for irrigation and industrial uses, and minimize environmental impacts and risks.

Sewage collected throughout the District is treated at three locations: the Michelson Water Recycling Plant (MWRP), the Los Alisos Water Recycling Plant (LAWRP), and at the Orange County Sanitation District (OCSD) facility in Fountain Valley. The District owns and operates the Michelson and Los Alisos treatment facilities, and owns capacity in the OCSD facilities based on its tributary flows.

The District is working on strategic capital facilities to optimize wastewater treatment capability, improve operational efficiencies, support planned maintenance, and minimize the impacts of unexpected emergencies.

To further improve operational flexibility, the construction of additional diversion structures, pipelines, and the expansion of treatment capacity will have to be completed. The two plants operated by IRWD currently have the collective capacity of 25.5 million gallons per day (mgd). Ultimately, the capacity for these treatment facilities is planned to be 40.5 mgd. Expanding existing infrastructure for wastewater treatment has three primary benefits including:

- Increased recycled water production and utilization,
- Decreased exposure to external treatment costs and operational constraints, and
- Decreased dependencies on imported water supplies.

Projects currently under construction will save the District an average of \$8 million per year in capital and operating expenses over the next 30 years. In August 2009, the District awarded a three-year project to expand the Michelson Water Recycling Plant to be completed in fiscal year 2012-13 which will increase the recycled water production capacity by 10 million gallons per day.

In addition, the District has evaluated alternative approaches to handling its wastewater solids. The evaluation of the alternative approaches for handling MWRP solids, currently conveyed to Fountain Valley for treatment by OCSD, included consideration of many factors such as costs and potential community impacts. IRWD is in the formal design process for new capital facilities constructed at the MWRP to allow safe reuse of solids from this facility, which are estimated to cost in excess of \$140 million. The treatment process will reduce the volume of biosolids, generate methane gas which will be converted into electricity, and produce a dried pellet for reuse as a fertilizer on local greenbelts or as an e-fuel substitute for coal such as in local cement kilns. The bulk of the construction of solids handling facilities at the MWRP would not begin until the current expansion of the MWRP is complete in 2012 and is anticipated to be completed in 2015.

#### Community Education and Outreach

The District has a long history of commitment to community education and outreach and recognizes the significant impact lifelong water education can have on a community including improved water use efficiency and environmental protection. Today, these programs provide a key Best Management Practice under the California Urban Water Conservation Council's memorandum of understanding dedicated to increasing efficient water use statewide. From our student water and science education programs to our Always Water Smart Resident Tours, the District's dedication to community education is considerable.

Community water education and a conservation minded ethic begin at a young age. The District provides innovative water education programs to students in our service area through a unique partnership with the Discovery Science Center. These exceptional programs are available to all kindergarten through high school students in any public, private or home school in our service area and meet all California curriculum content standards while bringing water education to life for our students. The partnership with DSC allows us to effectively reach students in our service area with innovative and informative water education. Through these programs, we are teaching the next generation of community members to be good stewards of our precious water and environmental resources.

For educators who prefer to do the teaching themselves, the District provides several options. Project WET (Water Education for Teachers) is a one-day water science and education workshop featuring comprehensive water science and education training, curriculum and activity guide, and resources. The program facilitates and promotes awareness, appreciation, knowledge, and stewardship of water resources through the dissemination of classroom-ready teaching aids and the establishment of internationally sponsored Project WET programs. Additionally, the District provides several pre-packaged water and science curriculum programs to teachers in the District service area free of charge.

The District's Always Water Smart Resident Tours are a vital component of the District's community outreach efforts. These tours, offered annually in April and May to promote Water Awareness Month, are hosted by the District Board Members and are designed to provide residents with valuable information about the District, encourage an open dialogue, and answer questions about the District. In addition to exploring a number of the District's facilities, tour topics include the District's: water quality and supply portfolio; water conservation and efficiency efforts; allocation-based conservation rate structure; and the District's nationally renowned recycled water program.

The District San Joaquin Marsh Campus, which houses the District Learning Center and Visitor's Center, is the embodiment of the District's dedication to lifelong water education. The location of the Campus at the San Joaquin Marsh and Wildlife Sanctuary provides a wide variety educational venues and teaching opportunities utilizing the District's Natural Treatment System, the Butterfly Garden, and the San Diego Creek. The Learning Center is a dedicated facility for water education in our community. The Center features two state-of-the-art classrooms and a patio that can be utilized as an outdoor learning facility. Throughout the year the Center houses not only the District's education programs but also our resident tours, community events, and Project WET teacher training classes. The Visitor's Center at the historic Irvine Ranch Marsh House provides informative self-guided tours on the District's environmental and conservation efforts and is open to the public from 8 a.m. to 4 p.m seven days a week

These programs and the District Marsh Campus provide the backbone of the District's community education and outreach efforts. In addition, the District offers Always Water Smart conservation and efficiency workshops and webinars; customized in-class lectures for high school and college classes; and customized tours for community organizations in our service area. Teaching children and in turn, their families about water and the environment at an early age coupled with extensive customer outreach has helped us teach our community as a whole about the importance of protecting our vital resources and how to be Always Water Smart.

#### Water Conservation Program Implementation

The District strives to be a leader in the innovation and implementation of conservation measures by promoting the most efficient use of water both on a per capita and per acre basis. IRWD led the use of recycled water starting in the late 1960s and presently serves over 4,700 sites with more than 22,500 acre feet of recycled water annually - currently 23% of the District's total water supply. The District's allocation-based rate structure, implemented in 1991, was carefully designed to promote the efficient use of water by providing customers pricing signals related to over-use of water. This structure, which IRWD updated in 2009, is recognized as a model for other agencies to emulate.

The District's Water Conservation Business Plan is a comprehensive strategy that includes not only environmental considerations, but also addresses the considerable positive financial benefits of water conservation for the District and its customers. Specifically:

- As demands for water increase, the District's unit cost of water tends to increase due to the need to purchase more of the expensive imported water.
- Reduced urban runoff (typically the result of "over-watering") minimizes water quality degradation from fertilizers, pesticides and animal waste in creeks, rivers and the ocean.
- Reducing water demands also reduces energy demands on electricity, which is needed to convey water.
- Reduced water use indoors results in reduced wastewater generation and attendant treatment costs and capital costs for additional infrastructure.

The basic tenets of the Water Conservation Business Plan include local, state and national policy development and leadership, rate structure improvements, focused customer interface, extensive education and outreach, research and technology advances, and the development of financial incentives. Staff regularly updates the Board on the effectiveness of the Plan and funding needs.

During the past fiscal year, the District provided financial incentives to residential and business customers to install water efficient devices such as high efficiency clothes washers, toilets and irrigation equipment.

Due to the investments made by the District to diversify its resources, expand the use of recycled water and improve water use efficiency, the District provides reliable, high quality water to its customers at the lowest possible cost.

## Awards and Acknowledgments

The Government Finance Officers Association (GFOA) awarded a Certificate of Achievement for Excellence in Financial Reporting to Irvine Ranch Water District for its comprehensive annual financial report (CAFR) for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2004 through June 30, 2010. In order to be awarded a Certificate of Achievement, IRWD was required to publish an easily readable and efficiently organized CAFR that satisfied both GAAP and applicable legal requirements.

A Certificate of Achievement is valid for a period of one year only. We believe that our current CAFR continues to meet the Certificate of Achievement Program's requirements and are submitting it to the GFOA to determine its eligibility for another certificate.

Staff would like to acknowledge the IRWD Board of Directors for their unfailing support and for maintaining the highest standards of professionalism in the management of the District's operations and finances. We would also like to thank the dedicated employees of the District for their commitment to providing high quality service to the District's customers. The preparation of this report would not have been possible without the efficient and dedicated service of the entire staff of the Finance Department. We wish to express our appreciation to all staff that assisted and contributed to the preparation of this report.

Respectfully submitted,

Paul A. Cook General Manager

Deborah Cherney

Senior Director of Finance

# Irvine Ranch Water District List of Principal Officials

#### **Board of Directors:**

President and Director

Vice President and Director

Mary Aileen Matheis

Director

Douglas J. Reinhart

Director Peer A. Swan
Director John B. Withers

#### **Executive Management:**

General Manager Paul A. Cook

Senior Director of Finance & Administrative Services Deborah Cherney

Senior Director of Water Operations & Water Quality Dave Pedersen

Director of Administrative Services Tony Mossbarger

Director of Engineering & Construction Kevin Burton

Director of Human Resources Janet Wells

Director of Water Resources and Planning Gregory Heiertz

Director of Public Affairs Beth Beeman


Director of Wastewater Operations Wayne Posey

Director of Water Quality John Hills

Treasurer Robert Jacobson

### Irvine Ranch Water District Organizational Chart

(By Function)
Fiscal Year 2010-11



# Certificate of Achievement for Excellence in Financial Reporting


Presented to

## Irvine Ranch Water District California

For its Comprehensive Annual
Financial Report
for the Fiscal Year Ended
June 30, 2010

A Certificate of Achievement for Excellence in Financial Reporting is presented by the Government Finance Officers Association of the United States and Canada to government units and public employee retirement systems whose comprehensive annual financial reports (CAFRs) achieve the highest standards in government accounting and financial reporting.





## **Financial Section**

Irvine Ranch Water District
Financial Statements
Fiscal Year ended June 30, 2011



#### Mayer Hoffman McCann P.C.

An Independent CPA Firm

2301 Dupont Drive, Suite 200 Irvine, California 92612 949-474-2020 ph 949-263-5520 fx www.mhm-pc.com

Board of Directors Irvine Ranch Water District

#### INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

We have audited the accompanying basic financial statements of the Irvine Ranch Water District (District) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2011, as listed in the table of contents. These financial statements are the responsibility of the management of the District. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit. The prior year partial comparative data has been derived from the financial statements of the District for the year ended June 30, 2010 and, in our report dated November 29, 2010, we expressed an unqualified opinion on those financial statements.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Irvine Ranch Water District as of June 30, 2011, and the changes in financial position and cash flows of the District for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The information identified in the accompanying table of contents as *management's discussion* and analysis is not a required part of the basic financial statements, but is supplementary information required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. We have applied certain limited procedures, which consisted principally of inquiries of management regarding the methods of measurement and presentation of the required supplementary information. However, we did not audit the information and express no opinion on it.

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the financial statements that comprise the Irvine Ranch Water District's basic financial statements. The introductory section, statistical tables and supplementary information are presented for purposes of additional analysis and are not a required part of the basic financial statements. The Combining Schedules of Net Assets have been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and, in our opinion, are fairly stated in all material respects in relation to

Board of Directors Irvine Ranch Water District Page Two

the basic financial statements taken as a whole. The introductory section and statistical tables have not been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and, accordingly, we express no opinion on them.

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued a report dated December 8, 2011 on our consideration of the District's internal control over financial reporting and our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, grant agreements, and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* and should be considered in assessing the results of our audit.

Irvine, California December 8, 2011

Mayer Hoffman McCann P.C.

#### Management's Discussion and Analysis

The following discussion and analysis of the financial performance of the Irvine Ranch Water District (District) provides an overview of the District's financial activities for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2011. Please read it in conjunction with the basic financial statements and notes to the basic financial statements, which follow this analysis.

#### Financial Highlights

- Total assets exceeded total liabilities by \$1,341.6 million (net assets). Of this amount, \$900.6 million was for invested in capital assets, net of related debt, \$234.3 million was restricted for water services and operation, and \$206.7 million was restricted for sewer services and operations.
- Total revenues including capital contribution decreased by \$12.4 million or 5.5 percent primarily due to a decrease of \$12.4 million in the fair value of investments.
- Total expenses increased by \$1.3 million or 0.6 percent primarily due to increases in water and sewer services operating expenses.
- Capital assets increased by \$33.7 million or 2.4 percent primarily due to increases in several major capital projects. The District is continuing its aggressive capital plan, particularly in light of the current favorable bidding environment as a result of the general economic downturn.
- Total debt increased by \$143.2 million or 12.1 percent primarily due to issuance of \$175.0 million of Federally Taxable Build America Bonds, Series 2010B and \$100.9 million of Consolidated General Obligation Bonds, Series 2011A-1 and Series 2011A-2, partially offset by principal maturities of \$41.1 million and defeasance of \$100.4 million of the Consolidated General Obligation Refunding Bonds, Series 2008B.

The District had the following major financial events in the current fiscal year:

- Diversion of sewerage flows previously treated at the OCSD for treatment at the District's MWRP resulted in the District's sale of \$40.9 million of its equity in the OCSD Joint Works Treatment Facilities.
- The District funded \$6.5 million in excess of its Annual Required Contribution to the California Public Employees Retirement System (CalPERS) in order to reduce its unfunded pension liability. This is recorded as a net pension asset and is discussed in detail in Note 14 to the Basic Financial Statements.
- On December 16, 2010, the District issued \$175 million of Federally Taxable Build America Bonds, Series 2010B (the Series 2010B BABS) to finance certain water and sewer system improvements and related facilities of some Improvement Districts of the District, reimburse certain costs previously paid by the District, and pay the costs of issuance. The Series 2010B BABS are being issued as "Build America Bonds" for purpose of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 signed into law on February 17, 2009. Pursuant to the Recovery Act, the District expects to receive a cash subsidy payment from the United States Treasury equal to 35% of the interest payable on the Series 2010B BABS on or about each interest payment date.
- On April 15, 2011, the District refunded two of its existing debt issues and issued \$60.5 million of Consolidated General Obligation Bonds, Series 2011A-1 (the Series 2011A-1 Bonds) and \$40.4 million of Consolidated General Obligation Bonds, Series 2011A-2 (the Series 2011A-2 Bonds). The purpose of the each Series is to refund the outstanding balance of the Consolidated General Obligation Refunding Bonds, Series 2008B and pay the costs of issuance. These bonds were issued in a variable rate mode which is indexed as a spread to the SIFMA index and use a general revenue pledge of the District, effectively eliminating more than \$750,000 per year in credit enhancement costs.

More information about the overall analysis of the District's financial position and operations is provided in the following sections.

#### Management's Discussion and Analysis (Continued)

#### Overview of the Financial Statements

The basic financial statements of the District consist of the financial statements (the Statement of Net Assets, the Statement of Revenues, Expenses, and Changes in Net Assets, and the Statement of Cash Flows) and notes to the financial statements. The basic financial statements are prepared using the accrual basis of accounting. This report also contains other supplementary information in additional to the basic financial statements.

The **Statement of Net Assets** identifies the District's assets and liabilities and provides information about the nature and amounts of investments in resources (assets) and the obligations to creditors (liabilities).

The Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Assets provides information on the District's operations and can be used to determine whether the District has recovered all of its costs through its operating and non-operating revenues.

The **Statement of Cash Flows** provides information on the District's cash receipts, cash payments and changes in cash resulting from operations, investments and financing activities.

The **Notes to the Financial Statements** provide additional information that is essential to a full understanding of the data provided in the financial statements.

The Other Information includes required supplementary information and supplementary information. In addition to the basic financial statements and accompanying notes, this report also presents certain required supplementary information concerning the District's progress in funding its obligation to provide pension benefits and post employment benefits to its employees.

The *supplementary information* that is presented immediately following the notes to the basic financial statements includes combining schedule of net assets for water subfunds and sewer subfunds at the end of the fiscal year.

#### Financial Analysis of the District

The following condensed schedules contain a summary of financial information that was extracted from the basic financial statements to assist general readers in evaluating the District's overall financial position and results of operations as described in this MD&A. Increases or decreases in these schedules can be used as performance indicators to assess whether the District's overall financial position has improved or deteriorated. At the same time, other external factors such as changes in economic conditions, growth, and legislative mandates should also be considered as part of this assessment.

#### Net Assets

Net Assets is the difference between assets acquired, owned, and operated by the District and amounts owed (liabilities). Net Assets represents the District's net worth including, but not limited to, capital contributions received to date and all investments in capital assets since formation. Net Assets help answer the following question: "Is the District, as a whole, better or worse off as a result of the year's activities?"

#### Management's Discussion and Analysis (Continued)

Condensed Statement of Net Assets as of June 30,

Table 1 Net Assets (in millions)

	(111 1111)	٠,		
			Increase/	(Decrease)
	2011	2010	Amount	Percentage
Assets				
Current and other assets	\$415.7	\$339.1	\$76.6	22.6%
Capital assets, net	1,430.3	1,396.6	33.7	2.4%
Other noncurrent assets	917.0	870.6	46.4	5.3%
Total assets	2,763.0	2,606.3	156.7	6.0%
Liabilities				
Current and other liabilities	97.7	67.3	30.4	45.2%
Long-term liabilities	1,323.7	1,204.3	119.4	9.9%
Total liabilities	1,421.4	1,271.6	149.8	11.8%
Net Assets				
Invested in capital assets, net of				
related debt	900.6	929.5	(28.9)	-3.11%
Restricted for water services	234.3	271.3	(37.0)	-13.6%
Restricted for sewer services	206.7	133.9	72.8	54.4%
Total net assets	\$1,341.6	\$1,334.7	\$6.9	0.5%

As shown in Table 1, the District's total assets increased \$156.7 million or 6.0 percent, primarily due to the District's issuance of \$175 million of Federally Taxable Build America Bonds, Series 2010B (the Series 2010B BABS) to finance certain water and sewer system improvements and related facilities of some Improvement Districts of the District, reimburse certain costs previously paid by the District, and pay the costs of issuance on December 16, 2010. The Series 2010B BABS are being issued as "Build America Bonds" for purpose of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 signed into law on February 17, 2009.

The District's total liabilities increased \$149.8 million or 11.8 percent, primarily due to issuance of \$175.0 million of Federally Taxable Build America Bonds, Series 2010B and \$100.9 million of Consolidated General Obligation Bonds, Series 2011A-1 and Series 2011A-2, partially offset by principal maturities of \$41.1 million and defeasance of \$100.4 million of the Consolidated General Obligation Refunding Bonds, Series 2008B.

Net assets at end of the current fiscal year increased from \$1,334.7 million to \$1,341.6 million compared to the prior fiscal year, indicating approximately a 0.5 percent positive growth in the District's overall financial condition. Net assets consist of the portion invested in capital assets net of related debt and restricted net assets. Net assets invested in capital assets net of related debt is the difference between capital assets net of accumulated depreciation/amortization and the liabilities attributable to the acquisition, construction, or improvement of those assets. Net assets invested in capital assets net of related debt was \$900.6 million or 67.1 percent of total net assets. This was a decrease of \$28.9 million or 3.11 percent from the prior fiscal year. Restricted net assets for water services were \$234.3 million or 17.5 percent of total net assets. Restrict net assets for sewer services were \$206.7 million or 15.4 percent. Those restricted net assets are externally restricted by a creditor such as through debt covenants or restricted by law or enabling legislation.

#### Management's Discussion and Analysis (Continued)

#### Activities and Changes in Net Assets

While the Statement of Net Assets focuses on the District's financial position at June 30 of the current fiscal year, the Statement of Revenues, Expenses, and Changes in Net Assets summarizes the District's operations during the current fiscal year.

Condensed Statement of Revenues, Expenses, and Changes in Net Assets for the fiscal years ended June 30,


Table 2 Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Assets (in millions)

	(iii iiiiiiioiio)				
	2011	2010	Increase/(		
0	2011	2010	Amount	Percentage	
Operating revenues	\$ 54.8	\$ 57.8	\$ (3.0)	-5.2%	
Water sales and service charges			,		
Sewer sales and service charges	45.4	45.3	$\frac{0.1}{(2.0)}$	-2.8%	
Total operating revenues	100.2	103.1	(2.9)		
Non-operating revenues					
Property taxes	38.6	38.4	0.2	0.5%	
Investment income	2.6	2.2	0.4	18.2%	
Increase (decrease) in fair value of inve	estments (20.2)	(7.8)	(12.4)	159.0%	
JPA investment income	53.7	55.7	(2.0)	-3.6%	
Real estate income	9.7	9.7	-	0.0%	
Other income	8.0	4.2	3.8	90.5%	
Total non-operating revenues	92.4	102.4	(10.0)	-9.8%	
Total revenues	192.6	205.5	(12.9)	-6.3%	
Operating expenses					
Water services expenses	58.2	56.9	1.3	2.3%	
Sewer services expense	40.0	37.6	2.4	6.4%	
Depreciation	43.6	39.4	4.2	10.7%	
Total operating expenses	141.8	133.9	7.9	5.9%	
Non-operating expenses					
Interest expense	14.2	10.0	4.2	42.0%	
JPA interest expense	41.3	51.5	(10.2)	-19.8%	
Real estate expense	6.0	6.2	(0.2)	-3.2%	
Other expense	0.9	1.3	(0.4)	-30.8%	
Total non-operating expenses	62.4	69.0	(6.6)	-9.6%	
Total expenses	204.2	202.9	1.3	0.6%	
Income/(loss) before capital contributions	(11.6)	2.6	(14.2)	-546.2%	
Capital contributions	18.5	18.0	0.5	2.8%	
Change in Net Assets	6.9	20.6	(13.7)	-66.5%	
Beginning Net Assets	1,334.7	1,314.1	20.6	1.6%	
Ending Net Assets	\$ 1,341.6	\$ 1,334.7	\$ 6.9	0.5%	

#### Revenue:

As shown in Table 2, operating revenues, comprising 59.0 percent of total revenues, decreased by \$2.9 million or 2.8 percent. The decrease in the operating revenue was primarily attributable to a reduced demand in water commodity as a result of significant rain fall in the fiscal year ended June 30, 2011. The chart below illustrates the sources of revenue for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2011, excluding the change in the fair market value of investments. JPA investment income and interest expense are consolidated in the graph to simplify the presentation.

# Sources of Revenue for Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2011 (excluding change in fair market value of investments)




Net non-operating revenues, net of JPA interest expense, accounted for 30.1 percent of total revenue, and increased by \$0.2 million or 0.4 percent, primarily due to a decrease in the JPA interest espense of \$10.2 million.

Capital contributions accounted for the remaining \$18.5 million or 10.9 percent of total revenues for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2011. Capital contributions increased by \$0.5 million or 2.8 percent, comprised of a decrease of \$2.6 million or 44.1 percent in capital grant and an increase of \$4.8 million or 82.8 percent in connection fees. Many development projects were completed in the previous fiscal year with very few projects beginning construction in the prior or current fiscal year. Development activity during the current fiscal year was primarily limited to apartments. The increase in the District's connection was consistent with Developer Projections used in the District's Enterprise Model. Developers anticipated the completion of additional apartment units with single family residential unit production being reduced immediately with the units moved into the future years.

## Expenses:

As shown in Table 2, operating expenses before depreciation comprise 69.3 percent of the total operating expenses and increased by \$3.7 million or 3.9 percent. Water expenses increased by \$1.3 million or 2.3 percent primarily due to the effect of substantial rain water replacing purchased inventory in Irvine Lake. The increased rain resulted in approximately 5,892 acre feet of inventoried water with an average cost of \$471 per acre foot spilling over the dam during December 2010, which resulted an increased in water expenses in the amount of \$2.8 million. The increase in the water expense was offset by reductions in water purchases as a result of reduced demands for both treated and untreated water. Sewer expenses increased by \$2.4 million or 6.4 percent primarily due to the cost of handling, treatment, and disposal of wastewater solids residuals to the OCSD Wastewater System.

#### Functional Expenses for Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2011



Combined operating and non-operating revenues before capital contributions were \$151.3 million, which was \$11.6 million less than combined operating and non-operating expenses.

#### Capital Assets

The District's investment in capital assets consisted of the following as of June 30,

Table 3
Capital Assets, Net of Depreciation (in millions)

	2011	2010	F	Increase/ Amount	(Decrease) Percentage
Waterworks in service	\$ 774.4	\$ 760.4	\$	14.0	1.8%
Sewer plant in service	896.7	941.7		(45.0)	-4.8%
Less: accumulated depreciation	(511.9)	(487.6)		(24.3)	5.0%
Land	59.5	46.4		13.1	28.2%
Construction in progress	 211.6	 135.7		75.9	55.9%
Total	\$ 1,430.3	\$ 1,396.6	\$	33.7	2.4%

Additional information on the District's capital assets can be found in Note 5 of the Notes to the Basic Financial Statements.

Total projects transferred from Construction in Progress to Capital Assets during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2011were \$42.2 million. Among the more significant projects transferred were (in millions):

Water Banking Expansion	\$6.5
Syphon Reservoir Property Acquisition	5.8
PA1 Portola Parkway 30" Domestic Water Pipeline-SR133 to Culver	5.3
Carlson March Re-Grade and Return Flow	2.8
Reclaimed Water Dechlorination Stations	1.5
Tustin Ranch Road 12" Domestic Water	1.3
South Loop Road 12" Domestic Water	1.2
Tustin Ranch Road 15" Sewer	1.2

Total unexpended construction commitments as of June 30, 2011, were \$139.3 million: \$52.3 million of this is for water facility expenditures and \$87.0 million is for sewer/recycled facility expenditures. A list of material construction commitments as of June 30, 2011 can be found in Note 17 of the Notes to the Basic Financial Statements.

#### **Debt Administration**

As shown below in Table 4, as of June 30, 2011, the District had total debt outstanding of \$1,329.3 million, which was a net increase of \$143.3 million, or 12.1 percent from the prior fiscal year. The increase was primarily due to issuance of \$175.0 million of Federally Taxable Build America Bonds, Series 2010B and \$100.9 million of Consolidated General Obligation Bonds, Series 2011A-1 and Series 2011A-2, partially offset by principal maturities of \$41.1 million and defeasance of \$100.4 million of the Consolidated General Obligation Refunding Bonds, Series 2008B. Effective January 1, 2011, the California Water Code allows the District to pledge net system revenues to the payment of and security for its general obligation bonds. The general obligation bonds of the District now has the additional security of a system-wide pledge of net revenues in addition to the unlimited ad valorem tax pledge.

On December 16, 2010, the District issued \$175,000,000 of Federally Taxable Build America Bonds, Series 2010B (the Series 2010B BABS) to finance certain water and sewer system improvements and related facilities of some Improvement Districts of the District, reimburse certain costs previously paid by the District, and pay the costs of issuance. The Series 2010B BABS are being issued as "Build America Bonds" for purpose of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 signed into law on February 17, 2009. Pursuant to the Recovery Act, the District expects to receive a cash subsidy payment from the United States Treasury equal to 35% of the interest payable on the Series 2010B BABS on or about each interest payment date.

On April 15, 2011, the District issued \$60,545,000 of Consolidated General Obligation Bonds, Series 2011A-1 (the Series 2011A-1 Bonds) and \$40,370,000 of Consolidated General Obligation Bonds, Series 2011A-2 (the Series 2011A-2 Bonds). The purpose of the each Series is to refund the outstanding balance of the Consolidated General Obligation Refunding Bonds, Series 2008B and pay the costs of issuance.

Table 4
Outstanding Debt (including current portions)
(in millions)

			Increase/	(Decrease)
	2011	2010	Amount	Percentage
General obligation bonds	\$ 562.2	\$ 399.2	\$ 163.0	40.8%
Joint Powers Agency bonds	676.4	690.2	(13.8)	-2.0%
Certificates of participation	88.0	92.0	(4.0)	-4.3%
Notes payable	 2.7	4.6	 (1.9)	-41.3%
Total	\$ 1,329.3	\$ 1,186.0	\$ 143.3	12.1%

The District has received the following ratings from the three major rating agencies:

Fitch Ratings: AAA
Moody's: Aal
Standard and Poor's: AAA

Additional information on the District's long-term debt can be found in Note 9 of the Notes to the Basic Financial Statements.

#### Contacting the District's Financial Management

This financial report is designed to provide our citizens, taxpayers, customers, investors and creditors with a general review of the District's finances and to show the District's accountability for the money it receives. If you have questions about this report or need additional financial information, contact the Senior Director of Finance's Office at the Irvine Ranch Water District, 15600 Sand Canyon Avenue, Irvine, California 92618-7500.

# Statement of Net Assets June 30, 2011

(with comparitive data for June 30, 2010)

(amounts expressed in thousands)

	2011	2010
ASSETS:		
Current Assets:	<b>A. 202 124</b>	ф. 225 421
Cash and Investments (note 2)	\$ 283,134	\$ 225,431
Receivables:		
Customer accounts receivable	5,955	5,636
Interest receivable	620	550
Joint Powers Agency (JPA) interest receivable	19,848	20,628
Notes receivable, current portion	11	11
Allen-McColloch Pipeline receivable, current portion (note 8		423
Due from other agencies (note 17)	45,575	16,555
Other receivables	6,098	8,543
Total receivables	78,564	52,346
Other Current Assets:		
Inventories (note 4)	1,803	5,966
Prepaid items and deposits	22,352	17,913
JPA investment program, current portion (note 2)	29,861	37,430
Total other current assets	54,016	61,309
Total current assets	415,714	339,086
Noncurrent Assets:		
Capital Assets (note 5): Waterworks in service	774,411	760,357
Sewer plant in service	896,679	941,748
Subtotal	1,671,090	1,702,105
Less accumulated depreciation	(511,848)	(487,608)
Total capital assets being depreciated, net	1,159,242	1,214,497
Land and Water Rights	59,495	46,447
Construction in progress	211,602	135,699
Total capital assets, net	1,430,339	1,396,643
rotal capital assets, net		
Other Noncurrent Assets:		
Debt service cash and investments (note 2)	123,107	28,544
Unamortized debt issuance expense	2,690	1,444
Notes receivable, net of current portion	149	166
Allen-McColloch Pipeline receivable, net (note 8)	2,876	3,333
JPA investment program, net of current portion (note 2)	702,863	751,778
Real estate investments (note 7)	41,360	43,097
Net pension asset (note 14)	11,283	4,815
Deferred outflow (note 3)	32,654	37,433
Total other noncurrent assets	916,982	870,610
Total noncurrent assets	2,347,321	2,267,253
TOTAL ASSETS	2,763,035	2,606,339

(Continued)

# Statement of Net Assets June 30, 2011

(with comparitive data for June 30, 2010)

(amounts expressed in thousands)
(Continued)

	2011	2010
LIABILITIES AND NET ASSETS:		
Current Liabilities:		
Account payable and accrued expenses	28,105	17,774
Customer deposits and advance payments	1,444	173
Accrued interest:		
General obligation bonds	1,588	932
JPA revenue bonds	9,265	8,984
Other accrued interest payable	2,519	2,640
Current portion of long-term liabilities:		
General obligation bonds (note 9)	10,401	15,118
Certificates of participation (note 9)	4,427	3,961
JPA revenue bonds (note 9)	37,894	13,848
Notes payable (note 9)	253	1,806
Other long term liabilities (note 9)	1,211	1,396
Deferred revenue (note 10)	594	679
Total current liabilities	97,701	67,311
Long-Term Liabilities:		
General obligation bonds, net of current portion (note 9)	551,650	384,037
Certificates of participation, net of current portion (note 9)	83,616	88,043
JPA revenue bonds, net of current portion (note 9)	638,521	676,416
Notes payable, net of current portion (note 9)	2,494	2,747
Other long term liabilities, net of current portion (note 9)	2,864	2,738
Deferred revenue, net of current portion (note 10)	9,950	11,272
Net OPEB obligation (note 15)	1,984	1,676
Swap liability (note 3)	32,654	37,433
Total long-term liabilities	1,323,733	1,204,362
TOTAL LIABILITIES	1,421,434	1,271,673
10 INE EMBERTIES		
NET ASSETS (note 13):		
Invested in capital assets, net of related debt	900,605	929,475
Restricted for water services	234,261	271,310
Restricted for sewer services	206,735	133,881
TOTAL NET ASSETS	\$ 1,341,601	\$1,334,666

See accompanying notes to the basic financial statements.

# Statement of Revenues, Expenses, and Changes in Net Assets For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2011

(with comparitive data for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2010) (amounts expressed in thousands)

	2011	2010
OPERATING REVENUES:		
Water sales and service charges	\$ 54,796	\$ 57,815
Sewer sales and service charges	45,375	45,344
Total operating revenues	100,171	103,159
OPERATING EXPENSES:		
Water:		
Water services	42,383	40,103
General and administrative	13,663	14,574
Customer accounts	2,242	2,263
Sewer:		
Sewer services	30,787	27,804
General and administrative	7,669	8,330
Customer accounts	1,495	1,509
Depreciation	43,592	39,444
Total operating expenses	141,831	134,027
Operating income (loss)	(41,660)	(30,868)
NONOPERATING REVENUES (EXPENSES):		
Property taxes	38,679	38,392
Investment income	2,599	2,191
Increase (decrease) in fair value of investments	(20,172)	(7,782)
JPA investment income	53,708	55,726
Real estate income	9,719	9,701
Other income	7,987	4,159
Interest expense	(14,174)	(9,962)
JPA interest expense	(41,264)	(51,530)
Real estate expense	(6,004)	(6,186)
Other expenses	(989)	(1,286)
Total nonoperating revenues (expenses)	30,089	33,423
Income (loss) before capital contributions	(11,571)	2,555
CAPITAL CONTRIBUTIONS:		
Donated facilities	4,620	6,271
Connection fees	10,572	5,818
Other	3,314	5,874
Increase (decrease) in net assets	6,935	20,518
NET ASSETS AT BEGINNING OF YEAR	1,334,666	1,357,046
Prior Period Adjustments	-	(42,898)
NET ASSETS AT END OF YEAR	\$ 1,341,601	\$ 1,334,666
•		

See accompanying notes to the basic financial statements.

## Statement of Cash Flows

# For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2011

(with comparitive data for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2010) (amounts expressed in thousands)

	2011	2010
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Cash received from customers and users	\$ 110,148	\$ 103,783
Cash paid to suppliers of goods and services	(48,545)	(52,931)
Cash paid for employees services	(41,690)	(39,021)
Net cash provided by (used for) operating activities	19,913	11,831
0.1.0		
Cash flows from noncapital financing activities:	26.720	20.202
Property tax receipts	36,729	38,392
Net cash provided by noncapital financing	36,729	38,392
Cash flows from capital and related financing activities:		
Acquisition and construction of capital assets	(103,713)	(87,208)
Proceeds from disposition of capital assets	-	140
Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt	276,666	529,487
Principal payments on long-term liabilities	(142,338)	(524,948)
Interest and Issuance Costs on Long Term Liabilities	(46,882)	(106,442)
Developer connection fees and related receipts	13,886	12,337
Net cash provided by (used for) capital	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
and related financing activities	(2,381)	(176,634)
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Investment earnings	55,890	58,511
Investment earnings in real estate	5,452	5,783
Proceeds from sale or maturity of investments	373,471	224,270
Purchases of investments	(468,874)	(163,181)
Collections on notes receivable	451	2,550
Additions to notes receivable	(11)	(11)
Net cash provided by (used for) investing activities	(33,621)	127,922
rect cash provided by (used for) hivesting activities	(33,021)	
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	20,640	1,511
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	107,897	106,386
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year	\$ 128,537	\$ 107,897

(Continued)

# Statement of Cash Flows

# For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2011

(with comparitive data for the Fiscal Year June 30, 2010) (amounts expressed in thousands)

(Continued)

	2011	2010
Reconciliation of cash and cash equivalents to		
amounts reported on the Statement of Net Assets:		
Cash and investments	\$ 283,134	\$ 225,431
JPA investment program, current portion	29,861	37,430
Debt service cash and investments	123,107	28,544
JPA investment program, net of current portion	702,863	751,778
Subtotal	1,138,965	1,043,183
Less long-term investments	(1,010,428)	(935,286)
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year	\$ 128,537	\$ 107,897
Reconciliation of operating income to net cash		
provided by (used for) operating activities:		
Operating income (loss)	\$ (41,660)	\$ (30,868)
Adjustments to reconcile operating income to		
net cash provided by (used for) operating activities:		
Other nonoperating income	7,987	4,159
Other nonoperating expenses	(989)	(1,286)
(Gain) loss on disposition of capital assets	(206)	16,024
Depreciation	43,592	39,444
(Increase) decrease in customer receivables	(319)	697
(Increase) decrease in other receivables	2,445	(3,794)
(Increase) decrease in inventories	4,163	703
(Increase) decrease in prepaid expenses and other assets	958	(700)
(Increase) decrease in net pension asset	(6,468)	(1,815)
Increase (decrease) in accounts	( )	( , )
payable and accrued expenses	10,331	(10,764)
Increase (decrease) in customer	,	( , , , , ,
deposits and advance payments	1,271	11
Increase (decrease) in compensated absences	(93)	150
Increase (decrease) in unearned revenue	(1,407)	(449)
Increase (decrease) in net OPEB obligation	308	319
Net cash provided by (used for) operating activities	\$ 19,913	\$ 11,831
N. 1		
Noncash investing, capital and financing activities:	¢ 4 0 <b>2</b> 0	# C <b>S = </b> -
Contributions of capital assets from developers	\$4,620	\$6,271
Unrealized gain (loss) on investments	(20,172)	$\frac{(7,782)}{(1,511)}$
Total noncash investing, capital and financing activities	<u>\$ (15,552)</u>	\$ (1,511) ———————————————————————————————————

See accompanying notes to the basic financial statements.

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2011

## (1) Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

#### (a) Reporting Entity

The Irvine Ranch Water District (District) was formed in 1961 as a special district under Division 13 of the California Water Code (the Act). The District provides potable and reclaimed water service as well as sewage collection, treatment, and disposal to users within its boundaries.

The District is divided geographically into sixteen water and seventeen sewer improvement districts (IDs), as well as several planning areas (PAs) that function as informal improvement districts. Each improvement district is a sub-fund of the District and their primary purpose is to allocate costs and funding on an equitable basis for the construction of water, sewer, and reclaimed water infrastructure. Most improvement districts have authority to issue general obligation bonds to finance the construction of capital facilities that were identified and valued in a Plan of Works specific to the improvement district. Each improvement district with authority to issue general obligation bonds also has the authority to levy and collect connection fees and ad valorem taxes on the land within its legal boundaries sufficient to meet its general obligation bond indebtedness.

Planning areas are not formal improvement districts, but are intended to become so if and when development plans are approved within that planning area and future development is expected to take place. Occasionally, planning areas encompass an already fully developed area that was annexed into the overall District, and consequently, these areas are intended to remain as planning areas. They are not included in regional cost allocations or other forms of cost allocation for new capital facilities needed to meet new or future demand. Equity considerations are addressed when these areas are consolidated into the overall District, and the funding source used to meet these equity considerations is user rates.

Connection fees and property taxes vary by improvement district based upon, among other considerations, total capital costs, ratio of developed to undeveloped land, and development densities; however, water and sewer user fees are uniform throughout the District, with the exceptions of the Los Alisos, Santiago and Orange Park Acres service areas. The Los Alisos, Santiago and Orange Park Acres areas were consolidated into the District in 2001, 2006, and 2008, respectively. Los Alisos and Orange Park Acres currently have separate user rate structures for water sales and service charges.

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2011 (Continued)

## (1) Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, (Continued)

## Description of the Reporting Entity

The financial statements of the District include the financial activities of the following sub-fund improvement districts and planning areas:

#### Irvine Ranch Water District IDs and PAs:

100/101/199 & 200/210/211/299	Overall District Boundary
	(excluding new annexations)
112/212	Former El Toro Marine Base
113/213	Former Tustin Marine Base
115/215	Redevelopment Associated with Irvine Business
	Complex
120/121 & 220/221	Woodbridge/Irvine
130/230	Irvine Business District/Spectrum/
	Shady Canyon/Laguna Laurel
135/235	Los Alisos Area
140/240	Newport Coast/Newport Ridge
150/250	Tustin Ranch/Northern Sphere
252	Santiago Hills
153/253	East Orange
154/155	Santiago Canyon(s)
156/256	Orange Park Acres
160/161 & 260/261	Turtle Rock
182/282	Foothill Ranch Residential
184/284	Foothill Ranch Commercial
186/189 & 286/289	Portola Hills Residential
188/288	Portola Hills Commercial

<u>Blended Component Units</u> – Blended component units although legally separate entities, are, in substance, part of the government's operations since they have the same governing board as the primary government. The District has the following blended component units:

The Irvine Ranch Water District Water Service Corporation – In January of 1997, the District formed a 501(c)(4) corporation for the purpose of financing and acquiring water, sewer and other public improvements. The Corporation's transactions are related to participation in the Irvine Ranch Water District Water Service Company, LLC to effect the merger of the Santa Ana Heights Water Company and the issuance of the 2002 Certificates of Participation, 2008 Refunding Certificates of Participation, and 2010 Refunding Certificates of Participation.

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2011 (Continued)

### (1) Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, (Continued)

The Irvine Ranch Water District Water Service Corporation, (Continued) – The Corporation's bylaws mandate that the members of the District's Board of Directors shall constitute the Corporation's five-member Board of Directors. The Irvine Ranch Water District Water Service Corporation does not issue separate financial statements.

IRWD Water Service Company II, LLC (LLC II) – LLC II was created to effect the merger of the Orange Park Acres Mutual Water Company into the Irvine Ranch Water District. The merger was certified by the State of California on February 1, 2008, and became effective on June 1, 2008. The Orange Park Acres area's financial data and transactions are included in Planning Area 156. The two members of the LLC II are the District and the Irvine Ranch Water District Water Service Corporation (described above). The LLC II does not issue separate financial statements.

The Irvine Ranch Water District Joint Powers Agency (JPA) – The JPA was formed pursuant to a joint powers agreement, dated December 22, 1986, and amended as of January 1, 1988, between the District and the Community Facilities District of the Irvine Ranch Water District. The agreement remains in effect until December 31, 2011 or until all bonds or other indebtedness issued has been retired. The JPA is governed by a Commission consisting of the five members of the Board of Directors of the District. The District selects the management of the JPA, is able to significantly influence operations and has full accountability for fiscal affairs. The JPA's financial data and transactions are included in Improvement Districts identified in the accompanying Supplementary Information schedules as 300 and 400. The JPA does not issue separate financial statements.

Bardeen Partners, Inc. – In March of 1991, the District formed a 501(c)(4) corporation for the purpose of accounting for the financial data and transactions of the District's real estate investments, including the investments in Wood Canyon Villas, Sycamore Canyon Apartments, and Irvine Technology Center. Bardeen Partners is governed by a Board of Directors consisting of the five members of the District's Board of Directors. The District accounts for the Corporation's activities in Improvement Districts identified in the accompanying Supplementary Information schedules as 801 through 804. Bardeen Partners does not issue separate financial statements.

<u>Irvine Ranch Water District Improvement Corporation</u> – In August of 1986, the District formed a 501(c)(4) corporation for the purpose of financing water, sewer and other public improvements. The Corporation's only transactions are related to the debt service payments on the outstanding 1986 Certificates of Participation which were refunded by the Irvine Ranch Water District Service Corporation Certificates of Refunding Series 2010. Five District Board of Directors are required to be the five members of the Corporation's Board of Directors. The District accounts for the Corporation's activities in several Improvement Districts. The Irvine Ranch Water District Improvement Corporation does not issue separate financial statements.

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2011 (Continued)

#### (1) Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, (Continued)

#### (b) Basic Financial Statements

The basic financial statements are comprised of the Statement of Net Assets, the Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Assets, the Statement of Cash Flows and the Notes to the Basic Financial Statements.

# (c) Measurement Focus, Basis of Accounting and Financial Statement Presentation

The District is accounted for as an enterprise fund (proprietary fund type). A fund is an accounting entity with a self-balancing set of accounts established to record the financial position and results of operations of a specific governmental activity. The activities of enterprise funds closely resemble those of ongoing businesses in which the purpose is to conserve and add to basic resources while meeting operating expenses from current revenues. Enterprise funds account for operations that provide services on a continuous basis and are substantially financed by revenues derived from user charges. The District utilizes the accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recognized when earned and expenses are recognized as they are incurred. Internal activity has been eliminated in the accompanying financial statements.

The District applies all applicable GASB pronouncements in accounting and reporting for proprietary operations as well as the following pronouncements issued on or before November 30, 1989, unless those pronouncements conflict with or contradicts GASB pronouncements: Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Statements and Interpretations, Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinions, and Accounting Research Bulletins (ARB's) of the Committee on Accounting Procedure.

The District distinguishes operating revenues and expenses from non-operating items. Operating revenues and expenses generally result from providing services and producing and delivering goods in connection with water and sewer operations. The principal operating revenues of the District are charges to customers for sales and services. Operating expenses include cost of sales and services, general and administrative expenses and depreciation of capital assets. All revenues and expenses not meeting this definition are reported as non-operating revenues and expenses.

Capital contributions consist of contributed capital assets, connection fees and other charges that are legally restricted for capital expenditures by state law or by the Board action that established those charges.

Net assets of the District have been reported as restricted when their use is constrained more narrowly than the reporting unit in which they are reported as a result of state laws governing such use. When both restricted and unrestricted resources are available for use, the District uses unrestricted resources first, and then restricted resources as they are needed. For capital expenditures, legally available restricted bond proceeds are used first, and then other restricted resources, then unrestricted resources are used if needed.

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2011 (Continued)

## (1) Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, (Continued)

#### (d) <u>Property Taxes</u>

The District is authorized under the Act to levy taxes on all taxable property (lands only) within its boundaries for the purposes of paying certain of its debt obligations, subject to certain limitations in the Act, the Revenue and Taxation Code and the California Constitution. The District also receives a portion of the County's 1% ad valorem property taxes from certain lands within its boundaries. Property tax revenue is recognized in the fiscal year in which the taxes are levied.

The property tax calendar is as follows:

Lien date:

Levy date:

January 1

July 1

Due date: First installment – November 1

Second installment – February 1

Delinquent date: First installment – December 10

Second installment - April 10

The assessment, levy and collection of property taxes are the responsibility of the County of Orange, and are remitted to the District periodically.

#### (e) Cash and Cash Equivalents

For the purposes of the statement of cash flows, cash equivalents are defined as short-term, highly liquid investments that are both readily convertible to known amounts of cash or so near to their maturity that they present insignificant risk of changes in value because of changes in interest rates, and have an original maturity date of 3 months or less.

#### (f) Investments

Investments are reported in the accompanying Statement of Net Assets at fair value, except for certain investment contracts that are reported at cost because they are not transferable and they have terms that are not affected by changes in market interest rates.

Changes in fair value that occur during the fiscal year are recognized as *increase* (decrease) in fair value of investments reported for that fiscal year. Investment income includes interest earnings.

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2011 (Continued)

#### (1) Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, (Continued)

#### (g) Real Estate Investments

Real estate investments consist of a wholly-owned apartment complex and two commercial office buildings. The District is also a party to a real estate limited partnership in which the District has a 50% or less ownership interest and does not exercise control. The limited partnership is accounted for using the equity method of accounting.

#### (h) <u>Inventory and Prepaid Items</u>

Inventory includes water purchased in storage and is stated at the original cost on the first in, first out basis. The consumption method is used to account for materials and supplies inventory. Inventory is recorded when purchased, and expensed at the time the inventory is consumed. Certain payments to vendors reflect costs applicable to future accounting periods and are recorded as prepaid items.

#### (i) <u>Capital Assets</u>

Capital assets are valued at cost where historical records are available and at an estimated historical cost where no historical records exist. Donated assets are valued at their estimated fair market value on the date received. The District capitalizes all assets with a historical cost of at least \$2,500 and a useful life of at least three years. The cost of normal maintenance and repairs that do not add to the value of the assets or materially extend asset lives are not capitalized.

Depreciation is computed utilizing the straight-line method over the following estimated useful lives:

Waterworks in service:

General plant 3 to 40 years
Utility plant 10 to 100 years

Sewer plant in service:

General plant 3 to 40 years Utility plant 10 to 86 years

#### (j) <u>Unamortized Debt Issuance Expense</u>

Direct expenses incurred in obtaining financing are capitalized and amortized over the lives of the respective borrowings.

#### (k) Compensated Absences

It is the District's policy to permit employees to accumulate earned but unused vacation benefits up to certain limits. Earned vacation pay to a maximum of 320 hours (or more with written approval of the General Manager) and 50% of accumulated sick leave up to a maximum of 960 hours may be paid upon termination of employment.

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2011 (Continued)

## (1) Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, (Continued)

#### (k) Compensated Absences (Continued)

Sick leave hours accrue at the rate of one day per month and employees may elect to receive cash for accumulated sick leave for up to 96 hours in excess of the first 40 hours accumulated. All accumulated vacation and vested sick leave pay is recorded as an expense and a liability at the time the benefit is earned.

### (l) <u>Comparative Financial Statements and Reclassifications</u>

The information included in the accompanying financial statements for the prior year has been presented for comparison purposes only and does not represent a complete presentation in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Certain amounts presented in the prior year financial statements have been reclassified in order to be consistent with the current year's presentation.

#### (2) Cash and Investments

Cash and investments as of June 30, 2011 are classified in the accompanying financial state ments as follows (in thousands):

Cash and investments	\$ 283,134
Debt service cash and investments	123,107
Subtotal	 406,241
JPA investment program, current portion	29,861
JPA investment program	702,863
Subtotal JPA investments*	732,724
Total cash and investments	\$ 1,138,965

<sup>\*</sup>See note 9 for corresponding JPA long-term liabilities.

Cash and investments as of June 30, 2011 consist of the following (in thousands):

Cash on hand	\$ 3
Deposits with financial institutions	(4,490)
Investments	1,143,452
Total cash and investments	\$ 1,138,965

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2011 (Continued)

## (2) Cash and Investments (Continued)

Investments Authorized by the California Government Code, the California Water Code, and the District's Investment Policy

The following table identifies the investment types that are authorized for the District by the California Government Code, the California Water Code, and the District's investment policy, whichever is most restrictive. The table also identifies certain provisions of the California Government Code, the California Water Code, and the District's investment policy that address interest rate risk and concentration of credit risk. This table does not address investments of debt proceeds held by bond trustee that are governed by the provisions of debt agreements of the District, rather than the general provisions of the California Government Code or the District's investment policy.

		Maximum	Maximum
Authorized	Maximum	Percentage	Investment
<u>Investment Type</u>	Maturity*	of Portfolio**	in One Issuer
Local Agency Bonds	5 years	None	None
U.S. Treasury Obligations	5 years	None	None
U.S. Agency Securities	5 years	None	None
Banker's Acceptances	180 days	40%	30%
Commercial Paper	270 days	25%	10%
Negotiable Certificates of Deposit	5 years	30%	None
Repurchase Agreements	1 year	None	None
Reverse Repurchase Agreements	92 days	20% of base value	None
Medium-Term Notes	5 years	30%	None
Money Market Mutual Funds	N/A	20%	10%
Mortgage Pass-Through Securities	5 years	20%	None
Orange County Treasury Pool	N/A	None	None
Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF)	N/A	None	None
Real estate investments	N/A	30%***	None

- \* Maximum maturity unless express authority has been granted otherwise by the Board of Directors pursuant to the California Government Code Section 53601.
- \*\* Excluding amounts held by bond trustee that are not subject to California Government Code restrictions.
- \*\*\* 30% of Replacement Fund, as authorized by the California Water Code.

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2011 (Continued)

#### (2) Cash and Investments, (Continued)

#### Investments Authorized by Debt Agreements

Investments of debt proceeds held by bond trustee are governed by provisions of the debt agreements, rather than the general provisions of the California Government Code or the District's investment policy. Except for the bonds issued by the District's Joint Powers Authority (JPA), none of the District's bond trustees hold any debt proceeds or monies that are subject to investment under the debt agreements. The table below identifies the investment types that are authorized for investments held by the JPA bond trustee, subject to certain restrictions in the debt agreements.

Authorized Investment Type
U.S. Treasury Obligations
U.S. Agency Securities
Certificates of Deposit
Banker's Acceptances
Commercial Paper
Money Market Mutual Funds
Repurchase Agreements
Investment Contracts

#### Custodial Credit Risk

Custodial credit risk for *deposits* is the risk that, in the event of the failure of a depository financial institution, a government will not be able to recover its deposits or will not be able to recover collateral securities that are in the possession of an outside party. The custodial credit risk for investments is the risk that, in the event of the failure of the counterparty (e.g., broker-dealer) to a transaction, a government will not be able to recover the value of its investment or collateral securities that are in the possession of another party. The California Government Code requires that a financial institution secure deposits made by state or local governmental units by pledging securities in an undivided collateral pool held by a depository regulated under state law (unless so waived by the governmental unit). The market value of the pledged securities in the collateral pool must equal at least 110% of the total amount deposited by the public agencies. California law also allows financial institutions to secure public agency deposits by pledging first trust deed mortgage notes having a value of 150% of the secured public deposits.

#### Disclosures Relating to Interest Rate Risk

Interest rate risk is the risk that changes in market interest rates will adversely affect the fair value of an investment. Generally, the longer the maturity of an investment, the greater the sensitivity of its fair value to changes in market interest rates. One of the ways that the District manages its exposure to interest rate risk is by purchasing a combination of shorter term and longer term investments and by timing cash flows from maturities so that a portion of the portfolio is maturing or coming close to maturity evenly over time as necessary to provide the cash flow and liquidity needed for operations.

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2011 (Continued)

## (2) Cash and Investments, (Continued)

#### Disclosures Relating to Interest Rate Risk, (Continued)

Information about the sensitivity of the fair values of the District's investments (including investments held by bond trustee) to market interest rate fluctuations is provided by the following table that shows the distribution of the District's investments by maturity (in thousands):

			Remaining Maturity				
Investment Type	Amount	12 Months <u>Or Less</u>	13 to 36 Months	37-60 Months	More Than 60 Months		
Federal agency securities	\$ 95,160	5,018	90,142	-	-		
Local agency bonds	80,180	80,180	-	-	-		
Local Agency Investment Fun	nd 230,620	230,620	-	-	-		
Money market funds	4,768	4,768	-	-	-		
JPA cash and investments:							
Money market funds	1,637	1,637	-	-	-		
Federal agency securities	462,085	13,629	448,456	-	-		
Investment contract	269,002	14,595	254,407				
Total	\$ 1,143,452	350,447	793,005				

In March and April 2008, the Board of Directors approved variable rate demand notes (VRDN) of California municipal issuers as authorized investments, subject to specified criteria that included such factors as ratings, maturity, redemption notices, and certain issuers. This Board-approved criteria was designed to maintain adequate safety and liquidity while achieving moderately higher yields. Purchases of VRDNs are based on the underlying credit of the issuers and their ability to restructure the transactions within a reasonable period of time. At June 30, 2011, the District's investment portfolio held \$80.18 million of VRDNs with yields ranging from 0.04% to 2.75%. A summary of the VRDN securities is shown in the following table, accompanied by a general discussion of the VRDN market.

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2011 (Continued)

#### (2) Cash and Investments, (Continued)

## Disclosures Relating to Interest Rate Risk, (Continued)

Issuer		Par	Amount	
Rating (1)	Issuer	(in t	housands)	Yield
Variable Rate Demand	d Notes			
Aa1/AAA/NR	Chino Basin Fin	\$	11,280	0.040%
Aa3/AA+/NR	Ca Water Series C		2,000	2.750%
Aa3/A/NR	Rancho California WtrD		6,700	0.060%
Aal/AAA/AA+	Metropolitan Water		7,700	0.040%
Aal/AAA/NR	Sacramento WTR		10,000	0.050%
Aal/AAA/AAA	Orange Cnty Wtr		5,000	0.060%
Aal/AAA/AA-	LA Waste Wtr		4,900	0.040%
Aa2/AA/AA+	Eastern Mun Wtr Dist CA		8,000	0.050%
Aa2/AA-/NR	West Basin Wtr		10,000	0.060%
Aa3/AA+/NR	Modesto Wtr		5,000	0.110%
Aa2/NR/NR	East Bay MUD		9,600	0.040%
Total		\$	80,180	

(1) Moody's/Standard & Poor's/Fitch

A VRDN is a debt instrument with a long-term maturity that has a periodic interest rate component reset by a remarketing agent, usually on a daily or weekly basis. The periodic interest rate set by the remarketing agent generally is based on current market conditions for similar short-term securities. An owner of VRDNs has the right to tender the notes at par plus accrued interest at each reset date. The bonds are then resold by the remarketing agent or purchased by a bank that has a liquidity facility agreement with the issuer of the debt. Some issuers of VRDNs also obtain insurance on the debt for scheduled principal and interest payments. Although most issuers of VRDNs have a liquidity facility in place, there are certain circumstances, such as rating downgrades or bankruptcy of an insurer, that allow the liquidity bank to terminate its agreement immediately and without notice. The VRDNs held by the District constituted about 19.2% of its fixed income investments and did not experience any liquidity difficulties.

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2011 (Continued)

#### (2) Cash and Investments, (Continued)

#### Disclosures Relating to Credit Risk

Generally, credit risk is the risk that an issuer of an investment will not fulfill its obligation to the holder of the investment. This is measured by the assignment of a rating by a nationally recognized statistical rating organization. Presented below is the minimum rating required (where applicable) by the California Government Code, the District's investment policy, or debt agreements, and the actual rating as of year-end for each investment type (in thousands).

		Minimum Legal	Rati	ing as of Year E Between	<u>nd</u>	Not
Investment Type	<u>Amount</u>	Rating	<u>AAA</u>	AA- and AA-	<u>+</u> <u>A</u>	Rated
Federal Agency Securities	\$95,160	N/A	95,160			
Local Agency Bonds	80,180	N/A	38,880	25,000	6,700	9,600
Local Agency Investment Fund	230,620	N/A				230,620
Money Market Funds	4,768	N/A	4,768			
JPA cash and investments:						
Money Market Funds	1,637	N/A	1,637			
Federal Agency Securities	462,085	N/A		462,085		
Investment Contract	269,002	N/A				269,002
Total	\$1,143,452		140,445	487,085	6,700	509,222

#### Concentration of Credit Risk

The investment policy of the District contains no limitations on the amount that can be invested in any one issuer beyond that stipulated by the California Government Code. Investments in any one issuer (other than U.S. Treasury securities, mutual funds, and external investment pools) that represent 5% or more of total District investments are as follows (in thousands):

<u>Issuer</u>	<u>Investment Type</u>	Reported Amount
FNMA	Federal agency securities	\$ 477,109
AIG	Investment contract	269 002

The credit rating of AIG at June 30, 2011is Baa1/A-/BBB. As a result of the financial crisis in the banking and insurance industries in late 2008, AIG received funding of approximately \$182 billion from the US Government to provide sufficient liquidity to fund its operations. The lack of liquidity and need for government intervention resulted in the downgrade in credit ratings for the parent company as reflected above. The AIG investment contract is the only investment security in the trust estate of the Taxable Refunding Bond Issue #1 issued by the Irvine Ranch Water District Joint Powers Agency in February 1998. These bonds are secured solely by the trust estate, and there is no recourse to the District for the payment of principal and interest due on the bonds.

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2011 (Continued)

#### (2) Cash and Investments, (Continued)

#### Investment in State Investment Pool

The District is a voluntary participant in the Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF) that is regulated by the California Government Code under the oversight of the Treasurer of the State of California. The fair value of the District's investment in this pool is reported in the accompanying financial statements at amounts based upon the District's pro-rata share of the fair value provided by LAIF for the entire LAIF portfolio (in relation to the amortized cost of that portfolio). The balance available for withdrawal is based on the accounting records maintained by LAIF, which are recorded on an amortized cost basis.

### (3) Interest Rate Swap Agreements

On September 8, 2003, the District's Board of Directors approved Resolution 2003-36, which established a policy regarding the use of interest rate swap transactions. The policy provides that interest rate swap transactions will be designed to enhance the relationship between risk and return with respect to an investment or a program of investments entered into by the District; and/or to reduce the amount or duration of payment, rate, spread, or similar risk; and/or result in a lower cost of borrowing when used in combination with bonds or other indebtedness of the District. Pursuant to the policy, the Board of Directors authorizes general parameters for interest rate swap transactions while the Finance and Personnel Committee structures specific transactions within the Board-authorized parameters. The Treasurer, with the concurrence of the Chairman of the Finance and Personnel Committee, is authorized to enter into interest rate swap transactions that are within all authorized parameters. The International Swaps and Derivatives Association, Inc. (ISDA) Master Agreement, including the schedule and credit support annex, is used as the form of contract with interest rate swap counterparties.

As of June 30, 2011, the notional amount and fair value balance of the District's interest rate swaps is \$130.0 million and \$(32.7) million, respectively. All outstanding interest rate swaps are pay-fixed, receive variable swaps ("fixed payer swaps"). For the year ended June 30, 2011, the change in fair market value of the fixed payer interest rate swaps was \$4.7 million.

The fair value of the swap agreements at June 30, 2011 is calculated using a zero-coupon method. This method calculates the future net settlement payments required by the swaps, assuming, for the LIBOR fixed payer swaps, that the current LIBOR forward rates implied by the LIBOR yield curves correctly anticipate future LIBOR spot interest rates. These payments are then discounted using the spot rates implied by the current yield curves for hypothetical zero-coupon bonds due on the date of each future net settlement of the swaps. The fair value calculations as of June 30, 2011 were calculated and verified by an independent consulting firm.

The District's fixed payer swaps were executed in 2004, and became effective in 2006 and 2007. The purpose of the fixed payer swaps was to hedge a portion of the interest rate risk exposure associated with the District's 100% variable rate debt structure at the time the swaps were executed. The interest rate swap notional amounts and maturities are not specifically related to a particular District debt issue, however are considered a hedge of a pooled portion of the District's variable rate debt exposure. The following table displays the objective and terms of the District's interest rate swaps outstanding at June 30, 2011, along with the credit rating of the associated counterparty:

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2011 (Continued)

# (3) Interest Rate Swap Agreements (Continued)

Current Year Active Interest Rate Swaps (in thousands):

Туре	Objective	Notional Amount	Effective Date	Maturity Date	Terms	Counterparty Rating
Fixed Payer	Hedge of \$ changes in cash flows on pool of variable rate debt issues	20,000	6/04/06	6/04/19	Pay 6.200%; receive 1-Mo. LIBOR	A1/A+/A+
Fixed Payer	Hedge of changes in cash flows on pool of variable rate debt issues	20,000	6/04/06	6/04/19	Pay 6.200%; receive 1-Mo. LIBOR	A2/A/A+
Fixed Payer	Hedge of changes in cash flows on pool of variable rate debt issues	30,000	6/17/06	6/17/19	Pay 6.140%; receive 1-Mo. LIBOR	A1/A+/A+
Fixed Payer	Hedge of changes in cash flows on pool of variable rate debt issues	30,000	3/10/07	3/10/29	Pay 5.687%; receive 1-Mo. LIBOR	A2/A/A+
Fixed Payer	Hedge of changes in cash flows on pool of variable rate debt issues	30,000	3/10/07	3/10/29	Pay 5.687%; receive 1-Mo. LIBOR	A1/A+/A+

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2011 (Continued)

#### (3) Interest Rate Swap Agreements (Continued)

The ISDA agreements for the above referenced interest rate swaps include a provision that the counterparties shall be required to post collateral should the mark-to-market value of the total interest rate swap portfolio with the respective counterparty, including any current outstanding swap accruals, exceed a threshold of (\$15,000,000). The amount of the collateral posted shall be the amount of the mark-to-market value plus outstanding swap accrual amounts in excess of (\$15,000,000). As of June 30, 2011, the mark-to-market value of the total interest rate swaps with Citigroup as counterparty exceeded the threshold amount requiring a collateral deposit in the amount of \$7,343,667. The collateral funds are held in a separate trust account and earn interest at the Federal Funds Effective Rate (0.07% as of June 30, 2011).

Credit risk: The District is exposed to credit risk on interest rate swaps. To minimize its exposure to loss related to credit risk, the District's policy requires that the Finance and Personnel Committee evaluate and approve the counterparty creditworthiness of each counterparty prior to executing an ISDA Agreement, and all current swap agreements include collateral posting provisions. These terms require full collateralization of the fair value of interest rate swaps in asset positions (net of the effect of applicable netting arrangements) should the counterparty's credit rating fall below BBB+ as issued by Fitch Ratings and Standard & Poor's or Baal as issued by Moody's Investors Service. Collateral posted is to be in the form of U.S. Treasuries, or other approved securities, held by a third-party custodian.

The District's current swap agreements include netting arrangements in the event it enters into more than one swap transaction with the counterparty. Under the terms of these arrangements, should one party become insolvent or otherwise default on its obligations, close-out netting provisions permit the non-defaulting party to accelerate and terminate all outstanding transactions and net the transactions' fair values so that a single sum will be owed by, or owed to, the non-defaulting party.

The District has executed derivative transactions with two counterparties. Their ratings are A1/A+/A+ (62% of net exposure to credit risk) and A2/A/A+ (38% of net exposure to credit risk).

Interest rate risk: The District is exposed to interest rate risk on its interest rate swaps. On its fixed payer swaps, as LIBOR's swap index decreases, the District's net payment on the swap increases. Alternatively, on its fixed payer swaps, as LIBOR's swap index increases, the District's net payment on the swap decreases.

Basis risk: The District is exposed to basis risk on its fixed payer swaps because the variable-rate payments received by the District on these swaps are based on a rate or index other than interest rates the District pays on its variable-rate debt, which is remarketed daily or weekly.

Termination risk: The District or its counterparties may terminate an interest rate swap if the other party fails to perform under the terms of the contract. If at the time of termination, an interest rate swap is in a liability position, the District would be liable to the counterparty for a payment equal to the liability, subject to netting arrangements.

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2011 (Continued)

#### (3) Interest Rate Swap Agreements (Continued)

Collateral requirements: All of the District's interest rate swaps include provisions that require the District to post collateral in the event its credit rating falls below A as issued by Fitch Ratings and Standard & Poor's or A2 as issued by Moody's Investors Service. The collateral posted is to be in the form of U.S. Treasuries or other approved securities in the amount of the fair value of interest rate swaps in liability positions net of the effect of applicable netting arrangements. If the District does not post collateral, the swaps may be terminated by the counterparty. The District's credit rating is Aa1/AAA/AAA; therefore, no additional collateral has been posted at June 30, 2011, other than the collateral posted as a result of the mark-to-market valuations at June 30, 2011.

#### (4) Inventories

Inventories at June 30, 2011 consisted of the following (in thousands):

Untreated water	\$ -
Water in storage	496
Material and supplies	1,307
Total	\$ 1,803

Eighty percent of the total inventories for FY 2009-10 was related to the untreated water inventory which was then stored in Irvine Lake. As of June 30, 2010, the untreated water was comprised of 9,353 acre feet of imported water valued at \$4.785 million at approximately \$512 per acre foot. During the winter months, 5,857 acre feet of purchased water washed over the dam eliminating valued water inventory. This water was replaced with rain water and total inventory increased by 3,746 acre feet for a total available supply of 9,604 acre feet that would currently be valued at \$5.121 million at the untreated rate of \$533 per acre foot. Although rain water provides an available inventory for meeting customer demands the District has traditionally not placed a price on this available source until it is used. The activity in FY 2010-11 resulted in the elimination of purchased water inventories but resulted in a significant increase in available untreated inventory. Water in storage includes water in the water bank program. The purpose of the water bank program is to improve the District's water supply reliability by capturing water during wet hydrologic periods for use during dry periods or import supply interruptions. The water bank program is an important part of the District's ability to deliver water at reduced costs under such conditions.

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2011 (Continued)

Capital Asset activity for the year ended June 30, 2011 is as follows (in thousands):

## (5) <u>Capital Assets</u>

General plant

Utility plant

Sub-total

Land

Total capital assets, being depreciated, net

Water rights

Total capital assets, net

Capital assets, not being depreciated:

Construction in progress

Balance at Balance at June 30, 2010 **Additions** June 30, 2011 Deletions Capital assets, being depreciated: Waterworks in service: General plant \$ 16,316 330 (179)16,467 Land leasehold 4,860 4,860 13,909 Utility plant 739,181 (6)753,084 Sewer plant in service: General plant 16,885 327 (179)17,033 Utility plant 924,863 14,533 (59,750)879,646 Sub-total 29,099 1,702,105 (60,114)1,671,090 Less: Accumulated depreciation: Waterworks in service: General plant (12,070)(858)165 (12,763)Land leasehold (486)(97)(583)Utility plant (215,296)(17,510)(232,806)Sewer plant in service:

(1,100)

(24,027)

(43,592)

(14,493)

13,048

118,054

116,609

(12,020)

(247,736)

(487,608)

1,214,497

44,244

135,699

\$1,396,643

2,203

(12,955)

(252,741)

(511,848)

1,159,242

57,292

211,602

1,430,339

2,203

165

19,022

19,352

(40,762)

(42,151)

(82,913)

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2011 (Continued)

### (6) <u>Capitalized Amounts</u>

Net interest costs and certain administrative and general expenses relating to assets under construction are charged to construction-in-progress until the assets are ready for their intended use. Net interest costs are capitalized from the date proceeds are received from related borrowings.

The amount of administrative and general expenses and net interest costs capitalized to construction-in-progress for the year ended June 30, 2011 is as follows (in thousands):

Administrative and general expenses, capitalized	\$ 7,819
Interest expenses, capitalized*	5,397
	\$13,216

<sup>\*</sup> The total amount of interest cost incurred for the year ended June 30, 2011 (both the amounts expensed and the amounts capitalized) was \$60,836, including \$41,264 of interest on JPA Bonds.

#### (7) Real Estate Investments

Real estate investments are as follows at June 30, 2011 (in thousands):

Wood Canyon Villas, L.P.	\$5,949
Sycamore Canyon Apartments (net of accumulated depreciation of \$21,382)	22,516
Irvine Technology Center (net of accumulated depreciation of \$1,183)	4,372
Waterworks Way Business Park (net of accumulated depreciation of \$428)	8,523
Total	\$41,360
Total	Ψ11,500

Included in real estate investments are two apartment projects and two commercial office buildings. The District, through Bardeen Partners, Inc., an affiliated entity, is the sole limited partner in Wood Canyon Villas, L.P., a California limited partnership (Wood Canyon), and the sole owner of both Sycamore Canyon Apartments and a commercial office building (Irvine Technology Center). Separate of Bardeen Partners, Inc., the District is the sole owner of a commercial office building (Waterworks Way Business Park) and is currently constructing a medical office building (Sand Canyon Professional Offices) which is presently recorded in the basic financial statements within Construction in Progress.

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2011 (Continued)

#### (7) Real Estate Investments, (Continued)

Wood Canyon completed construction of a 230-unit apartment complex in May 1993. The complex is located in Orange County, California, and was 97% occupied at June 30, 2011. The Wood Canyon partnership agreement entitles the District to a 9% cumulative preferred return on its unrecovered contribution accounts, as defined in the agreement (initially \$6,000,000). For the year ended June 30, 2011, the District earned \$529,389 as preferred return income. The District's capital contributions and preferred return are guaranteed by the principals of the general partner who have also agreed to provide an irrevocable stand-by letter of credit, up to a maximum of \$750,000, to the extent the general partner's unrecovered contribution account falls below 12.5% of the District's unrecovered contribution account.

In December 1992, the District acquired a 450-unit apartment complex (original cost, \$34,093,000) in Orange County, California known as Sycamore Canyon Apartments. The complex was 96% occupied at June 30, 2011. The Sycamore Canyon Apartments Renovation Project began in June 2005, and included the addition of a clubhouse and fitness center to the existing leasing office, construction of a central maintenance shop and interior upgrades to the apartment units. The project was completed in November 2007 for a total cost of \$9.6 million.

In July 2003, the District completed construction of a 41,000 square foot for-lease office building located in Irvine, California known as the Irvine Technology Center. Costs for the project were \$5,534,276 and the building was 73% occupied as of June 30, 2011.

In November 2008, the District completed construction of a 37,200 square foot for-lease R&D office building located in Irvine, California known as the Waterworks Way Business Park. Construction of the building was a Specific Facilities requirement of the purchase agreement for land acquired for an adjacent District water treatment facility. Land and construction costs for the office project were \$8,983,395. As of June 30, 2011, the building was 61% occupied.

Net real estate income as of June 30, 2011 was as follows (in thousands):

Real estate income	\$ 9,719
Real estate expense	(4,070)
Depreciation	 (1,934)
	\$ 3,715

#### (8) Allen-McColloch Pipeline

For several years prior to fiscal year 1995, the District was a part owner and participant in a 27-mile pipeline through which it has received a portion of its water capacity from Metropolitan Water District (MWD). During fiscal year 1995, the District and the other participants sold the pipeline to MWD.

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2011 (Continued)

### (8) Allen-McColloch Pipeline (Continued)

In accordance with the sale agreement, the District will receive a total of \$45,788,202 over 37 years, all amounts representing the District's ownership of approximately 42% of the pipeline project. In addition to the initial cash payment, the District has received \$41,829,475 in payments under the agreement of which \$629,139 was received for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2011. The District's receivable at June 30, 2011 of \$3,333,019 has been presented net of deferred imputed interest of \$625,708.

The sales agreement further provides for the District to continue to have rights to the flow capacity from MWD, but MWD is not obligated to deliver an actual flow or quantity of water. The agreement also provides for MWD to forfeit ownership of the pipeline to the former owners (including the District) should it not make all required future payments.

### (9) Long-Term Liabilities

Long-term liability activity for the year ended June 30, 2011 is as follows (in thousands):

	Balance at June 30, 2010	Additions	<u>Deletions</u>	Balance at June 30, 2011	Due within one year	Due in more than one year
General Obligation Bonds:						
1985 Consolidated	\$5,200	-	(5,200)	-	-	-
1988 Series A	6,000	-	(1,300)	4,700	1,600	3,100
1989 C Consolidated	9,400	-	(2,100)	7,300	2,300	5,000
1991 Consolidated	8,500	-	(1,000)	7,500	1,100	6,400
1993 C Consolidated	37,500	-	-	37,500	-	37,500
1995 Consolidated	23,400	-	(1,700)	21,700	1,800	19,900
2008A Refunding	60,215	-	(1,415)	58,800	1,200	57,600
2008B Refunding	100,355	-	(100,355)	-	-	_
2009A Consolidated	75,000	-	-	75,000	-	75,000
2009B Consolidated	75,000	-	-	75,000	-	75,000
2010B BABS	-	175,000	-	175,000	-	175,000
2011A-1 Refunding	-	60,545	-	60,545	1,470	59,075
2011A-2 Refunding	-	40,370	-	40,370	985	39,385
Unamortized Deferred Loss						
on Refunding	(1,415)	(837)	888	(1,364)	(54	) (1,310)
Total General						
Obligation Bonds	399,155	275,078	(112,182)	562,051	10,401	551,650

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2011 (Continued)

# (9) <u>Long-Term Liabilities (Continued)</u>

•	Balance at June 30, 2010	Additions	<u>Deletions</u>	Balance at June 30, 2011	Due within one year	Due in more than one year
JPA Revenue Bonds:						
1998 Taxable Refunding No. 1	274,080	-	(13,145)	260,935	14,160	246,775
1998 Taxable Refunding No. 2	13,215	-	(100)	13,115	13,115	-
2010 Taxable Refunding No. 2	436,955	-	(9,755)	427,200	19,770	407,430
Unamortized Amounts	(33,986)	-	9,151	(24,835)	(9,151)	(15,684)
Total JPA Revenue Bonds	690,264		(13,849)	676,415	37,894	638,521
Certificates of Participation:						
2010 Refunding Certificates	85,145	_	(3,745)	81,400	4,210	77,190
Unamortized Premium	7,817	_	(361)	7,456	361	7,095
Unamortized Deferred Loss	,		( )	,		,
on Refunding	(958)	-	145	(813)	(144)	(669)
Total Certificates	92,004		(3,961)	88,043	4,427	83,616
Notes Payable	4,553		(1,806)	2,747	253	2,494
Other Long-Term Liabilities:						
Compensated Absences	3,171	1,602	(1,695)	3,078	1,122	1,956
Other Long-Term Liabilities	963	751	(717)	997	89	908
Total Other Long-Term						
Liabilities	4,134	2,353	(2,412)	4,075	1,211	2,864
Total Long-Term Liabilities	\$1,190,110	277,431	(134,210)	1,333,331	54,186	1,279,145

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2011 (Continued)

# (9) Long-Term Liabilities, (Continued)

The following schedule summarizes the major terms of outstanding long-term debt (in thousands):

	Date of Issue	Original <u>Issue</u>	Pledged <u>Revenue</u>	Final Maturity <u>Date</u>	Principal Payment <u>Range</u>	Interest <u>Rates</u>
General Obligation Bonds:						
1988 Series A	June 2, 1988	20,000	(1)(3)	November 1, 2013	300-1,400	Variable
1989 Consolidated	June 1, 1989	35,000	(1)(3)	June 1, 2015	100-2,500	Variable
1991 Consolidated	August 1, 1991	19,100	(1)(3)	August 1, 2016	400-1,400	Variable
1993 Consolidated	May 1, 1993	38,300	(1)(3)	April 1, 2033	800-2,400	Variable
1995 Consolidated	December 1, 1995	40,000	(1)(3)	January 1, 2021	800-2,600	Variable
2008A Refunding	April 1, 2008	60,215	(1)(3)	July 1, 2035	1,200-6,000	Variable
2008B Refunding	April 1, 2008	100,355	(1)(3)	May 1, 2037	2,200-5,900	Variable
2009A Consolidated	June 4, 2009	75,000	(1)(3)	October 1, 2041	2,500	Variable
2009B Consolidated	June 4, 2009	75,000	(1)(3)	October 1, 2041	2,500	Variable
2010B BABS	December 16, 2010	175,000	(1)(3)	May 1, 2040	2,790-28,400	6.622%
2011A-1 Refunding	April 15, 2011	60,545	(1)(3)	October 1, 2037	1,380-3,540	Variable
2011A-2 Refunding	April 15, 2011	40,370	(1)(3)	October 1, 2037	920-2,360	Variable
JPA Revenue Bonds: 1998 Taxable						
Refunding No. 1 1998 Taxable	February 17, 1998	374,650	(4)	March 15, 2014	70-231,345	7.705%
Refunding No. 2 2010 Taxable	August 17, 1998	481,625	(4)	March 15, 2014	30-338,990	8.180%
Refunding No. 2	April 20, 2010	436,955	(4)	March 15, 2014	9,675-348,595	0.904-2.605%
Certificates of Participation:						
2010 Certificates	February 23, 2010	85,145	(2)	March 1, 2032	1,615-7,135	3.80%

<sup>(1)</sup> Ad valorem assessments or, in lieu of assessments, in the District's discretion, charges for water or sewer service

<sup>(2)</sup> Water, sewer, and reclaimed water charges and certain other revenues, as defined in the trust agreement

<sup>(3)</sup> Proceeds from the sale of property

<sup>(4)</sup> JPA investment earnings and principal amounts

<sup>(5)</sup> Available revenues

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2011 (Continued)

#### (9) <u>Long-Term Liabilities, (Continued)</u>

#### General Obligation Bonds

The General Obligation Bonds were issued to fund property, water, sewer and reclaimed water facilities. The following describes the more recent General Obligation bond issues:

#### Federally Taxable Build America Bonds, Series 2010B

On December 16, 2010, the District issued \$175,000,000 of Federally Taxable Build America Bonds, Series 2010B (the Series 2010B BABs) to finance certain water and sewer system improvements and related facilities of some Improvement Districts of the District, reimburse certain costs previously paid by the District, and pay the costs of issuance. The Series 2010B BABs are designated "Build America Bonds" for purpose of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009. Pursuant to the Recovery Act, the District expects to receive a cash subsidy payment from the United States Treasury equal to 35% of the interest payable on the Series 2010B BABs on or about each interest payment date. The Series 2010B BABs bear interest of 6.622%, commencing May 1, 2011. Net of the federal BABs interest subsidy, the net interest cost is 4.35%. The interest is payable semiannually on each May 1 and November 1 thereafter. The Series 2010B BABs are subject to redemption prior to maturity.

#### Consolidated General Obligation Refunding Bonds, Series 2011A-1 and 2011A-2

On April 15, 2011, the District issued \$60,545,000 of Consolidated General Obligation Bonds, Series 2011A-1 (the Series 2011A-1 Bonds) and \$40,370,000 of Consolidated General Obligation Bonds, Series 2011A-2 (the Series 2011A-2 Bonds). The purpose of the each Series is to refund the outstanding balance of the Consolidated General Obligation Refunding Bonds, Series 2008B and pay the costs of issuance. Each Series initially bear interest in an Index Mode and will bear interest at an Index Tender Rate, which is the SIFMA index as reset weekly plus a fixed spread which will reset from time to time. As of June 30, 2011, the fixed spread to SIFMA was 4 basis points. The interest of each Series is payable on the first Business Day of each month, commencing on May 2, 2011. The refunding resulted in a difference between the reacquisition price (the Series 2011A-1 and 2011A-2 Bonds) and the net carrying amount of the bonds (the Series 2008 Bonds) of \$837,585. This difference is considered to be a deferred loss on refunding. The deferred loss on refunding, reported in the basic financial statements as a deduction from long-term debt, is amortized on a straight-line method over 26 years. The net present value of economic gain (loss) (difference between the present value of the new and old debt service payments) was \$0.

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2011 (Continued)

#### 9) Long-Term Liabilities, (Continued)

General Obligation Bonds (Continued)

The annual debt service requirements for the General Obligation Bonds, including principal and interest payments (based on the variable interest rates at June 30, 2011 ranging from 0.02% to 0.13%) are as follows (in thousands):

			Hedging Investments,	BAB Federal	
Fiscal Year	<u>Principal</u>	<u>Interest</u>	<u>Net</u>	<u>Subsidy</u>	<u>Total</u>
2012	\$10,455	7,778	7 <i>,</i> 474	(4,056)	21,651
2013	15,960	7,761	7,474	(4,056)	27,139
2014	16,900	7,744	7,474	(4,056)	28,062
2015	13,500	7,731	7,474	(4,056)	24,649
2016	14,000	7,716	7,474	(4,056)	25,134
2017-2021	68,300	38,383	28,841	(20,280)	115,244
2022-2026	68,110	37,913	16,461	(20,208)	102,276
2027-2031	87,870	34,961	9,145	(18,797)	113,179
2032-2036	126,770	28,671	-	(15,617)	139,824
2037-2041	136,550	11,545	-	(6,143)	141,952
2042	5,000	2			5,002
Subtotal	563,415	190,205	91,817	(101,325)	744,112
Less: Unamortized					
amounts	(1,364)	-	-	-	(1,364)
Total	\$562,051	190,205	91,817	$(\overline{101,325})$	742,748

The above table incorporates the net receipts/payments of the hedging instruments that are associated with this debt issue(s). The amounts assume that current interest rates on variable-rate bonds and the current reference rates of the hedging instruments will remain the same for their term. As these rates vary, interest payments on variable-rate bonds and net receipts/payments on the hedging instruments will vary. Refer to Note 3 for additional information regarding the hedging instruments associated with the debt of the District.

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2011 (Continued)

#### (9) <u>Long-Term Liabilities, (Continued)</u>

#### Joint Powers Agency Revenue Bonds

The Irvine Ranch Water District Joint Powers Agency (JPA), established as a separate entity by an agreement between the District and Community Facilities District No. 1 of the District, issued \$400,000,000 during fiscal 1988 and \$500,000,000 during fiscal year 1989 of Local Agency Pool Revenue Bonds (Revenue Bonds). Concurrent with the issuance of these Revenue Bonds, the JPA established a separate trust estate for each issue comprised of authorized investments sufficient to pay the debt service (principal and interest) on each of the respective issues of the Revenue Bonds. Principal and interest on the Revenue Bonds were secured solely by the respective separate trust estates.

In February 1998, the JPA issued \$374,650,000 of Taxable Refunding Bonds Issue #1, which were used to redeem the 1988 Revenue Bonds in March 1998. The 1998 Taxable Refunding Bonds Issue #1 are due semi-annually on March 15 and September 15 in varying amounts from \$70,000 to \$231,345,000, including accrued interest at 7.705%.

In August 1998, the JPA issued \$481,625,000 of Taxable Refunding Bonds Issue #2, which were used to redeem the 1989 Revenue Bonds in September 1998. The 1998 Taxable Refunding Bonds Issue #2 were refunded by the 2010 Taxable Refunding Bonds Issue #2 in April 2010. The outstanding balance of the 1998 Taxable Refunding Bonds Issue #2 will be paid off during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2012.

In April 2010, the JPA issued \$436,955,000 of Taxable Refunding Bonds Issue #2, which were used to advance refund a portion of the outstanding 1998 Taxable Refunding Bonds Issue #2. The Taxable Refunding Bonds Issue #2 are due semi-annually on March 15 and September 15 in varying amounts from \$9,675,000 to \$348,595,000.

The outstanding revenue bonds liability has been reduced by the unamortized balance of the deferred refunding charge of \$29,077,091 (\$267,517 for issue #1 and \$28,809,574 for issue #2). Additionally, at the time of refunding in 1998, the District negotiated a \$25,604,713 contribution from a financial institution that has been amortized over a straight-line basis over the life of the refunding bonds. At June 30, 2011, the unamortized amount is \$4,242,169 (\$1,532,205 for issue #1 and \$2,709,964 for issue #2).

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2011 (Continued)

## (9) Long-Term Liabilities, (Continued)

Joint Powers Agency Revenue Bonds (Continued)

At June 30, 2011, the aggregate of the JPA taxable refunding bond issues (excluding amortizations) due is as follows (in thousands):

<u>Fiscal Year</u>	<u>Principal</u>	<u>Interest</u>	<u>Total</u>
2012	\$47,045	31,162	78,207
2013	49,055	29,107	78,162
2014	605,150	27,211	632,361
Subtotal	701,250	87,480	788,730
Plus: Unamortized amounts	(24,835)	-	(24,835)
Total	\$676,415	87,480	763,895

At June 30, 2011, the cash flow of the underlying JPA investments securing the JPA taxable refunding bond issues is as follows (in thousands):

Fiscal Year	<u>Principal</u>	<u>Interest</u>	<u>Total</u>
2012	\$29,861	52,369	82,230
2013	30,462	50,084	80,546
2014	672,401	47,618	720,019
Total	\$732,724_*	<u>150,071</u>	882,795

<sup>\*</sup> Amount includes accumulated increase in fair value of investments of \$68,412.

The future net cash flows of the JPA revenue bonds and underlying investments (excluding unamortized amounts and the fair value of investments) are as follows (in thousands):

	<u>Principal</u>	<u>Interest</u>	<u>Total</u>
Net Cash Flow to District:	\$ (36,938)	62,591	\$25,653

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2011 (Continued)

## (9) <u>Long-Term Liabilities</u>, (Continued)

#### Certificates of Participation

On February 23, 2010, the Irvine Ranch Water District Service Corporation issued \$85,145,000 of Certificates of Participation Refunding Series 2010 (the Series 2010 Certificates) to refinance the cost of certain capital improvement by refunding the outstanding principal amount of the Certificates of Participation Series 1986 and Series 2008 and pay the costs of issuance of the Series 2010 Certificates. The Series 2010 Certificates bear interest ranging from 0.6% to 5.0% per annum and is payable on the September 1, 2010 and each March 1 and September 1 thereafter. Principal is payable in annual installments ranging from \$1,615,000 to \$7,135,000. The Series 2010 Certificates are secured by an Installment Sale Agreement, dated as of February 1, 2010 between the District and the Irvine Ranch Water District Water Service Corporation. The District's obligation to make Installment Payments is a special obligation payable solely from Net Revenues.

The annual debt service requirements for the Certificates of Participation, including principal and interest payments, are as follows (in thousands):

Fiscal Year	<u>Principal</u>	<u>Interest</u>	<u>Total</u>
2012	\$4,210	3,806	8,016
2013	4,700	3,688	8,388
2014	5,300	3,453	8,753
2015	5,910	3,188	9,098
2016	6,545	2,941	9,486
2017-2021	14,695	11,676	26,371
2022-2026	14,060	8,723	22,783
2027-2031	20,815	4,572	25,387
2032	5,165	258	5,423
Subtotal	81,400	42,305	123,705
Less: Unamortized			
amounts	6,643		6,643
Total	\$88,043	42,305	130,348

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2011 (Continued)

#### (9) <u>Long-Term Liabilities, (Continued)</u>

#### Notes Payable

The District had two loans from the State of California to fund reclaimed water projects. The balance on the 1990 loan was paid off at June 30, 2011. The balance on the 2000 loan was \$1,747,308 at June 30, 2011. The annual interest rate is 0.00%, however, the loan agreement required the District to prepay the interest of \$647,000, which will be amortized over the life of the loan. The loan is payable annually in fixed installments of \$194,146 through 2020.

The District also assumed two loans as a result of its consolidation with the Santiago County Water District. The original loan amount of the first loan was \$1,940,500. The loan paid off at June 30, 2011. The original loan amount of the second loan was \$1,300,000. The loan is payable semi-annually with interest at 2.32%. The loan matures in July 2025. The balance of the loan at June 30, 2011 was \$1,000,018.

Amounts required to amortize notes payable at June 30, 2011 are as follows (in thousands):

Fiscal Year	<u>Principal</u>	<u>Interest</u>	<u>Total</u>
2012	\$253	55	308
2013	254	54	308
2014	256	52	308
2015	257	51	308
2016	258	50	308
2017-2021	1,122	192	1,314
2022-2026	347_	20	367
Total	\$2,747	474	3,221

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2011 (Continued)

#### (10) Deferred Revenue

Deferred Revenue at June 30, 2011 consisted of the following (in thousands):

South County Water Agencies	\$10,475
U.S. Department of Navy	69
Total	10,544
Deferred revenue, current portion	594
Deferred revenue, net of current portion	\$9,950

On November 10, 2008, the Board approved the South Orange County – Irvine Ranch Water District Interconnection Projects Participation Agreement (Agreement). The Agreement was made and effective on November 14, 2008 between the District, City of San Clemente (CSC), Laguna Beach County Water District (LBCWD), Moulton Niguel Water District (MNWD), Santa Margarita Water District (SMWD), South Coast Water District (SCWD), Municipal Water District of Orange County (MWDOC), and Orange County Water District (OCWD). The purpose of the Agreement is allowing the South County water agencies (CSC, LBCWD, MNWD, SMWD, and SCWD) to buy capacity in the District system and reimburse the District for various new intertie facilities which would ensure that up to 30 cfs of water supply is available in an emergency. The total cost of the agreement was paid in full by each party of the South County water agencies in the fiscal year ended June 30, 2009. The amount of deferred revenue related to the South County Water Agencies is amortized over 20 years, the term of the Agreement. The amount of amortization for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2011 was \$604,252.

The amount of \$143,954 is a payment on the insurance of the Irvine Desalter Project from the U.S. Department of the Navy. The amount of amortization for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2011 was \$75,106.

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2011 (Continued)

#### (11) Letters of Credit

The District has letters of credit securing the payment of principal and interest on General Obligation Bonds. The letters of credit are issued in favor of the trustees and enable the trustees to make drawings against the letters of credit for payment of principal and interest amounts.

The terms of the letters of credit are summarized as follows (in thousands):

<u>Letter of Credit</u>	<u>Trustee</u>	<u>Amount</u>	Expiration Date
Bank of America:			
1993 Consolidated	Bank of New York Mellon	37,988	November 1, 2013
2009 Series B Consolidated	U.S. Bank	75,838	December 3, 2013
1989 Consolidated	Bank of New York Mellor	n 7,456	June 15, 2014
1991 Consolidated	Bank of New York Mellon	7,623	August 15, 2016
Landesbank Hessen-Thuringen:			
1988 Series A	Bank of New York Mellon	4,800	November 15,2012
Sumitomo Mitsui:			
1995 Consolidated	Bank of New York Mellon	22,021	April 15, 2014
2008 Series A Refunding	Bank of New York Mellon	,	April 15, 2014
U.S. Bank:			
2009 Series A Consolidated	U.S. Bank	75,838	November 10, 2013

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2011 (Continued)

#### (12) Rate Covenants

The District is required by certain debt documents to collect revenues which will be at least sufficient to yield net revenues equal to 125% of debt service payable during the fiscal year. The following demonstrates the District's compliance with the rate covenants for the year ended June 30, 2011 (in thousands).

Net revenues:	
Revenues:	
Water sales and service charges	\$54,796
Sewer services charges	45,375
Connection fees	10,572
Residual real estate income	3,715
Investment income	2,599
Residual JPA income	12,444
Available 1% property tax revenue	15,113
Other income	7,987
	152,601
Operating and maintenance expenses:	
Water:	
Water services	42,383
General and administrative	13,663
Customer accounts	2,242
Sewer:	
Sewer services	30,787
General and administrative	7,669
Customer accounts	1,495
Other expenses	989
-	99,228
Net revenues	\$53,373
Debt service on parity obligations:	
2010 Refunding COP principal and interest	\$7,680
1997 State loan	226
2010 Build America Bonds principal and interest	4,080
2011A Index Tender Notes principal and interest	35
Debt service	\$12,021
Debt ratio	444%

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2011 (Continued)

#### (13) Net Assets

Net assets at June 30, 2011 consisted of the following (in thousands):

Invested in capital assets, net of related debt:

Property, plant and equipment, net	\$1,430,339
Less:	
Outstanding debt issued to construct capital assets:	
General obligation bonds	(562,051)
Certificates of participation	(88,043)
Notes payable	(2,747)
Add back unspent portion of debt applicable to	
unspent bond proceeds	123,107
Total invested in capital assets, net of related debt	900,605
Restricted net assets:	
Restricted for water services	234,261
Restricted for sewer services	206,735
Total restricted net assets	440,996
Total net assets	\$1,341,601

#### (14) Defined Benefit Pension Plan (PERS)

The District contributes to the California Public Employees Retirement System (PERS), an agent multiple-employer public employee defined benefit pension plan. PERS provides retirement, disability benefits, and death benefits to plan members and beneficiaries. PERS acts as a common investment and administrative agent for participating public entities within the State of California. Copies of PERS' annual financial report may be obtained from its executive office at 400 "P" Street, Sacramento, California 95814.

Benefit provisions and all other requirements are established by State statute and the District's Board of Directors. Starting July 1, 2008, participants are required to contribute 8% of their annual covered salary. During the fiscal year ending June 30, 2011, the District made 7% of the contributions required of District employees on their behalf and for their account, while the District employees made 1% of the contribution. As of July 1, 2011, the District will make between 2% and 5% of the contributions required of District employees on their behalf, depending on job classification.

In addition, the District is required to contribute at an actuarially determined rate applied to annual covered payroll. The District's contribution rate for the indicated period is 12.676%. In order to calculate the dollar value of the annual required contribution for inclusion in financial statements prepared as of June 30, 2011, this contribution rate would be multiplied by the payroll of covered employees that was actually paid during the period July 1, 2010 to June 30, 2011. This rate for the Fiscal Year 2011-12 is 17.757%; the rate for Fiscal Year 2012-13 has been established at 16.106%.

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2011 (Continued)

#### (14) Defined Benefit Pension Plan (PERS), (Continued)

Under GASB 27, an employer reports an annual pension cost (APC) equal to the annual required contribution (ARC) plus an adjustment for the cumulative difference between the APC and the employer's actual plan contributions for the year. The cumulative difference is called the net pension obligation (NPO) (negative or positive). The ARC for the period July 1, 2010 to June 30, 2011 has been determined by an actuarial valuation of the plan as of June 30, 2009.

A summary of principal assumptions and methods used to determine the ARC is shown below.

Valuation Date Actuarial Cost Method Amortization Method Average Remaining Period Asset Valuation Method Actuarial Assumptions: Investment Rate of Return Projected Salary Increases

Inflation
Payroll Growth

Individual Salary Growth

June 30, 2010

Entry Age Normal Cost Method

Level Percent of Payroll

18 Years as of the Valuation Date

15 Year Smoothed Market

7.75% (net of administrative expenses) 3.55% to 14.45% depending on Age, Service, and type of employment

3.00% 3.25%

A merit scale varying by duration of employment coupled with an assumed annual inflation component of 3.00% and an annual

production growth of 0.25%.

Initial unfunded liabilities are amortized over a closed period that depends on the plan's date of entry into PERS. Subsequent plan amendments are amortized as a level percentage of pay over a closed 20-year period. Gains and losses that occur in the operation of the plan are amortized over a 30-year rolling period, which results in an amortization of 6% of unamortized gains and losses each year. If the plan's accrued liability exceeds the actuarial value of plan assets, then the amortization period may not be lower than the payment calculated over a 30 year amortization period.

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2011 (Continued)

#### (14) Defined Benefit Pension Plan (PERS), (Continued)

The Schedule of Funding Progress below shows the recent history of the actuarial value of assets, actuarial accrued liability, their relationship, and the relationship of the unfunded accrued liability to payroll.

Required Supplementary Information (in thousands)

	Entry Age		Unfunded				
	Normal	Actuarial	Liability/	Funded	Status	Annual	UALL
Valuation	Accrued	Value	(Excess	Actuarial	Market	Covered	As a % of
<u>Date</u>	<u>Liability</u>	of Assets	Assets)	<u>Value</u>	<u>Value</u>	Payroll	Payroll
6/30/06	\$96,470	81,300	15,170	84.3%	88.7%	20,862	72.7%
6/30/07	110,713	90,675	20,038	81.9%	93.9%	22,262	90.0%
6/30/08	120,778	100,241	20,537	83.0%	83.7%	23,450	87.6%
6/30/09	149,825	110,923	38,902	74.0%	54.3%	24,307	160.0%
6/30/10	158,904	124,553	34,351	78.4%	63.0%	24,929	137.8%

Since 2009, the District has made contributions totaling \$11.3 million in excess of the ARC to PERS to reduce the overall unfunded liability. The District's annual pension cost and net pension asset, computed in accordance with GASB 27, for the year ended June 30, 2011, were as follows (in thousands):

Annual required contribution	\$2,998
Interest on beginning pension asset	(373)
Adjustment to annual required contribution	387
Annual pension cost	3,012
Contribution made	9,480
Increase (decrease) in pension asset	6,468
Net pension asset, beginning of year	4,815
Net pension asset, end of year	\$11,283

The District's annual pension cost, the percentage of annual pension cost contributed to the plan, and the net pension asset for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2011 and the two preceding years were as follows:

Three-Year Trend Information
Annual Pension Cost (Employer Contribution) (in thousands)

	Employee			Annual	Percentage	Net
Fiscal	Contribution	Employer	Total District	Pension	of APC	Pension
<u>Year</u>	(District Paid)	<b>Contribution</b>	<b>Contribution</b>	Cost (APC)	<b>Contribution</b>	<u>Asset</u>
6/30/09	\$1,661	6,353	8,014	3,353	189.5%	3,000
6/30/10	1,709	4,797	6,506	2,982	160.9%	4,815
6/30/11	1.728	9,480	11,208	3,012	314.7%	11,283

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2011 (Continued)

#### (14) Defined Benefit Pension Plan (PERS), (Continued)

The Employer Contribution payments include payments made to PERS in excess of ARC.

In November 2010 and October 2011, the District received from PERS actuarial reports that contained information concerning the employer contribution rate for the 2011-12 fiscal year and 2012-13 fiscal year, respectively. This information is summarized below.

	Fiscal Year <u>2010-11</u>	Fiscal Year <u>2011-12</u>	Fiscal Year <u>2012-13</u>
Normal cost	7.268%	7.147%	7.223%
Amortizaton of net actuarial liability (surplus)	5.408%	_10.610%	8.883%
	12.676%	<u>17.757%</u>	16.106%

#### (15) Other Post Employment Benefits

During the year ended June 30, 2008, the District implemented GASB Statement No. 45 *Accounting and Financial Reporting by Employers for Postemployment Benefits Other Than Pensions* which changed the accounting and financial reporting used by local government employers for postemployment benefits. Previously, the costs of such benefits were generally recognized as expenses of local government employers on a pay-as-you-go basis. The new reporting requirements for these benefit programs as they pertain to the District are set forth below.

The District has three other post employment benefits (OPEB) plans:

a. <u>PEMHCA</u>: The District provides an agent multiple-employer defined benefit health-care plan to retirees through the California Public Employee Retirement System (CalPERS) under the California Public Employees Medical and Hospital Care Act (PEMHCA), commonly referred to as PERS Health. The CalPERS Board of Administration administers the health benefits program and determines the benefits design, including any co-pays and deductibles, providers, and premiums. Employees are eligible for this lifetime benefit if they retire from the District and are eligible to begin drawing a PERS pension. Participation in PEMHCA is financed in part by the District through a contribution of \$108 per month per employee and participating retiree. The contribution rate is scheduled to be indexed with medical inflation (CPI) for years thereafter. In addition, the District pays PEMHCA 0.37% of the premium to cover the administrative fees for each retiree participating in the program. During the fiscal year ended June 30, 2011, the District contributed \$57,626 on behalf of retirees participating in this benefit.

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2011 (Continued)

#### (15) Other Post Employment Benefits, (Continued)

- b. Retiree Health Costs Reimbursement Plan: The District administers a single-employer defined benefit healthcare plan which provides medical benefits to covered employees and their eligible dependents. The establishment or amendment of benefit provisions is determined by the District. Employees are eligible for this benefit if they retire from the District on or after age 55 with at least 10 years of service. The duration of the benefit is based on employees' years of service as follows: 36 months of benefits for employees with 10-14 years of service; 48 months of benefits for employees with 15-19 years of service; and 60 months of benefits for employees with at least 20 years of service. The District reimburses retirees for eligible healthcare costs of up to \$300 per month (for retirees with at least 10 years of service at the District), increasing by \$20 per month for each additional year of service, to a maximum of \$600 per month after 25 years of service. During the fiscal year ended June 30, 2011, the District contributed \$183,160 on behalf of retirees participating in this benefit.
- c. Retiree Death Benefit Only Plan: The District administers a single-employer defined benefit plan. On August 11, 2008, the Board of the District approved the termination and the cashing out of current life insurance policies on the retiree death benefit only plan with Pacific Life and contract with Principal Financial Group for active and retiree coverage. The Board's action to the plan was effective December 31, 2008. The plan's benefits were reduced to \$10,000 and self-insured beginning at age 70 for current retirees and eliminated altogether after age 70 for future retirees (reduced to \$10,000 after age 70 for Board members). Eligibility provisions were changed to match the Retiree Health Costs Reimbursement Plan, and the funding mechanism for the pre-age 70 death benefits was changed from split dollar to term insurance. Employees hired on or before December 31, 2008 are eligible for term life insurance with a face amount equal to 100% of their final annual salary at the time of retirement. Employees hired after December 31, 2008 will not be eligible for this plan. During the fiscal year ended June 30, 2011, the District contributed \$7,537 on behalf of retirees participating in this benefit.

Membership of the plan consisted of the following at January 1, 2011, the date of the latest actuarial valuation:

	<u>PEMHCA</u>	Retiree Health Costs <u>Reimbursement</u>	Retiree Death Benefit Only
Retirees and beneficiaries receiving benefits Terminated plan members	44	31	58
entitled to but not yet receiving benefits	-	-	-
Active plan members	298_	298	298
Total	342	329_	356

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2011 (Continued)

#### (15) Other Post Employment Benefits, (Continued)

The District reports the financial activity of the three plans in its basic financial statements. No separate benefit plan report is issued.

#### Annual OPEB Cost and Net OPEB Obligation

The required contributions for the District's various other post employment benefits are based on projected pay-as-you go financing requirements, with an additional amount to prefund benefits as determined annually the District's Board of Directors. The District's annual OPEB expense is based on the annual required contribution of the employer (ARC), an amount actuarially determined in accordance with the parameters of GASB Statement No. 45. The ARC represents a level of funding that, if paid on an ongoing basis, is projected to cover normal cost each year and amortize any unfunded actuarial liabilities (or funding excess) as a level dollar open period not to exceed 30 years.

The following table shows the components of the District's annual OPEB cost for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2011, the amount actually contributed for each OPEB benefit, and the changes in the District's net OPEB obligation:

	Retiree				
	Health Costs Retiree Death				
	PEMHCA R	<u>eimbursement</u> ]	Benefit Only	<u>Total</u>	
Annual OPEB cost (expense):			-		
Annual required contribution	\$267,330	290,046	24,193	581,569	
Interest on net OPEB obligation	29,485	18,173	36,148	83,806	
Adjustment to annual required					
contribution	(38,361)	(23,644)	(47,029)	(109,034)	
Annual OPEB cost (expense)	258,454	284,575	13,312	556,341	
Contribution made	(57,626)	(183,160)	(7,537)	(248,323)	
Increase in net OPEB obligation	200,828	101,415	5,775	308,018	
Net OPEB obligation - beginning of year	r 589,709	363,469	722,954	1,676,132	
			·		
Net OPEB obligation - end of year	\$790,537	464,884	728,729	1,984,150	

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2011 (Continued)

#### (15) Other Post Employment Benefits, (Continued)

#### Annual OPEB Cost and Net OPEB Obligation (Continued)

The District's annual OPEB cost, the percentage of annual OPEB cost contributed to the plans, and the net OPEB obligation for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2011 and the two preceding years were as follows:

Schedule of Employer Contributions

		Annual		
	Year	Required	Percentage	Net OPEB
<u>Plan</u>	<b>Ended</b>	<u>Contribution</u>	<u>Contributed</u>	<u>Obligation</u>
PEMHCA	6/30/09 6/30/10 6/30/11	\$238,954 238,071 258,454	18.53% 19.17% 22.30%	\$397,283 589,709 790,537
Retiree Health Costs				
Reimbursement	6/30/09	271,640	52.01%	252,015
	6/30/10	276,934	59.75%	363,469
	6/30/11	284,575	64.36%	464,884
Retiree Death Benefit Only	6/30/09	334,246	2.01%	707,429
	6/30/10	21,961	29.31%	722,954
	6/30/11	13,312	56.61%	728,729
Total - All Plans	6/30/09 6/30/10 6/30/11	844,840 536,966 556,341	38.93% 22.76% 44.63%	1,356,727 1,676,132 1,984,150

#### Funded Status and Progress

Actuarial valuations of an ongoing plan involve estimates of the value of reported amounts and assumptions about the probability of occurrence of events in the future. Examples include assumptions about employee turnover, retirement, mortality, and economic assumptions regarding healthcare inflation and interest rates. Amounts determined regarding the funded status of the plan and the annual required contributions of the District are subject to continual revision as actual results are compared with past expectations and new estimates are made about the future.

The schedule of funding progress presents multi-year trend information about whether the actuarial value of plan assets is increasing or decreasing over time relative to the actuarial accrued liabilities for the benefits.

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2011 (Continued)

#### (15) Other Post Employment Benefits, (Continued)

Funded Status and Progress, (Continued)

Required Supplementary Information (in thousands)

<u>Plans</u>	Actuarial Valuation <u>Date</u>	Actuarial Value of Assets (a)	Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL) (b)	Unfunded AAL (UAAL) (b - a)	Funded Ratio (a/b)	Covered Payroll (c)	UAAL as a % of Covered Payroll ([b-a]/c)
PEMHCA	7/1/07	\$-	\$2,025	\$2,025	0.00%	\$22,636	8.9%
	1/1/09	-	2,140	2,140	0.00%	23,733	9.0%
	1/1/11	-	2,484	2,484	0.00%	24,695	10.1%
Retiree Health Costs Reimbursemen							
Plan	7/1/07	-	2,191	2,191	0.00%	22,636	9.7%
	1/1/09	-	2,357	2,357	0.00%	23,733	9.9%
	1/1/11	-	2,541	2,541	0.00%	24,695	10.3%
Retiree Death Benefit Only							
Plan	7/1/07	-	5,891	5,891	0.00%	22,636	26.0%
	1/1/09	-	402	402	0.00%	23,733	1.7%
	1/1/11	-	365	365	0.00%	24,695	1.5%

#### Actuarial Methods and Assumptions

The projection of benefits for financial reporting purposes does not explicitly incorporate the potential effects of legal or contractual funding limitations. Projections of benefits are based on the substantive plan (the plan as understood by the District and plan members) and include the types of benefits provided at the time of each valuation date and the pattern of sharing of benefit costs between the District and plan members to that point. The actuarial methods and assumptions used include techniques that are designed to reduce the effects of short-term volatility in actuarial accrued liabilities and the actuarial assets, consistent with the long-term perspective of the calculations.

The actuarial cost method used for determining the benefit obligations is the Projected Unit Credit Cost Method. The actuarial assumptions included a 5.0% investment rate of return, which is the assumed rate of the expected long-term investment returns on plan assets calculated based on the funded level of the plan at the valuation date, and an annual healthcare cost trend rate of 8.0% initially, reduced by decrements of 1% per year to an ultimate rate of 5% after the third year. Both rates included a 4.0% inflation assumption. The UAAL is being amortized as a level dollar open period over 30 years. It is assumed the District's payroll will increase 3% per year.

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2011 (Continued)

#### (16) Deferred Compensation Plans

#### Retirement for Part Time Employees

The District provides pension benefits for all of its part-time employees through a defined contribution plan, in lieu of providing social security benefits. In a defined contribution plan, benefits depend solely on amounts contributed to the plan plus investment earnings. The plan is administered as part of the District's Section 457 plan. All part-time and seasonal employees are eligible to participate from the date of employment. Federal legislation requires contributions of at least 7.5% to a retirement plan. For the year ended June 30, 2011, the District's payroll covered by the plan was \$926,391. The District made no employee contributions. The employees contributed \$69,479 (7.5% of current covered payroll) for the year ended June 30, 2011.

#### **Deferred Compensation**

All regular, full-time District employees are eligible to participate in the District's deferred compensation program pursuant to Section 457 of the Internal Revenue Code (Plan) whereby they can voluntarily contribute a portion of their earnings into a tax-deferred fund administered by the District and invested through a third party provider. Pursuant to the Economic Growth and Tax Relief Reconciliation Act of 2001 (EGTRRA), effective January 1, 2002, employees may contribute the lesser of 100% of includible compensation or the maximum dollar amount allowable under Internal Revenue Code Section 457 in effect for the year. The dollar amount currently in effect for calendar year 2011 is \$16,500. After 2008, the limit is being indexed to inflation in \$500 increments.

Effective January 1, 2008, for employees with one year or more of services, the District provides 100% matching up to an annual maximum of 3% of the employee's base salary after one year of service. Such employer contribution amounts are deposited into a money purchase plan pursuant to Section 401(a) of the Internal Revenue Code. During the year ended June 30, 2011, the District contributed \$582,563 to employee accounts under the 401(a) plan.

Effective July 1, 2008, employees with two years or more of service are entitled to an additional annual contribution by the District based on 3% of base salary. Such employer contribution amounts are deposited into a money purchase plan pursuant to Section 401(a) of the Internal Revenue Code. During the year ended June 30, 2011, the District contributed \$681,382 to employee accounts under the 401(a) plan. As of July 1, 2011, the District has discontinued provided a direct contribution benefit.

The assets in both plans are held in trust for the exclusive benefit of the participants and their beneficiaries, and are therefore not reported in the financial statements of the District.

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2011 (Continued)

#### (17) Commitments and Contingencies

#### Orange County Sanitation District (OCSD)

The District, with OCSD, negotiated an agreement as of July 1, 1985, which has been amended from time to time. The District agreed to annually fund payment of the District's proportionate share of OCSD's joint capital outlay revolving fund (CORF) budget requirements and certain capital improvements, calculated on an annual flow basis using the four highest months of actual flows, during the term of the agreement. The capital assets associated with this agreement are co-owned by the two agencies and provide an operational benefit to both agencies. The District's CORF payments to OCSD for the year ended June 30, 2011 totaled \$2,368,015. The District's share of the jointly funded CORF and capital improvements is included in capital assets in the District's basic financial statements. An annual reconciliation of fiscal year 2010-11 OCSD operations and maintenance and CORF charges to the District will be performed in fiscal year 2011-12 which may determine additional amounts payable to or receivable from OCSD.

The District, with OCSD, negotiated an agreement as of April 28, 2010, providing for treatment and disposal by OCSD of District solids and the temporary lease of capacity in OCSD's solids treatment and disposal facilities through December 31, 2016 with a retroactive component for the lease of capacity back to July 1, 2008. The capacity lease for fiscal year 2010-11, estimated at \$2,256,386, is included in Sewer Services as an operating expense. An annual reconciliation of actual and estimated solids flows for fiscal year 2010-11 will be performed in fiscal year 2011-12 which may determine additional amounts payable to or receivable from OCSD.

As of June 30, 2011 \$10,700,000 is being held by OCSD to meet District's share of OCSD budget requirements and is recorded as deposits in the District's basic financial statements. An additional \$45,574,895 is being held by OCSD and is recorded as due from other agency in the District's basic financial statements. These funds will be applied by OCSD as payment of operation and maintenance and CORF invoices in subsequent fiscal years, and continued to earn interest at OCSD's rate of return, for the benefit of the District.

#### Legal Actions

The District is a defendant in various legal actions arising out of the conduct of the District's operations. Management believes that, based on current knowledge, the outcome of these matters will not have a material adverse effect on the District's financial position.

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2011 (Continued)

#### (17) Commitments and Contingencies (Continued)

#### Commitments

The following material construction commitments existed at June 30, 2011 (in thousands):

Cumulative	
Expenditures as of	Remaining
June 30, 2011	Commitments
\$ 65,731	59,650
4,869	6,895
3,460	2,878
10,229	19,817
1,075	2,784
279	2,896
2,730	5,539
3,109	2,538
1,319	2,698
	Expenditures as of June 30, 2011  \$ 65,731

#### (18) Risk Management

The District is exposed to various risks of loss related to torts; theft of, damage to, and destruction of assets; errors and omissions; and natural disasters for which the District carries commercial insurance.

Property, Boiler and Machinery insurance is provided by a policy with Affiliated FM Insurance Company. Property insurance includes flood insurance but does not include earthquake insurance. General and excess liability coverage and workers compensation insurance is provided through participation in the California State Association of Counties Excess Insurance Authority via the California Public Entity Insurance Authority. Pollution and legal liability coverage for the Irvine Desalter Project is provided by a policy with Indian Harbor Insurance Company.

Liabilities are reported when it is probable that a loss has occurred and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated. Liabilities include an amount for claims that have been incurred but not reported (IBNRs). The result of the process to estimate the claims liability is not an exact amount as it depends on many complex factors, such as inflation, changes in legal doctrines, and damage awards. Accordingly, claims are reevaluated periodically to consider the effects of inflation, recent claim settlement trends (including frequency and amount of payouts), and other economic and social factors. The estimate of the claims liability also includes amounts for incremental claim adjustment expenses related to specific claims and other claim adjustment expenses regardless of whether allocated to specific claims. Estimated recoveries, for example from salvage or subrogation, are another component of the claims liability estimate. An excess coverage insurance policy covers individual claims in excess of \$100,000. Settlements have not exceeded coverage for each of the past three fiscal years.

# Supplementary Information

### Combining Schedule of Net Assets - Water Subfunds

June 30, 2011 (in thousands)

	(111-11	iousuriusį						
	100/101	/ 112	113	115	120	121	130	135
ASSETS								
Current Assets:								
Cash and investments	\$37,452	(2,382)	2,254	(3,698)	7,186	3,336	23,732	8,788
Receivables:								
Customer accounts receivable	(1,340)	-	66	-	34	478	305	841
Interest receivable	61	(2)	10	(5)	10	22	49	17
Joint Powers Agency (JPA) interest receivable	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Notes receivable, current portion	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Allen-McColloch Pipeline receivable, current portion	-	-	-	-	-	64	47	84
Due from other agencies	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-
Other receivables	3,137	59	83	45	63	248	246	451
Total receivables	1,858	57	159	40	107	812	647	1,393
Other Current Assets:								
Inventories	1,803	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Prepaid items and deposits	130	51	175	_	_	108	107	91
JPA investment program, current portion	-	-	-	_	_	-	-	-
viii mi comient program, can ente per aen								
Total other current assets	1,933	51	175			108	107	91
Total current assets	41,243	(2,274)	2,588	(3,658)	7,293	4,256	24,486	10,272
Noncurrent Assets:								
Capital Assets:								
Waterworks in service	121,842	3,979	13,357	688	18,816	64,420	62,670	78,835
Less accumulated depreciation	(47,510)	(431)	(749)		(10,596)		(22,107)	,
Total capital assets being depreciated, net	74,332	3,548	12,608	670	8,220	46,028	40,563	40,932
Land and water rights	3,558	493	609	681	246	2,637	2,582	1,504
Construction in progress	33,965	2,705	3,286	3,674	3	9,555	7,683	3,057
Total capital assets, net	111,855	6,746	16,503	5,025	8,469	58,220	50,828	45,493
Other Noncurrent Assets:								
Debt service cash and investments	110	2,535	4,858	_	_	9,320	7,687	_
Unamortized debt issuance expense	27	2,333	65		1	104	87	
Notes receivable, net of current portion	21	21	03	-	1	104	07	-
Allen-McColloch Pipeline receivable, net	-	-	-	-	-	402	293	530
•	-	-	-	-	-	402	293	330
JPA investment program, net of current portion Real estate investments	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	11.202	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Net Pension Asset	11,283	-	-	-	-	400	477	405
Deferred outflow	-	225	777	-	-	480	477	405
Total other noncurrent assets	11,420	2,781	5,700		1	10,306	8,544	935
Interdistrict receivable	11,070							
Total noncurrent assets	134,345	9,527	22,203	5,025	8,470	68,526	59,372	46,428
TOTAL ASSETS	175,588	7,253	24,791	1,367	15,763	72,782	83,858	56,700

140	150	153/ 154/155	156	160/161	182/184	186/ 188/189	300	800-806	Total
13,368	56,155	475	807_	15,386	(398)	2,392			164,853
218	780	28	81	407	223	64	_	_	2,185
20	108	2	3	30	6	6	-	-	337
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	9,924	-	9,924
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	130	53	-	41	17	6	-	-	457
-	1 121	-	(2.027)	-	- 151	70	-	-	4 171
<u>232</u> 485	<u>1,121</u> 2,139	32 115	$\frac{(2,027)}{(1,943)}$	<u>252</u> 730	<u>151</u> 397		9,924		<u>4,171</u> 17,074
			(1,943)			134			
_	-	-	_	-	_	-	-	-	1,803
242	1,985	90	-	88	102	67	-	-	3,236
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	14,931	-	14,931
242	1,985	90		88	102	67	14,931		19,970
14,095	60,279	680	(1,136)_	16,204	101	2,613	24,855		201,897
53.773.224	1,282 11,850	1,095	65,858	35,882	17,063	_	_	_	774,410
(16,362)	(45,846)	(3,467)	(536)	(18,794)	(11,040)	(5,441)	-	-	(239,192)
37,411	178,436	8,383	559	47,064	24,842	11,622	-	-	535,218
776	7,528	641	1	1,900	2,497	516	-	-	26,169
2,683	22,732	3,851	2,879	4,933	3,547	1,169			105,722
40,870	208,696	12,875	3,439	53,897	30,886	13,307			667,109
-	15,866	_	_	4,212	3,318	956	-	-	48,862
86	580	-	-	115	53	67	-	-	1,206
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
94	816	336	-	257	107	40	-	-	2,875
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	351,431	-	351,431
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	41,360	41,360
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	11,283
1,074	8,826	-	-	392	454	297	-	-	13,407
1,254	26,088	336		4,976	3,932	1,360	351,431	41,360	470,424
									11,070
42,124	234,784	13,211	3,439	58,873	34,818	14,667	351,431	41,360	1,148,603
56,219	295,063	13,891	2,303	75,077	34,919	17,280	376,286	41,360	1,350,500

### Combining Schedule of Net Assets - Water Subfunds June 30, 2011 (Continued)

	100/101/ 199	112	113	115	120	121_	130_	135
LIABILITIES AND NET ASSETS								
Current Liabilities:								
Account payable and accrued expenses	8,998	146	175	246	_	391	290	1,042
Customer deposits and advance payments	546	32	39	56	_	115	90	145
Accrued interest:								
General obligation bonds	-	25	44	-	-	112	91	7
JPA revenue bonds	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other accrued interest payable	787	-	-	-	8	11	41	-
Current portion of long-term liabilities:								
General obligation bonds	-	-	151	_	_	_	-	98
Certificates of participation	954	-	-	_	27	40	145	_
JPA revenue bonds	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Notes payable	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other long-term liabilities	1,189	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Deferred revenue	-	10	15	7	-	43	33	59
Total current liabilities	12,474	213	424	309	35	712	690	1,351
Long-Term Liabilities:								
General obligation bonds, net of current portion	-	5,745	14,610	-	-	20,205	16,995	4,727
Certificates of Participation, net of current portion	3,691	-	-	-	105	154	560	-
JPA revenue bonds, net of current portion	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Notes payable, net of current portion	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other long-term liabilities, net of current portion	2,018	-	_	_	_	_	-	_
Deferred revenue, net of current portion	-	206	289	166	-	854	647	1,157
Net OPEB obligation	1,984	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Swap liability	-	225	777	-	-	480	477	405
Total long-term liabilities	7,693	6,176	15,676	166	105	21,693	18,679	6,289
Interdistrict payable								
TOTAL LIABILITIES	20,167	6,389	16,100	475	140_	22,405	19,369	7,640
NET ASSETS								
Change in net assets	(9,988)	452	727	241	643	533	42	(1,632)
Net assets at beginning of year	165,410	411	7,961	651	14,982	49,843	64,450	50,692
NET ASSETS AT END OF YEAR	\$155,422	863	8,688	892	15,625	50,376	64,492	49,060

31       234       37       -       60       43       14       -       -       1, 6         6       246       -       -       57       47       11       -       -         -       -       -       -       -       -       4,633       -       4,633       -       4,633       -       4,633       -       4,633       -       4,633       -       4,633       -       4,633       -       4,633       -        - <th>140</th> <th>150</th> <th>153/ 154/155</th> <th>156</th> <th>160/161</th> <th>182/184</th> <th>186/ 188/189</th> <th>300</th> <th>800-806</th> <th>Total</th>	140	150	153/ 154/155	156	160/161	182/184	186/ 188/189	300	800-806	Total
31       234       37       -       60       43       14       -       -       1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1										
6 246 57 47 11 1 4,633 - 4, 11 45 12 - 17 4,633 - 4, 11 45 12 - 17 4,633 - 4, 11 45 12 - 17 1, 11 45 12 - 17 1, 11 45 131 41 18,947 - 18, 18, 18,947 - 18,948 - 18,948	122	1,504	193	27	193	142	54	-	-	13,523
	31	234	37	-	60	43	14	-	-	1,442
11       45       12       -       17       - <td>6</td> <td>246</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>57</td> <td>47</td> <td>11</td> <td>_</td> <td>-</td> <td>646</td>	6	246	-	-	57	47	11	_	-	646
916	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4,633	-	4,633
34       131       -       -       41       -       -       -       18,947       -<	11	45	12	-	17	-	-	-	-	932
	916	2,248	-	_	22	607	602	_	-	4,644
59 1,  12 299 5 - 22 17 5 1,  1,132 4,707 306 50 412 856 686 23,580 - 47,  11,347 128,507 11,645 10,188 3,793 - 227,  3,730 20,598 13,483 42,  941 319,261 - 319,  941 222,  229 5,434 110 - 435 329 94 22,  1,074 8,826 392 454 297 - 11,070 11,  16,380 163,365 1,606 164 25,955 10,971 4,184 319,261 - 618,  11,070 11,  17,512 168,072 1,912 214 26,367 11,827 4,870 342,841 11,070 677,  1,469 (3,514) 83 672 1,723 122 315 (21,857) (1,695) (31,695)	34	131	-	-	41	-	-	-	-	1,372
12     299     5     -     22     17     5     -     -       1,132     4,707     306     50     412     856     686     23,580     -     47,07       11,347     128,507     -     -     11,645     10,188     3,793     -     -     227,3730       3,730     20,598     -     -     13,483     -     -     -     -     42,27       -     -     -     -     -     -     -     42,27       -     -     941     -     -     -     -     -     -       -     -     941     -     -     -     -     -     2,229       5,434     110     -     435     329     94     -     -     9,1       1,074     8,826     -     -     392     454     297     -     -     13,2       16,380     163,365     1,606     164     25,955     10,971     4,184     319,261     -     618,2       -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     11,070     11,070     11,070       17,512     168,072     1,912     214     26,367 <t< td=""><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>18,947</td><td>-</td><td>18,947</td></t<>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	18,947	-	18,947
12     299     5     -     22     17     5     -     -       11,322     4,707     306     50     412     856     686     23,580     -     47,07       11,347     128,507     -     -     11,645     10,188     3,793     -     -     227,3730       3,730     20,598     -     -     13,483     -     -     -     -     42,222       -     -     941     -     -     -     -     -     -     -       -     -     941     -     -     -     -     -     -     -       -     -     941     -     -     -     -     -     -     -       -     -     941     -     -     -     -     -     -     -       -     -     555     164     -     -     -     -     -     -     2       229     5,434     110     -     435     329     94     -     -     9       -     -     -     392     454     297     -     -     13,60       16,380     163,365     1,606     164     25,955     10,971	-	-	59	-	-	-	-	-	-	59
1,132     4,707     306     50     412     856     686     23,580     -     47,       11,347     128,507     -     -     11,645     10,188     3,793     -     -     227,       3,730     20,598     -     -     13,483     -     -     -     -     42,       -     -     -     -     -     -     -     42,       -     -     941     -     -     -     -     -     -     -       -     -     941     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -       -     -     941     - </td <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>23</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>1,212</td>	-	-	-	23	-	-	-	-	-	1,212
11,347       128,507       -       -       11,645       10,188       3,793       -       -       227,3730       20,598       -       -       13,483       -       -       -       -       42,27         -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       42,261       -       319,261       -       319,261       -       319,261       -       319,261       -       319,261       -       319,261       - <td>12</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>527</td>	12									527
3,730       20,598       -       -       13,483       -       -       -       42,         -       -       -       -       -       319,261       -       319,         -       -       941       -       -       -       -       -       -         -       -       941       -       -       -       -       -       -       -         -       -       555       164       -       -       -       -       -       2         229       5,434       110       -       435       329       94       -       -       9,         -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       1,         1,074       8,826       -       -       392       454       297       -       -       13,         16,380       163,365       1,606       164       25,955       10,971       4,184       319,261       -       618,         -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       11,070       11,         17,512       168,072       1,912 <td< td=""><td>1,132</td><td>4,707</td><td>306</td><td>50</td><td>412</td><td>856</td><td>686</td><td>23,580</td><td>-</td><td>47,937</td></td<>	1,132	4,707	306	50	412	856	686	23,580	-	47,937
3,730       20,598       -       -       13,483       -       -       -       42,         -       -       -       -       -       319,261       -       319,         -       -       941       -       -       -       -       -       -         -       -       941       -       -       -       -       -       -       -         -       -       555       164       -       -       -       -       -       2         229       5,434       110       -       435       329       94       -       -       9,         -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       1,         1,074       8,826       -       -       392       454       297       -       -       13,         16,380       163,365       1,606       164       25,955       10,971       4,184       319,261       -       618,         -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       11,070       11,         17,512       168,072       1,912 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>										
			-	-		10,188	3,793	-	-	227,762
941 2, 229 5,434 110 - 435 329 94 9, 1, 1,074 8,826 392 454 297 13, 16,380 163,365 1,606 164 25,955 10,971 4,184 319,261 - 618, 11,070 11, 17,512 168,072 1,912 214 26,367 11,827 4,870 342,841 11,070 677, 1,469 (3,514) 83 672 1,723 122 315 (21,857) (1,695) (31,695)	3,730	20,598	-	-	13,483	-	-	210.201	-	42,321
-       -       555       164       -       -       -       -       22, 229         5,434       110       -       435       329       94       -       -       9, 22, 23, 23, 23, 23, 23, 23, 23, 23, 23	-	-	0.41	-	-	-	-	319,261	-	319,261
229       5,434       110       -       435       329       94       -       -       9,7         1,074       8,826       -       -       392       454       297       -       -       13,7         16,380       163,365       1,606       164       25,955       10,971       4,184       319,261       -       618,7         -       -       -       -       -       -       -       11,070       11,070       11,070       11,070       677,000       11,070       677,000       11,070       677,000       11,070       677,000       11,070       677,000       11,070       677,000       11,070	-	-		164	-	-	-	-	-	941
1,074     8,826     -     -     -     -     -     -     1,074       16,380     163,365     1,606     164     25,955     10,971     4,184     319,261     -     618       -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     11,070     11       17,512     168,072     1,912     214     26,367     11,827     4,870     342,841     11,070     677       1,469     (3,514)     83     672     1,723     122     315     (21,857)     (1,695)     (31,600)	- 220	- 5 /2/		104	125	220	0.4	-	-	2,737
1,074     8,826     -     -     392     454     297     -     -     13,       16,380     163,365     1,606     164     25,955     10,971     4,184     319,261     -     618,       -     -     -     -     -     -     -     11,070     11,       17,512     168,072     1,912     214     26,367     11,827     4,870     342,841     11,070     677,00       1,469     (3,514)     83     672     1,723     122     315     (21,857)     (1,695)     (31,600)		3,434	110	-			94	-	-	9,950 1,984
-     -     -     -     -     -     -     11,070     11,070     11,070     11,070     677,011       1,469     (3,514)     83     672     1,723     122     315     (21,857)     (1,695)     (31,605)		8,826	-	-			297	-	-	13,407
-     -     -     -     -     -     -     11,070     11,070     11,070     11,070     677,011       1,469     (3,514)     83     672     1,723     122     315     (21,857)     (1,695)     (31,605)	1.0.200	162.265	1,000	1.64	25.055	10.071	4.104	210.201		
17,512     168,072     1,912     214     26,367     11,827     4,870     342,841     11,070     677,       1,469     (3,514)     83     672     1,723     122     315     (21,857)     (1,695)     (31,695)	10,380	103,305	1,000	104	25,955	10,971	4,184	_319,201		618,363
1,469 (3,514) 83 672 1,723 122 315 (21,857) (1,695) (31,695)									11,070	11,070
1,469 (3,514) 83 672 1,723 122 315 (21,857) (1,695) (31,695)	17.512	168.072	1.912	214	26.367	11.827	4.870	342.841	11.070	677,370
										3,5.0
	1,469	(3,514)	83	672	1,723	122	315	(21,857)	(1,695)	(31,664)
37,230 130,301 11,030 1,417 40,300 22,300 12,030 33,303 31,303 704,	37,238	130,504	11,898	1,417	46,988	22,968	12,096	55,303	31,985	704,797
38,707	38,707	126,990	11,981	2,089	48,711	23,090	12,411	33,446	30,290	673,133

# Combining Schedule of Net Assets - Sewer Subfunds June 30, 2011 (in thousands)

	200/210/ 211/299	212	213	215	220	221
ASSETS	211/200					
Current Assets:						
Cash and investments	\$70,911	(5,024)	7,892	(752)	10,119	16,628
Receivables:						
Customer accounts receivable	963	27	66	_	127	567
Interest receivable	105	(2)	12	(1)	15	38
Joint Powers Agency (JPA) interest receivable	_	-	_	-	_	-
Notes receivable, current portion	11	_	_	_	_	-
Allen-McColloch Pipeline receivable, current portion	_	_	_	_	_	_
Due from other agencies	12,173	408	1,000	_	3,454	1,838
Other receivables	1,581	7	200	_	, -	104
Total receivables	14,833	440	1,278	(1)	3,596	2,547
Other Current Assets:						
Inventories	-	-	-	-	-	-
Prepaid items and deposits	12,877	196	572	-	-	717
JPA investment program, current portion					_	
Total other current assets	12,877	196	572		_	717
Total current assets	98,621	(4,388)	9,742	(753)	13,715	19,892
Noncurrent Assets:						
Capital Assets:						
Sewer plant in service	171,570	11,992	24,972	340	30,134	87,155
-		,			(18,133)	
Less accumulated depreciation	(48,681)	(1,414)	(1,525)	(16)	(10,133)	(27,870)
Total capital assets being depreciated, net	122,889	10,578	23,447	324	12,001	59,285
Land and water rights	18,656	970	416	57	-	2,227
Construction in progress	21,167	6,802	2,725	494	69	15,798
Total capital assets, net	162,712	18,350	26,588	875	12,070	77,310
Other Noncurrent Assets:						
Debt service cash and investments	-	4,546	1,015	-	-	-
Unamortized debt issuance expense	31	60	34	-	2	47
Notes receivable, net of current portion	149	-	-	-	-	-
Allen-McColloch Pipeline receivable, net of current portion	-	-	-	-	-	-
JPA investment program, net of current portion	-	-	-	-	-	-
Real estate investments	-	-	-	-	-	-
Net Pension Asset	-	-	-	-	-	-
Deferred outflow	-	594	1,946	-	-	1,332
Total other noncurrent assets	180	5,200	2,995		2	1,379
Interdistrict receivable	3,674					1,3/9
		72 550	20.502	075	12.072	70 600
Total noncurrent assets	166,566	23,550	29,583	875	12,072	78,689
TOTAL ASSETS	265,187	19,162	39,325	122	25,787	98,581

230	235	240	250	252/253	256	260/261	282 /284	286/288/	400	Total
7,390	(17,635)	3,701	14,783	(439)	157	6,352	5,360	(1,160)		118,283
270	286	257	756	_	_	328	95	28	_	3,770
33	(24)	9	59	-	-	26	14	(1)	-	283
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	9,924	9,924
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	11
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6,702	-	-	14,327	-	-	2,358	3,316	-	-	45,576
5	2	4	17			5				1,925
7,010	264	270	15,159			2,717	3,425	27	9,924	61,489
628	55	596	2,881	-	-	367	212	14	-	19,115
020	33	390	2,001	-	-	307	212	-	14,931	14,931
628	55	<del></del>	2,881			367	212	14	14,931	34,046
15,028	(17,316)	4,567	32,823	(439)	157	9,436	8,997	(1,119)	24,855	213,818
	(17,510)			(133)	137					
83,085	74,772	86,930	218,568	38	_	74,082	27,672	5,369	_	896,679
(31,565)	(32,291)	(27,175)	(51,073)	(5)	_	(21,290)	(10,420)	(1,199)	_	(272,657)
51,520	42,481	59,755	167,495	33	-	52,792	17,252	4,170	-	624,022
2,211	2,391	895	3,725	-	-	1,399	338	42	-	33,327
11,257	1,397	3,661	31,315	515	144	7,527	2,949	59		105,879
64,988	46,269	64,311	202,535	548	144	61,718	20,539	4,271		763,228
15,562	-	-	37,266	-	-	11,132	4,724	-	-	74,245
199	-	153	735	-	-	149	74	-	-	1,484
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	149
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	351,431	351,431
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
1 521	245	2 510	0.720	-	-	771	- -	-	-	10.240
1,531	245	2,518	9,728	-	-	771	519	62	-	19,246
17,292	245	2,671	47,729			12,052	5,317	62	351,431	446,555
										3,674
82,280	46,514	66,982	250,264	548	144	73,770	25,856	4,333	351,431	1,213,457
97,308	29,198	71,549	283,087	109	301	83,206	34,853	3,214	376,286	1,427,275
					301					1,141,413

# Combining Schedule of Net Assets - Sewer Subfunds June 30, 2011 (Continued)

	200/210/ 211/299	212	213	215	220	221
LIABILITIES AND NET ASSETS						
Current Liabilities:						
Account payable and accrued expenses	4,384	799	323	51	(52)	2,037
Customer deposits and advance payments	-	-	-	-	-	-
Accrued interest:						
General obligation bonds	-	71	25	-	-	47
JPA revenue bonds	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other accrued interest payable	1,026	-	-	-	20	50
Current portion of long-term liabilities:						
General obligation bonds	-	-	371	-	-	-
Certificates of participation	1,096	-	-	-	72	180
JPA revenue bonds	-	-	-	_	-	-
Notes payable	6	24	15	-	-	46
Other long-term liabilities	-	-	-	-	-	-
Deferred revenue	69	-	-	_	-	-
Total current liabilities	6,581	894	734	51	40	2,360
Long-Term Liabilities:						
General obligation bonds, net of current portion	-	15,705	23,119	_	_	21,500
Certificates of Participation, net of current portion	4,239	-	-	_	277	695
JPA revenue bonds, net of current portion	-	_	_	_	_	-
Notes payable, net of current portion	47	196	120	_	_	365
Other long-term liabilities, net of current portion	127	_	_	_	_	-
Deferred revenue, net of current portion	-	_	_	_	_	-
Net OPEB obligation	-	_	_	_	_	_
Swap liability	-	594	1,946	_	_	1,332
Total long-term liabilities	4,413	16,495	25,185	-	277	23,892
Interdistrict payable						184
TOTAL LIABILITIES	10,994	17,389	25,919	51	317	26,436
NET ASSETS						
Change in net assets	37,941	536	1,557	1	247	3,252
Net assets at beginning of year	216,251	1,239	11,852	72	25,224	68,893
NET ASSETS AT END OF YEAR	\$254,192	1,775	13,409	73	25,471	72,145

230	235	240	250	252/253	256	260/261	282 /284	286/288/	400	Total
1,357	116	334	3,850	82	1	940	355	3	-	14,580
66	4	15	237	-	-	322	154	1	-	942
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4,633	4,633
384	-	-	75	-	-	31	-	-	-	1,586
184	59	1,447	2,678	_	-	22	1,000	-	-	5,761
1,373	-	(5)	236	-	_	104	_	-	-	3,056
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	18,947	18,947
34	-	20	27	-	-	22	-	-	-	194
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
					_					69
3,398	179_	1,811	7,103	82	1	1,441	1,509	4	23,580	49,768
38,966	2,843	28,081	155,259	-	-	26,050	11,570	795	-	323,888
5,310	-	4,387	20,976	-	-	5,412	-	-	-	41,296
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	319,261	319,261
275	-	160	213	-	-	179	-	-	-	1,555
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	127
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
1,531	245	2,518	9,728			771	519	62		19,246
46,082	3,088	35,146	186,176			32,412	12,089	857	319,261	705,373
551		1,102	1,470			367				3,674
50,031	3,267	38,059	194,749	82	1	34,220	13,598	861	342,841	758,815
257	(833)	4,432	12,291	17	262	1,378	(742)	(143)	(21,857)	38,596
47,020	26,764	29,060	76,047	10	37	47,608	21,996	2,495	55,303	629,871
47,277	25,931	33,492	88,338	27	299	48,986	21,254	2,352	33,446	668,467

This page intentionally left blank.



# Statistical Section

Irvine Ranch Water District Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2011 This section of the Irvine Ranch Water District's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report presents detailed information as a context for understanding what the information in the financial statements, note disclosures, and required supplementary information says about the District's overall financial health.

<u>Financial Trends Schedules</u> – These schedules contain trend information to help the reader understand how the District's financial performance and well-being have changed over time.

Net Assets Changes in Net Assets

<u>Revenue Capacity Schedules</u> – These schedules contain information to help the reader assess the factors affecting the District's ability to generate its property and sales taxes.

Water Sold by Type of Customer Water Rates Water Customers Sewer Rates Sewer Customers Ad Valorem Property Tax Rates

<u>Debt Capacity Schedules</u> – These schedule present information to help the reader assess the affordability of the District's current levels of outstanding debt and the District's ability to issues additional debt in the future.

Assessed Valuation and Estimated Actual Value of Taxable Property

Direct and Overlapping Property Tax Rates

Direct and Overlapping Debt

Principal Property Taxpayers

Property Tax Collections/Delinquency

Outstanding Debt by Type

Outstanding General Obligation Debt by Improvement District

Ratios of General Obligation Debt to Assessed Values

Ratios of Annual Debt Service Expenditures to Total General Expenditures – Cash Basis

Debt Service Coverage

<u>Demographic and Economic Information</u> – These schedules offer demographic and economic indicators to help the reader understand the environment within which the District's financial activities take place and to help make comparisons over time and with other governments.

Principal Employers Demographic and Economic Statistics

<u>Operating Information</u> – These schedules contain information about the District's operations and resources to help the reader understand how the District's financial information relates to the services the District provides and the activities it performs.

Operating Indicators – Water and Sewer Service Connections
Operating Indicators – New Service Connections
Operating Indicators – Average Monthly Usage
Capital Asset Statistics

Full-Time Employees

# Irvine Ranch Water District Net Assets For the Past Nine Fiscal Years(1) (in millions)

Eigen)	Year
Fisca	i icai

	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Assets									
Current & other assets	\$1,447.9	1,327.1	1,250.6	1,162.1	1,088.9	1,103.9	1,224.1	1,209.7	1,332.7
Capital assets	902.1	950.7	1,017.4	1,111.1	1,224.3	1,346.1	1,423.1	1,396.6	1,430.3
Total assets	2,350.0	2,277.8	2,268.0	2,273.2	2,313.2	2,449.9	2,647.2	2,606.3	2,763.0
Liabilities									
Current and other liabilities	79.4	78.0	82.8	91.5	95.3	96.5	99.4	67.3	97.7
Long-term liabilities	1,153.2	1,109.1	1,058.6	1,068.9	1,022.2	1,074.7	1,190.8	1,204.3	1,323.7
Total liabilities	1,232.6	1,187.1	1,141.4	1,160.4	1,117.5	1,171.2	1,290.2	1,271.6	1,421.4
Net assets									
Invested in capital assets, net o	of								
related debt	598.9	648.0	730.2	791.0	927.6	977.7	994.3	929.5	900.6
Restricted for water services	324.1	298.1	280.4	231.2	229.2	253.8	294.4	271.3	234.3
Restricted for sewer services	194.4	144.6	116.0	90.6	38.9	47.2	68.3	133.9	206.7
Total net assets	\$ 1,117.4	1,090.7	1,126.6	1,112.8	1,195.7	1,278.7	1,357.0	1,334.7	1,341.6

Source: IRWD Basic Financial Statements

#### Note

(1) IRWD implemented GASB 34 for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2003. Information prior to the implementation of GASB 34 is not available in comparable formats.

# Changes in Net Assets For the Past Nine Fiscal Years(1) (in thousands)

#### Fiscal Year

Sewer sales and service charges         18,521         23,346         24,622         29,248         37,649         39,811         41,157         45,344         45,377           Total operating revenues         45,706         55,046         57,727         68,504         82,787         88,327         92,097         96,612         100,17           Operating Expenses           Water         Water services         24,820         26,139         25,198         29,813         33,281         37,030         40,333         40,103         42,38           General and administrative         7,016         7,035         7,308         8,625         10,267         11,257         12,536         14,574         13,660           Customer accounts         1,325         1,384         1,446         1,572         1,698         1,999         1,940         2,263         2,244           Sewer         Sewer services         15,558         19,052         19,055         18,480         23,439         26,032         27,402         27,804         30,78           General and administrative         5,125         5,266         5,571         5,556         6,328         7,259         7,712         8,330         7,660 <td< th=""><th></th><th>2003</th><th>2004</th><th>2005</th><th>2006</th><th>2007</th><th>2008</th><th>2009</th><th>2010</th><th>2011</th></td<>		2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Sewer sales and service charges         18,521         23,346         24,622         29,248         37,649         39,811         41,157         45,344         45,377           Total operating revenues         45,706         55,046         57,727         68,504         82,787         88,327         92,097         96,612         100,17           Operating Expenses           Water         Water services         24,820         26,139         25,198         29,813         33,281         37,030         40,333         40,103         42,38           General and administrative         7,016         7,035         7,308         8,625         10,267         11,257         12,536         14,574         13,660           Customer accounts         1,325         1,384         1,446         1,572         1,698         1,999         1,940         2,263         2,244           Sewer         Sewer services         15,558         19,052         19,055         18,480         23,439         26,032         27,402         27,804         30,78           General and administrative         5,125         5,266         5,571         5,556         6,328         7,259         7,712         8,330         7,660 <td< td=""><td>Operating Revenues</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	Operating Revenues									
Total operating revenues         45,706         55,046         57,727         68,504         82,787         88,327         92,097         96,612         100,17           Operating Expenses           Water         Water services         24,820         26,139         25,198         29,813         33,281         37,030         40,333         40,103         42,388           General and administrative         7,016         7,035         7,308         8,625         10,267         11,257         12,536         14,574         13,660           Customer accounts         1,325         1,384         1,446         1,572         1,698         1,999         1,940         2,263         2,244           Sewer         Sewer services         15,558         19,052         19,055         18,480         23,439         26,032         27,402         27,804         30,78           General and administrative         5,125         5,266         5,571         5,556         6,328         7,259         7,712         8,330         7,669           Customer accounts         882         923         964         1,048         1,131         1,179         1,294         1,509         1,499           Depreciation         24,984 <td>Water sales and service charges</td> <td>\$ 27,185</td> <td>31,700</td> <td>33,105</td> <td>39,256</td> <td>45,138</td> <td>48,516</td> <td>50,940</td> <td>51,268</td> <td>54,796</td>	Water sales and service charges	\$ 27,185	31,700	33,105	39,256	45,138	48,516	50,940	51,268	54,796
Operating Expenses           Water         Vater services         24,820         26,139         25,198         29,813         33,281         37,030         40,333         40,103         42,388           General and administrative         7,016         7,035         7,308         8,625         10,267         11,257         12,536         14,574         13,660           Customer accounts         1,325         1,384         1,446         1,572         1,698         1,999         1,940         2,263         2,243           Sewer         Sewer services         15,558         19,052         19,055         18,480         23,439         26,032         27,402         27,804         30,78           General and administrative         5,125         5,266         5,571         5,556         6,328         7,259         7,712         8,330         7,669           Customer accounts         882         923         964         1,048         1,131         1,179         1,294         1,509         1,490           Depreciation         24,984         25,091         26,395         27,135         28,449         31,595         34,699         39,444         43,590           Total operating expenses         79,710 <td>Sewer sales and service charges</td> <td>18,521</td> <td>23,346</td> <td>24,622</td> <td>29,248</td> <td>37,649</td> <td>39,811</td> <td>41,157</td> <td>45,344</td> <td>45,375</td>	Sewer sales and service charges	18,521	23,346	24,622	29,248	37,649	39,811	41,157	45,344	45,375
Water         Water services         24,820         26,139         25,198         29,813         33,281         37,030         40,333         40,103         42,385           General and administrative         7,016         7,035         7,308         8,625         10,267         11,257         12,536         14,574         13,660           Customer accounts         1,325         1,384         1,446         1,572         1,698         1,999         1,940         2,263         2,245           Sewer           Sewer services         15,558         19,052         19,055         18,480         23,439         26,032         27,402         27,804         30,78           General and administrative         5,125         5,266         5,571         5,556         6,328         7,259         7,712         8,330         7,660           Customer accounts         882         923         964         1,048         1,131         1,179         1,294         1,509         1,490           Depreciation         24,984         25,091         26,395         27,135         28,449         31,595         34,699         39,444         43,590           Total operating expenses         79,710         84,890         85,937 <td>Total operating revenues</td> <td>45,706</td> <td>55,046</td> <td>57,727</td> <td>68,504</td> <td>82,787</td> <td>88,327</td> <td>92,097</td> <td>96,612</td> <td>100,171</td>	Total operating revenues	45,706	55,046	57,727	68,504	82,787	88,327	92,097	96,612	100,171
Water         Water services         24,820         26,139         25,198         29,813         33,281         37,030         40,333         40,103         42,385           General and administrative         7,016         7,035         7,308         8,625         10,267         11,257         12,536         14,574         13,660           Customer accounts         1,325         1,384         1,446         1,572         1,698         1,999         1,940         2,263         2,245           Sewer           Sewer services         15,558         19,052         19,055         18,480         23,439         26,032         27,402         27,804         30,78           General and administrative         5,125         5,266         5,571         5,556         6,328         7,259         7,712         8,330         7,660           Customer accounts         882         923         964         1,048         1,131         1,179         1,294         1,509         1,490           Depreciation         24,984         25,091         26,395         27,135         28,449         31,595         34,699         39,444         43,590           Total operating expenses         79,710         84,890         85,937 <td>Operating Expenses</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Operating Expenses									
General and administrative         7,016         7,035         7,308         8,625         10,267         11,257         12,536         14,574         13,667           Customer accounts         1,325         1,384         1,446         1,572         1,698         1,999         1,940         2,263         2,243           Sewer         Sewer services         15,558         19,052         19,055         18,480         23,439         26,032         27,402         27,804         30,78           General and administrative         5,125         5,266         5,571         5,556         6,328         7,259         7,712         8,330         7,660           Customer accounts         882         923         964         1,048         1,131         1,179         1,294         1,509         1,49           Depreciation         24,984         25,091         26,395         27,135         28,449         31,595         34,699         39,444         43,590           Total operating expenses         79,710         84,890         85,937         92,229         104,593         116,351         125,916         134,027         141,83										
General and administrative         7,016         7,035         7,308         8,625         10,267         11,257         12,536         14,574         13,667           Customer accounts         1,325         1,384         1,446         1,572         1,698         1,999         1,940         2,263         2,243           Sewer         Sewer services         15,558         19,052         19,055         18,480         23,439         26,032         27,402         27,804         30,78           General and administrative         5,125         5,266         5,571         5,556         6,328         7,259         7,712         8,330         7,660           Customer accounts         882         923         964         1,048         1,131         1,179         1,294         1,509         1,49           Depreciation         24,984         25,091         26,395         27,135         28,449         31,595         34,699         39,444         43,590           Total operating expenses         79,710         84,890         85,937         92,229         104,593         116,351         125,916         134,027         141,83	Water services	24,820	26,139	25,198	29,813	33,281	37,030	40,333	40,103	42,383
Customer accounts         1,325         1,384         1,446         1,572         1,698         1,999         1,940         2,263         2,244           Sewer           Sewer services         15,558         19,052         19,055         18,480         23,439         26,032         27,402         27,804         30,78           General and administrative         5,125         5,266         5,571         5,556         6,328         7,259         7,712         8,330         7,669           Customer accounts         882         923         964         1,048         1,131         1,179         1,294         1,509         1,49           Depreciation         24,984         25,091         26,395         27,135         28,449         31,595         34,699         39,444         43,590           Total operating expenses         79,710         84,890         85,937         92,229         104,593         116,351         125,916         134,027         141,83	General and administrative	7,016	7,035	7,308			11,257			13,663
Sewer         Sewer services         15,558         19,052         19,055         18,480         23,439         26,032         27,402         27,804         30,78           General and administrative         5,125         5,266         5,571         5,556         6,328         7,259         7,712         8,330         7,669           Customer accounts         882         923         964         1,048         1,131         1,179         1,294         1,509         1,490           Depreciation         24,984         25,091         26,395         27,135         28,449         31,595         34,699         39,444         43,590           Total operating expenses         79,710         84,890         85,937         92,229         104,593         116,351         125,916         134,027         141,83	Customer accounts									2,242
General and administrative         5,125         5,266         5,571         5,556         6,328         7,259         7,712         8,330         7,669           Customer accounts         882         923         964         1,048         1,131         1,179         1,294         1,509         1,49           Depreciation         24,984         25,091         26,395         27,135         28,449         31,595         34,699         39,444         43,590           Total operating expenses         79,710         84,890         85,937         92,229         104,593         116,351         125,916         134,027         141,83		,	,	,	,	,	,	,	,	,
General and administrative         5,125         5,266         5,571         5,556         6,328         7,259         7,712         8,330         7,669           Customer accounts         882         923         964         1,048         1,131         1,179         1,294         1,509         1,49           Depreciation         24,984         25,091         26,395         27,135         28,449         31,595         34,699         39,444         43,590           Total operating expenses         79,710         84,890         85,937         92,229         104,593         116,351         125,916         134,027         141,83	Sewer services	15.558	19.052	19.055	18.480	23,439	26.032	27.402	27.804	30,787
Customer accounts         882         923         964         1,048         1,131         1,179         1,294         1,509         1,490           Depreciation         24,984         25,091         26,395         27,135         28,449         31,595         34,699         39,444         43,590           Total operating expenses         79,710         84,890         85,937         92,229         104,593         116,351         125,916         134,027         141,83				,						7,669
Depreciation         24,984         25,091         26,395         27,135         28,449         31,595         34,699         39,444         43,595           Total operating expenses         79,710         84,890         85,937         92,229         104,593         116,351         125,916         134,027         141,83		,		,	,		*			1,495
Total operating expenses 79,710 84,890 85,937 92,229 104,593 116,351 125,916 134,027 141,83					,	*	*			,
	*									
										(41,660)
	N									
Nonoperating Revenues (Expenses)		3.4.0.4=	15.004		15.005	20.00=	24245	20240		20.070
	* *	*		,	,		*			38,679
		8,333	/,/00	7,695	9,115	10,/68	10,674	4,365	2,191	2,599
Increase (decrease) in fair value	· · ·									
				,			*	,		(20,172)
		65,249		64,870	63,427	61,793	59,854	57,676	55,726	53,708
Gain (loss) on sale of capital assets 2,283										
		,		,	,	,	*	,		9,719
										7,987
	Interest expense									(14,174)
JPA interest expense (64,219) (63,014) (61,593) (60,060) (58,404) (56,616) (54,686) (51,530) (41,264)	JPA interest expense	(64,219)	(63,014)	(61,593)	(60,060)	(58,404)	(56,616)	(54,686)	(51,530)	(41,264)
Real estate expense (3,478) (3,919) (4,131) (4,493) (4,562) (5,149) (5,698) (6,186) (6,004)	Real estate expense	(3,478)	(3,919)	(4,131)	(4,493)	(4,562)	(5,149)	(5,698)	(6,186)	(6,004)
Other expenses (373) (1,526) (430) (666) (883) (2,288) (1,535) (1,286) (989)	Other expenses	(373)	(1,526)	(430)	(666)	(883)	(2,288)	(1,535)	(1,286)	(989)
Total nonoperating revenue	Total nonoperating revenue									
(expenses) 79,839 (24,424) 36,737 (22,656) 42,561 80,789 60,848 39,970 30,085	(expenses)	79,839	(24,424)	36,737	(22,656)	42,561	80,789	60,848	39,970	30,089
Income (loss) before capital	Income (loss) before capital									
contributions 45,835 (54,268) 8,527 (46,381) 20,755 52,765 27,029 2,555 (11,571	contributions	45,835	(54,268)	8,527	(46,381)	20,755	52,765	27,029	2,555	(11,571)
Contributed capital assets 25,963 27,610 27,374 32,525 52,672 29,319 32,517 17,963 18,500	Contributed capital assets	25,963	27,610	27,374	32,525	52,672	29,319	32,517	17,963	18,506
Increase (decrease) in net assets 71,798 (26,658) 35,901 (13,856) 73,427 82,084 59,546 20,518 6,935	Increase (decrease) in net assets	71,798	(26,658)	35,901	(13,856)	73,427	82,084	59,546	20,518	6,935
Net Assets at beginning of year 1,045,614 1,117,412 1,090,754 1,126,655 1,112,799 1,195,761 1,278,703 1,357,046 1,334,660	Net Assets at beginning of year	1,045,614	1,117,412	1,090,754	1,126,655	1,112,799	1,195,761	1,278,703	1,357,046	1,334,666
SCWD Retained Earnings at 6/30/06 9,535		, , ,	, , ,	, , ,	, , ,		, , ,	, ,	, , ,	, , ,
OPA Net Assets at 6/1/08 858	•					- ,	858			
Prior period adjustments 18,797 (42,898)							230	18.797	(42.898)	
Net assets at end of year \$1,117,412 \$1,090,754 \$1,126,655 \$1,112,799 \$1,195,761 \$1,278,703 \$1,357,046 \$1,334,666 \$1,341,60		\$1,117,412	\$1,090,754	\$1,126,655	\$1,112,799	\$1,195,761	\$1,278,703			\$1,341,601

Source: IRWD Basic Financial Statements

Note:

<sup>(1)</sup> IRWD implemented GASB 34 for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2003. Information prior to the implementation of GASB 34 is not available in comparable formats.

# Irvine Ranch Water District Water Sold By Type of Customer (in Acre Feet) For the Past Ten Fiscal Years

#### Fiscal Year

	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Residential	29,172	29,728	31,136	30,681	31,514	34,097	33,771	34,189	31,721	31,127
Commercial	7,091	7,218	7,544	7,602	8,037	8,710	8,710	8,382	7,586	7,632
Industrial	6,325	6,237	6,222	6,047	5,714	5,438	5,353	5,009	4,711	4,733
Public Authority	2,475	2,490	2,601	2,842	2,795	2,474	2,588	2,571	2,293	2,305
Construction & Temporary	1,347	1,074	931	489	790	696	513	133	127	174
Treated - Landscape Irrigation	5,423	5,051	5,464	4,953	5,322	6,249	6,039	5,789	4,712	4,252
Treated - Agricultural	2,042	1,512	1,709	1,177	1,018	1,009	820	563	210	183
Untreated - Agricultural	8,611	8,346	7,606	5,973	7,621	7,583	6,211	6,452	5,024	3,025
Recycled - Landscape/ Agricultural	19,478	17,380	19,551	18,620	19,504	24,624	24,564	24,415	20,951	20,147
Total	81,964	79,035	82,763	78,384	82,316	90,880	88,569	87,503	77,334	73,578


# Irvine Ranch Water District Water Rates For the Past Ten Fiscal Years

Fiscal Year	Fixed Service Charge	Commodity Rate (per ccf)	Average monthly residential charge
2002	\$2.90	\$0.64	\$13.14
2003	\$3.00	\$0.69	\$14.14
2004	\$3.65	\$0.75	\$15.87
2005	\$3.90	\$0.83	\$17.56
2006	\$5.45	\$0.88	\$20.01
2007	\$6.75	\$0.91	\$21.85
2008	\$7.50	\$0.98	\$23.86
2009	\$7.50	\$1.07	\$25.48
2010	\$7.75	\$1.15	\$26.53
2011	\$8.00	\$1.21	\$27.86

The water charge to the average residential customer is based upon an average of 18 ccf per month. The first 8 ccf are at the District's low volume rate, which is \$0.30 less than the commodity rate. The IRWD Board of Directors apported an enhancement component of \$0.30 for both water and sewer system on the fixed service charge.

Source: IRWD

#### Average Monthly Residential Water Charges



# Irvine Ranch Water District Schedule of Largest Water Customers Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2011

Customer Name		<u>Total Paid</u>	Percentage of Water Sales <u>Revenues</u>
1. The Irvine Company		\$3,023,324	5.52%
2. University of California, Irvine		1,222,850	2.23%
3. City of Irvine		833,014	1.52%
4. Jazz Semiconductor		652,709	1.19%
5. B Braun Medical Inc		488,557	0.89%
6. Woodbridge Village Assn		346,758	0.63%
7. Caltrans District 12		312,094	0.57%
8. County of Orange		268,173	0.49%
9. Irvine Unified School District		260,920	0.48%
10. Allergan Sales, LLC		260,651	0.48%
11. Shady Canyon Golf Club		221,073	0.40%
12. Maruchan		192,800	0.35%
13. Tustin Ranch Golf Club		165,154	0.30%
14. Royalty Carpet Mills		161,465	0.29%
15. ERP Operating LP		161,465	0.29%
	Total	\$8,571,008	15.64%

Source: Irvine Ranch Water District

Information for Largest Water Customers is not available for 1997 for comparison purposes.

# Irvine Ranch Water District Sewer Rates For the Past Ten Fiscal Years


Fiscal Year	Fixed Monthly Service Charge
2002	\$6.60
2003	\$6.95
2004	\$8.05
2005	\$8.35
2006	\$10.00
2007	\$13.05
2008	\$13.65
2009	\$13.80
2010	\$16.60
2011	\$16.65

Source: IRWD

The IRWD Board of Directors approved an increase to the replacement surcharge to fund aging infrastructure replacement and refurbishment an increased from \$3.75 in FY 2009-10 to \$4.00 in FY 2010-11 and added an enhancement component of \$0.30 for water and sewer system. This is included in the sewer fixed charge.

Source: IRWD

#### Fixed Monthly Sewer Service Charge



# Irvine Ranch Water District Schedule of Largest Sewer Customers Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2011

Customer Name		Total Paid	Percentage of Water Sales <u>Revenues</u>
1. The Irvine Company		\$614,013	1.35%
2. Royalty Carpet Mills		272,714	0.60%
3. Allergan Sales, LLC		248,544	0.55%
4. Maruchan Inc		241,264	0.53%
5. Villa Sienna Apts		221,803	0.49%
6. ERP Operating LP		185,354	0.41%
7. Newport Bluffs Apts		159,491	0.35%
8. Oakley Technical Center		147,291	0.32%
9. Park West Apts		134,696	0.30%
10. Airport Ind Complex		125,982	0.28%
11. Serrano Apartments		116,233	0.26%
12. Las Palmas Apts		113,108	0.25%
13. The Park @ Spectrum Apartments		107,500	0.24%
15. Oak Glen Apts		90,154	0.20%
15. Santa Rosa Apartments		89,169	0.20%
	Total	\$2,867,317	6.32%

Source: Irvine Ranch Water District

Information for Sewer Water Customers is not available for FY2002 for comparison purposes.

### Irvine Ranch Water District Ad Valorem Tax Rate For the Past Ten Fiscal Years

Improvem	ent					
District		2002	<u>2003</u>	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>
110	(1)	27/4	27/4	27/4	27/4	27/4
112	(1)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
113	(1)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
120		0.00001	0.00001	0.00001	0.00001	0.01168
121		0.00001	0.00001	0.00001	0.00001	0.00001
130		0.00001	0.00001	0.00001	0.00001	0.00001
135	(2)	0.07270	0.04264	0.01500	0.00001	0.00001
140		0.00001	0.00001	0.00001	0.00001	0.00001
150		0.00001	0.00001	0.00001	0.00001	0.00001
160		0.00001	0.00001	0.00001	0.00001	0.01168
161		0.00001	0.00001	0.00001	0.00001	0.00001
182		0.00001	0.00001	0.00001	0.00001	0.00808
184		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
186		0.00001	0.00001	0.00001	0.00001	0.02051
188		0.00001	0.00001	0.00001	0.00001	0.02051
190		0.00001	0.00001	0.00001	0.00001	0.00001
210		0.00001	0.00001	0.00001	0.00001	0.00001
212	(1)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
213	(1)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
220		0.00001	0.00001	0.00001	0.00001	0.01000
221		0.00001	0.00001	0.00001	0.00001	0.00001
230		0.00001	0.00001	0.00001	0.00001	0.01995
235	(2)	0.00358	0.00936	0.00001	0.00001	0.00001
240		0.00001	0.00001	0.00001	0.00001	0.02168
250		0.00001	0.00001	0.00001	0.00001	0.02602
252		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
260		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
261		0.00001	0.00001	0.00001	0.00001	0.00001
282		0.00001	0.00001	0.00001	0.00001	0.01280
284		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
290		0.00001	0.00001	0.00001	0.00001	0.01995

### Irvine Ranch Water District Ad Valorem Tax Rate For the Past Ten Fiscal Years

(Continued)

Improvement					
<b>District</b>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>
	27/1	27/1	27/1		
112	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.07920	0.07920
113	0.01920	0.01920	0.01920	0.01980	0.01980
120	0.01168	0.01298	0.01298	0.01311	0.00001
121	0.00001	0.00001	0.00001	0.00001	0.01311
130	0.00001	0.00500	0.00500	0.00680	0.00680
135	0.00842	0.00842	0.00842	0.00842	0.00842
140	0.00001	0.00001	0.00001	0.00001	0.00001
150	0.00001	0.00780	0.00780	0.00990	0.00990
160	0.01168	0.01648	0.01648	0.01758	0.00001
161	0.00001	0.00001	0.00001	0.00001	0.01758
182	0.00808	0.01300	0.01300	0.01350	0.01350
184	0.00001	0.00001	0.00001	0.00001	0.00001
186	0.02051	0.02700	0.02700	0.03191	0.03191
188	0.02051	0.02700	0.02700	0.03590	0.03590
190	0.00001	0.00500	0.00500	N/A	N/A
210	0.00001	0.00001	0.00001	0.00001	0.00001
212	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.12420	0.12420
213	0.14093	0.14093	0.14093	0.14533	0.14533
220	0.01000	0.01400	0.01400	0.01800	0.01800
221	0.00001	0.00001	0.00001	0.00001	0.00001
230	0.01995	0.02000	0.02000	0.02200	0.02200
235	0.00532	0.00532	0.00532	0.00532	0.00532
240	0.02168	0.02699	0.02699	0.03140	0.03140
250	0.03199	0.03200	0.03200	0.03600	0.03600
252	N/A	0.00001	0.00001	0.00001	0.02830
260	0.02030	0.02330	0.02330	0.02830	0.00001
261	0.00001	0.00001	0.00001	0.00001	0.01890
282	0.01280	0.01400	0.01400	0.01890	0.03239
284	0.00001	0.02699	0.02699	0.03239	0.00001
290	0.01995	0.02000	0.02000	N/A	N/A

Source: Irvine Ranch Water District

<sup>(1)</sup> Improvement Districts 113 and 213 encompass the former Tustin Marine Base.

<sup>(2)</sup> The Los Alisos Water District and the District consolidated on December 31, 2000 adding Improvement Districts 135 and 235.

# Assessed Valuation of Taxable Property and 1% Property Tax Revenue For the Past Ten Fiscal Years (in thousands)

Fiscal Year Ended	Assessed Valuation (land only) (2)	1 % Property Tax Revenue
2002	15,243,031	11,281
2002	15,245,031	12,833
2004	19,117,325	14,474
2005 (1)	22,101,916	7,335
2006	25,869,944	10,177
2007	31,378,053	22,444
2008	35,540,296	24,730
2009	37,002,606	26,283
2010	35,089,440	27,150 (3)
2011	34,624,237	26,989

Source: Orange County Auditor-Controller and Orange County Tax Collector.

Notes: (1) The IRWD state mandated contribution to ERAF for FY 2004-05 and FY 2005-06 was \$9.7 million per year.

- (2) Estimated market values for the land-only Assessed Values are not available.
- (3) Of this amount, the State of California borrowed \$2.0 million, which will be repaid by FY 2012-13.

In 1978, the voters of the State of California passed Proposition 13 which limited property taxes to a total maximum rate of 1% based upon the assessed value of the property being taxed. Each year, the assessed value of property may be increased by an "inflation factor" (limited to a maximum increase of 2%). With few exceptions, property is only reassessed at the time that it is sold to a new owner. At that point, the new assessed value is reassassed at the purchase price of the property sold.

#### 1% Revenues and Assessed Values



### Irvine Ranch Water District Direct and Overlapping Tax Rates Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2011

<u>Direct</u>	Rate:
---------------	-------

Breet Rate.	
Irvine Ranch Water District I.D. No. 1	0.00001
Irvine Ranch Water District I.D. No. 2	0.00001
Irvine Ranch Water District I.D. No. 3	-
Irvine Ranch Water District I.D. No. 102	0.00001
Irvine Ranch Water District I.D. No. 103	-
Irvine Ranch Water District I.D. No. 105	0.00990
Irvine Ranch Water District I.D. No. 106	0.00001
Irvine Ranch Water District I.D. No. 109	-
Irvine Ranch Water District I.D. No. 112	0.07920
Irvine Ranch Water District I.D. No. 113	0.01980
Irvine Ranch Water District I.D. No. 121	0.01311
Irvine Ranch Water District I.D. No. 130	0.00680
Irvine Ranch Water District I.D. No. 140	0.00001
Irvine Ranch Water District I.D. No. 161	0.01758
Irvine Ranch Water District I.D. No. 182	0.01350
Irvine Ranch Water District I.D. No. 184	0.00001
Irvine Ranch Water District I.D. No. 186	0.03191
Irvine Ranch Water District I.D. No. 188	0.03590
Irvine Ranch Water District I.D. No. 206	0.00001
Irvine Ranch Water District I.D. No. 212	0.12420
Irvine Ranch Water District I.D. No. 213	0.14533
Irvine Ranch Water District I.D. No. 221	0.01801
Irvine Ranch Water District I.D. No. 230	0.02200
Irvine Ranch Water District I.D. No. 240	0.03140
Irvine Ranch Water District I.D. No. 250	0.03600
Irvine Ranch Water District I.D. No. 252	0.00001
Irvine Ranch Water District I.D. No. 261	0.02830
Irvine Ranch Water District I.D. No. 282	0.01890
Irvine Ranch Water District I.D. No. 284	0.03239
Irvine Ranch Water District I.D. No. 286	0.00001
Irvine Ranch Water District I.D. No. 288	0.00001
Irvine Ranch Water District I.D. No. 290	-
135 (Formerly Los Alisos Water District)	0.00842
235 (Formerly Los Alisos Water District)	0.00532

#### **Overlapping Rates:**

#### **School Districts:**

Coast Community College District	0.01750
Rancho Santiago Community College District	0.03141
Laguna Beach Unified School District	0.01582
Newport Mesa Unified School District	0.01837
Saddleback Valley Unified School District	0.03194
Santa Ana Unified School District	0.07167
Tustin Unified School District SFID 2002-1	0.04401
Tustin Unified School District SFID 2008-1	0.01561

#### Cities:

Laguna Beach 0.01187

Source: California Municipal Statistics, Inc.

### Direct and Overlapping Debt Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2011

#### 2010-11 Land Only Assessed Valuation: \$34,818,153,182 (before deduction of redevelopment incremental valuation)

DIRECT AND OVERLAPPING TAX AND ASSESSMENT DEBT: Metropolitan Water District Coast Community College District Rancho Santiago Community College District Saddleback Valley Unified School District Santa Ana Unified School District Other Unified School Districts City of Laguna Beach Irvine Ranch Water District Improvement Districts Irvine Unified School District Community Facilities District No. 86-1 Irvine Unified School District Community Facilities District No. 01-1 Other Irvine Unified School District Community Facilities Districts Tustin Unified School District Community Facilities Districts Orange County Community Facilities Districts Other Community Facilities Districts City of Irvine 1915 Act Bonds City of Tustin 1915 Act Bonds Other 1915 Act Bonds TOTAL DIRECT AND OVERLAPPING TAX AND ASSESSMENT DI Ratios to Land Only Assessed Valuation: Direct Debt (\$563,415,000)		% Applicable (1) 3.881% 5.127 19.563 34.714 40.402 Various 0.001 100. 100. 100. 100. 100. Various 100. 100. 100.	District's Share of Debt 6/30/11 \$ 8,835,873 16,753,440 60,627,307 46,841,336 125,644,057 66,150,479 16 563,415,000 88,440,000 100,790,000 151,145,117 255,027,675 69,833,601 150,570,423 886,236,799 32,161,000 103,468,296 \$2,725,940,419
DIRECT AND OVERLAPPING GENERAL FUND DEBT: Orange County General Fund Obligations Orange County Pension Obligations Orange County Board of Education Certificates of Participation Municipal Water District of Orange County Water Facilities Corporation South Orange County Community College District Certificates of Participation South Orange County Community College District Certificates of Participation Other Unified School District Certificates of Participation City of Newport Beach Certificates of Participation Other City General Fund Obligations Irvine Ranch Water District Certificates of Participation TOTAL GROSS DIRECT AND OVERLAPPING GENERAL FUND D Less:MWDOC Water Facilities Corporation (100% self-supporting) City self-supporting obligations TOTAL NET DIRECT AND OVERLAPPING GENERAL FUND DEB  TOTAL DIRECT DEBT TOTAL GROSS OVERLAPPING DEBT TOTAL NET OVERLAPPING DEBT	\$316,898,000 54,682,497 19,000,000 14,120,000 ipation 17,375,000 52,212,863 145,720,000 126,660,000 165,960,000 81,400,000	18.531% 18.531 18.531 21.734 34.412 40.402 Various 17.789 Various 100.	\$ 58,724,368 10,133,214 3,520,890 3,068,841 5,979,085 21,095,041 10,288,517 22,531,547 13,635,220 81,400,000 \$230,376,723 3,068,841 7,370,777 \$219,937,105 \$644,815,000 \$2,311,502,142 \$2,301,062,524
GROSS COMBINED TOTAL DEBT NET COMBINED TOTAL DEBT			\$2,956,317,142 (2) \$2,945,877,524

<sup>(1)</sup> Percentage of overlapping agency's assessed valuation located within boundaries of the district based on redevelopment adjusted all property assessed valuation of \$69,228,458,225.

Ratios to Adjusted All Property Assessed Valuation:

Combined Direct Debt (\$644,815,000)	0.93%
Gross Combined Total Debt	4.27%
Net Combined Total Debt	4.26%

STATE SCHOOL BUILDING AID REPAYABLE AS OF 6/30/11: \$0

Source: California Municipal Statistics, Inc.

<sup>(2)</sup> Excludes tax and revenue anticipation notes, enterprise revenue, mortgage revenue and tax allocation bonds and non-bonded capital lease obligations. Qualified Zone Academy Bonds are included base on principal due at maturity.

### Principal Property Taxpayers June 30, 2011

Property Owner's Name Type of Business		Assessed Valuation of Property, including Land & Improvements	Percentage of Total City Taxable Assessed Value
The Irvine Company	Developer/Real Estate	\$5,585,580,023	13.05%
Irvine Apartment Communities	Real Estate	683,751,512	1.60%
Heritage Fields El Toro	Real Estate Developer	615,680,641	1.44%
Central Park West	Real Estate Developer	377,153,522	0.88%
LBA IV-PPI LLC	Real Estate Investment and Mar	nagement 324,024,710	0.76%
Maguire Properties	Real Estate Developer	247,929,312	0.58%
B Braun Medical Inc.	Bio-Medical Manufacturing	247,283,593	0.58%
Allergan	Pharmaceutical (R&D/Marketin	g) 265,397,918	0.62%
Lakeshore Properties LLC	Real Estate	178,043,004	0.42%
Capital Research Company	Real Estate Developer	166,250,000	0.39%
		\$8,691,094,235	20.32%

Source: City of Irvine Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2010)

Data was not yet available for FY2010/11 from the City of Irvine.

The City of Irvine is only a part of the IRWD service area.

Information for Largest Property Taxpayers is not available for FY2001/02 for comparison purposes.

### Summary Of Property Tax Collections/Delinquency For the Past Ten Fiscal Years

D. 177	IRWD Estimated Levy During Fiscal Year		Collected During Fiscal Year		Percenta	Percentage Collected		Amount of Levy Collected in Subsequent Periods	
Fiscal Year Ended	1 Percent (1)	General (2)	1 Percent	General (3)	1 Percent	General	1 Percent	General	
2002	\$ 9,832,977	\$ 1,682,253	\$10,976,075	\$2,381,844	111.63%	141.59%	\$669,174	\$157,703	
2003	12,756,500	881,909	12,459,640	1,352,950	97.67%	153.41%	790,125	156,184	
2004	13,541,700	282,045	13,932,804	979,552	102.89%	347.30%	958,597	11,033	
2005	7,750,200	3,151	7,404,859	448,835	95.54%	14244.20%	1,129,009	27,285	
2006	7,965,300	3,081,122	8,755,621	5,038,833	109.92%	163.54%	1,441,127	149,874	
2007	19,419,300	5,050,938	21,368,075	7,869,904	110.04%	155.81%	1,093,740	541,024	
2008	23,963,000	7,626,979	22,859,667	10,242,088	95.40%	134.29%	887,709	496,260	
2009	25,486,200	11,694,868	25,910,366	9,873,983	101.66%	84.43%	477,134	281,774	
2010	24,166,600	5,050,938	23,636,793	10,802,992	97.81%	213.88%	1,493,752	634,095	
2011	26,493,900	10,579,902	25,892,653	11,180,391	97.73%	105.68%	1,153,265	753,309	
Total	\$ 171,375,677	\$45,934,105	\$ 173,196,553	\$60,171,371			\$10,093,631	\$3,208,542	

Source: County of Orange Tax Ledger

#### Notes:

<sup>(1)</sup> The estimated levy for one percent revenue is generated internally and it is based on prior year receipts and developer growth projections.

<sup>(2)</sup> The estimated levy for G.O. tax receipts is based on the county's assessed value projection multiplied by the tax rate assessed within each improvement

<sup>(3)</sup> The General column for Collected tax receipts includes an unbudgeted utility tax revenue from improvement districts 190/290 that adds approximately \$400K per year.

### Outstanding Debt by Type (1) For the Past Ten fiscal years

Fiscal Year Ended	Total Connections(	General Obligation (2) Bonds	GO Debt per Connection	Certificates of Participation (COPs)	f COPs Debt per Connections	JPA Revenue Bonds	JPA Debt per Connection	Total Debt	Total Debt per Connection
2002	157,813	249,981,000	1,584	52,700,000	334	825,966,000	5,234	1,128,647,000	7,152
2003	161,526	230,124,368	1,425	120,800,000	748	831,833,622	5,150	1,182,757,990	7,322
2004	166,897	209,227,990	1,254	118,800,000	712	813,397,384	4,874	1,141,425,374	6,839
2005	172,548	187,396,610	1,086	116,600,000	676	793,611,146	4,599	1,097,607,756	6,361
2006	177,325	224,585,230	1,267	114,200,000	644	772,359,906	4,356	1,111,145,136	6,266
2007	182,140	201,585,230	1,107	111,600,000	613	749,513,668	4,115	1,062,698,898	5,835
2008	185,359	280,947,000	1,516	106,934,000	577	724,962,000	3,911	1,112,843,000	6,004
2009	186,856	415,699,000	2,225	103,100,000	552	698,566,000	3,739	1,217,365,000	6,515
2010	188,049	399,152,800	2,123	92,005,200	489	690,263,700	3,671	1,181,421,700	6,283
2011	191,474	562,051,000	2,935	88,043,000	460	676,415,000	3,533	1,326,509,000	6,928

- (1) More detail about the District's long-term liabilities can be found at Note 9 to the Financial Statements.
- (2) Per Capita income information for the Irvine Ranch Water District is not readily available. Accordingly, the District presents this schedule by total service connections.
- (3) Debt balances are as of June 30 for each fiscal year.

### Outstanding General Obligation Bonds by Improvement District As of June 30, 2011

Improvement District	General Obligation Bonds Authorized	General Obligation Bonds Issued	Remaining Unissued General Obligation Bonds Authorized	Amount Outstanding as of June 30, 2011
112	\$28,512,300	\$5,740,000	\$22,772,300	\$5,745,000
113	25,769,500	14,800,000	10,969,500	14,816,000
120	26,805,000	26,805,000	-	-
121	35,437,000	32,182,000	3,255,000	20,205,000
130	110,465,000	55,161,000	55,304,000	16,995,000
135	20,010,000	20,010,000	-	4,869,000
140	117,130,000	32,326,100	84,803,900	12,263,000
150	188,734,000	188,678,600	55,400	131,294,000
153	237,300,000	-	237,300,000	-
154	4,839,000	-	4,839,000	-
160	22,895,000	22,569,000	326,000	-
161	40,786,000	12,435,000	28,351,000	11,676,000
182	74,653,000	12,407,000	62,246,000	6,848,00
184	79,065,000	3,950,000	75,115,000	3,950,000
186	19,266,000	6,632,700	12,633,300	2,238,000
188	8,174,000	4,437,000	3,737,000	2,155,000
Total	\$1,039,840,800	\$438,133,400	\$601,707,400	\$233,054,000
210	\$2,000,000	\$2,000,000	\$-	\$-
212	108,712,000	15,700,000	93,012,000	15,705,000
213	87,648,000	23,800,000	63,848,000	23,641,000
220	30,316,000	28,410,000	1,906,000	-
221	50,452,000	50,452,000	-	21,500,000
230	165,173,000	70,422,000	94,751,000	39,150,000
235	15,724,000	15,724,000	-	2,928,000
240	117,273,000	48,476,500	68,796,500	29,528,000
250	286,727,000	216,618,000	70,109,000	158,463,000
253	122,283,000	-	122,283,000	-
260	69,665,000	17,917,000	51,748,000	-
261	46,364,000	27,375,000	18,989,000	26,081,000
282	59,101,000	9,480,000	49,621,000	5,775,000
284	92,590,000	12,850,000	79,740,000	6,795,000
286	40,531,000	500,000	40,031,000	495,000
288	8,977,000	300,000	8,677,000	300,000
Total	\$1,303,536,000	\$540,024,500	\$763,511,500	\$330,361,000
	\$2,343,376,800	\$978,157,900	\$1,365,218,900	\$563,415,000

This page intentionally left blank.


## Ratio of General Obligation Debt to Assessed Values

#### For the Past Ten Fiscal Years


Fiscal Year Ended	Assesse Valuatio		General Obligation Debt Outstanding		General Obligation Debt to Assessed Valuation
		Impi	rovemen	t District	112
2002	\$	-	\$	-	n/a
2003		-		-	n/a
2004		-		-	n/a
2005		-		-	n/a
2006		-		-	n/a
2007		-		-	n/a
2008		-		-	n/a
2009	500,35	54,220		2,745,000	0.00548611
2010	521,3	18,307		2,745,000	0.00526550
2011	539,63	18,060		2,745,000	0.00508693

Assessed Valuation		Oblig	General gation Debt tstanding	General Obligation Debt to Assessed Valuation				
Improvement District 212								
\$	-	\$	-	n/a				
	-		-	n/a				
	-		-	n/a				
	-		-	n/a				
	-		-	n/a				
	-		-	n/a				
	-		-	n/a				
	500,354,220		7,305,000	0.01459966				
	521,318,307		7,305,000	0.01401255				
	539,618,060		7,305,000	0.01353735				

#### General Obligation Debt Outstanding - ID 112




General Obligation Debt O	Outstanding - ID 212
---------------------------	----------------------




	Improvement District 113					
2002	\$	-	\$	-	n/a	
2003		-		-	n/a	
2004		-		-	n/a	
2005		-		-	n/a	
2006		-		-	n/a	
2007	583,904,5	580	1,500	0,000	0.00256891	
2008	691,298,7	772	6,523	3,125	0.00943604	
2009	609,156,5	504	9,523	3,125	0.01563330	
2010	651,917,1	180	9,523	3,125	0.01460788	
2011	553,458,1	157	9,365	5,000	0.01692088	

Improvement District 213								
\$	-	\$	-	n/a				
	-		-	n/a				
	-		-	n/a				
	-		-	n/a				
	-		-	n/a				
583,90	04,580	11,100	0,000	0.01900996				
691,29	98,772	17,462	2,140	0.02525990				
609,15	56,504	23,867	7,140	0.03918064				
651,91	7,180	23,867	7,140	0.03661069				
553,45	58,157	23,450	0,700	0.04237122				

#### General Obligation Debt Outstanding - ID 113





### Ratio of General Obligation Debt to Assessed Values For the Past Ten Fiscal Years


(Continued)

Fiscal Year Ended	Assessed Valuation	General Obligation Debt Outstanding	General Obligation Debt to Assessed Valuation
	Im <sub>1</sub>	provement District	: 120
2002	\$ 2,263,025,358	\$ 5,764,954	0.00254745
2003	2,460,176,613	4,915,952	0.00199821
2004	2,655,750,823	4,014,721	0.00151171
2005	3,048,171,194	3,073,075	0.00100817
2006	3,296,099,817	2,079,199	0.00063081
2007	3,716,412,058	974,678	0.00026226
2008	3,999,440,197	673,414	0.00016838
2009	3,907,684,159	354,428	0.00009070
2010	3,889,246,597	-	-
2011	3,888,009,506	-	-

Assessed Valuation	General Obligation Debt Outstanding	General Obligation Debt to Assessed Valuation		
Imp	provement District	220		
\$2,789,540,948	\$ 7,137,564	0.00255869		
3,025,751,360	5,872,679	0.00194090		
3,277,646,727	4,562,620	0.00139204		
3,712,898,262	2,958,274	0.00079676		
4,084,154,528	1,557,866	0.00038144		
4,601,691,594	-	-		
4,946,140,742	-	-		
4,819,998,823	-	-		
4,819,368,486	-	-		
4,855,864,665	-	-		

#### General Obligation Debt Outstanding - ID 120

\$12,000



General Obligation	Debt	Outstanding	- ID	220
--------------------	------	-------------	------	-----

	\$20,000
qs	\$15,000 —
in Thousands	\$10,000 —
.H	\$5,000
	उनकर उनकर उनकर उनकर उनकर उनकर उनकर उनकर


	Improvement District 121					
2002	\$ 2,263,025,358		\$	6,262,950		0.00276751
2003	2,460,176,613			5,646,252		0.00229506
2004	2,655,750,823			4,965,507		0.00186972
2005	3,048,171,194			4,248,360		0.00139374
2006	3,296,099,817			3,464,525		0.00105110
2007	3,716,412,058			2,662,787		0.00071649
2008	3,999,440,197			1,793,038		0.00044832
2009	3,907,684,159			6,780,059		0.00173506
2010	3,889,246,597			5,873,689		0.00151024
2011	3.888.009.506			5.805.000		0.00149305

Improvement District 221							
\$ 2,242,421,472	\$ 14,989,639	0.00668458					
2,437,921,523	13,411,720	0.00550129					
2,633,056,001	11,709,055	0.00444694					
2,991,737,859	9,901,563	0.00330964					
3,270,481,689	7,941,236	0.00242815					
3,688,965,082	6,007,654	0.00162855					
3,971,444,282	3,907,194	0.00098382					
3,876,394,631	17,989,776	0.00464085					
3,859,829,277	16,330,283	0.00423083					
3,860,526,608	15,600,000	0.00404090					

#### General Obligation Debt Outstanding - ID 121

### 

General Obligation Debt Outstanding - ID 221



Source: Irvine Ranch Water District and the County of Orange Auditor Controller

### Ratio of General Obligation Debt to Assessed Values For the Past Ten Fiscal Years

### (Continued)

Fiscal Year Ended	Assessed Valuation	General Obligation Debt Outstanding	General Obligation Debt to Assessed Valuation	
	Im	provement District	130	
2002	\$ 799,165,325	\$ 15,177,969	0.01899228	
2003	887,679,877	12,923,272	0.01455848	
2004	932,620,903	10,542,893	0.01130459	
2005	1,049,255,231	8,288,716	0.00789962	
2006	1,153,678,462	5,767,709	0.00499941	
2007	1,489,017,966	3,460,656	0.00232412	
2008	1,660,025,116	1,678,813	0.00101132	
2009	2,585,629,375	6,424,815	0.00248482	
2010	2,390,684,306	5,834,412	0.00244048	
2011	2,242,156,959	5,295,000	0.00236157	

#### **Obligation Debt** Obligation Debt to Assessed Assessed Valuation Valuation Outstanding Improvement District 230 \$ 12,339,918 799,596,128 0.01543269 888,086,748 11,167,518 0.01257481 933,035,911 9,904,911 0.01061579 1,049,677,986 8,567,847 0.00816236 7,115,505 1,154,109,671 0.00616536 1,492,117,118 5,703,185 0.00382221 1,663,766,768 4,179,777 0.00251224 2,585,629,375 19,865,955 0.00768322 2,390,684,306 18,768,724 0.00785077 17,949,522 0.00800547 2,242,156,959


General

General

#### General Obligation Debt Outstanding - ID 130

\$15,000 \$10,000 \$5,000 \$0 202 203 204 205 206 206 206 206 201 201


#### General Obligation Debt Outstanding - ID 230




	Improvement District 135							
2002	\$ 1,691,341,907	\$	3,080,000	0.00182104				
2003	1,861,970,430		2,095,000	0.00112515				
2004	2,062,480,035		820,000	0.00039758				
2005	2,279,958,176		720,000	0.00031580				
2006	2,553,323,737		-	-				
2007	2,898,277,302		-	-				
2008	3,154,824,099		4,985,802	0.00158037				
2009	3,071,898,725		4,985,802	0.00162304				
2010	3,083,700,261		4,985,802	0.00161682				
2011	3,057,223,724		4,867,920	0.00159227				

Improvement District 235							
\$ 1,691,341,907	\$	1,050,000	0.00062081				
1,861,970,430		130,000	0.00006982				
2,062,480,035		-	-				
2,279,958,176		-	-				
2,553,323,737		-	-				
2,898,277,302		-	-				
3,154,824,099		2,998,707	0.00095051				
3,071,898,725		2,998,707	0.00097617				
3,083,700,261		2,998,707	0.00097244				
3,057,223,724		2,928,240	0.00095781				

#### General Obligation Debt Outstanding - ID 130





### Ratio of General Obligation Debt to Assessed Values

# For the Past Ten Fiscal Years (Continued)

Fiscal Year Ended	Assessed Valuation	General Obligation Debt Outstanding	General Obligation Debt to Assessed Valuation
	Im <sub>1</sub>	provement Distric	t 140
2002	\$ 1,668,617,110	\$ 20,083,096	0.01203577
2003	1,982,470,064	19,187,818	0.00967874
2004	2,294,454,249	18,246,359	0.00795237
2005	2,719,982,105	17,253,705	0.00634332
2006	3,446,887,473	16,181,977	0.00469466
2007	4,140,693,955	15,086,812	0.00364355
2008	4,642,366,023	13,892,372	0.00299252
2009	4,936,249,533	14,472,944	0.00293197
2010	4,871,225,527	13,161,947	0.00270198
2011	4,903,741,743	12,263,056	0.00250075

#### Valuation Outstanding Assessed Valuation **Improvement District 240** \$1,668,617,110 \$ 35,866,793 0.02149492 1,982,470,064 34,882,612 0.01759553 2,294,454,249 33,862,453 0.01475839 2,719,982,105 32,792,726 0.01205623 3,446,887,473 31,643,024 0.009180174,140,693,955 30,462,056 0.00735675 4,642,366,023 29,182,814 0.00628619 4,936,249,533 32,326,608 0.00654882 4,871,225,527 30,885,287 0.00634035 4,903,741,743 29,527,697 0.00602146

General


**Obligation Debt** 

Assessed


General

Obligation Debt to

#### General Obligation Debt Outstanding - ID 140




#### General Obligation Debt Outstanding - ID 240




	Improvement District 150					
2002	\$ 2,171,751,832	\$	37,516,331	0.01727469		
2003	2,631,853,234		34,390,746	0.01306712		
2004	3,134,625,172		31,004,136	0.00989086		
2005	3,548,888,756		27,478,309	0.00774279		
2006	4,222,037,532		23,691,456	0.00561138		
2007	5,194,093,605		20,060,770	0.00386223		
2008	5,984,544,964		80,999,560	0.01353479		
2009	5,541,316,286		110,002,188	0.01985127		
2010	5,780,753,315		108,360,835	0.01874511		
2011	6,316,070,513		106,086,298	0.01679625		

Improvement District 250						
\$ 2,166,638,777	\$	55,375,870	0.02555842			
2,626,638,626		52,352,492	0.01993136			
3,129,472,091		49,109,239	0.01569250			
3,543,639,799		45,671,541	0.01288831			
4,216,683,635		41,914,218	0.00994009			
4,874,632,043		38,062,529	0.00780829			
5,642,845,768		96,043,083	0.01702033			
5,229,731,606		123,488,402	0.02361276			
5,459,374,896		119,319,325	0.02185586			
6,003,427,629		114,046,826	0.01899695			

#### General Obligation Debt Outstanding - ID 150



General Obligation Debt Outstanding - ID 250



Source: Irvine Ranch Water District and the County of Orange Auditor Controller

### Ratio of General Obligation Debt to Assessed Values For the Past Ten Fiscal Years (Continued)

General Obligation Debt — C Outstanding — A	General Obligation Debt to Assessed Valuation	Assessed Valuation	General Obligation Debt Outstanding	General Obligation Debt to Assessed Valuation
---	---	-----------------------	---	---

Year Ended	Assessed Valuation	Obligation Debt Outstanding	Obligation Debt to Assessed Valuation	Assessed Valuation		Obligation Debt to Assessed Valuation
	In	nprovement District	153		Improvement District	253
2002	\$ -	n/a	n/a	\$	n/a	n/a
2003	-	n/a	n/a		n/a	n/a
2004	-	n/a	n/a		n/a	n/a
2005	-	n/a	n/a		n/a	n/a
2006	-	n/a	n/a		n/a	n/a
2007	-	n/a	n/a		n/a	n/a
2008	36,114,444	n/a	n/a	36,114,444	1 n/a	n/a
2009	36,903,662	n/a	n/a	36,903,662	2 n/a	n/a
2010	36,997,523	n/a	n/a	36,997,523	n/a	n/a
2011	7,971,152	n/a	n/a	7,971,152	2 n/a	n/a

No Debt Outstanding at this Time

Fiscal

No Debt Outstanding at this Time

		Improvement District 154			
2002	\$	-	n/a	n/a	
2003		-	n/a	n/a	
2004		-	n/a	n/a	
2005		-	n/a	n/a	
2006		-	n/a	n/a	
2007		-	n/a	n/a	
2008	7,531,8	50	n/a	n/a	
2009	10,209,1	69	n/a	n/a	
2010	8,831,1	44	n/a	n/a	
2011	8,904,1	75	n/a	n/a	

No Debt Outstanding at this Time

### Ratio of General Obligation Debt to Assessed Values

### For the Past Ten Fiscal Years

(Continued)

Fiscal Year Ended	Assessed Valuation	General Obligation Debt Outstanding	General Obligation Debt to Assessed Valuation
	Im	provement Distric	t 160
2002	\$ 1,056,647,181	\$ 8,577,976	0.00811811
2003	1,205,443,542	7,585,846	0.00629299
2004	1,486,590,105	6,511,087	0.00437988
2005	2,101,987,732	5,380,202	0.00255958
2006	2,922,826,431	4,162,705	0.00142421
2007	3,372,542,514	2,883,509	0.00085500
2008	3,711,389,964	2,022,479	0.00054494
2009	3,457,883,370	1,111,736	0.00032151
2010	3,483,763,692	103,561	0.00002973
2011	3,571,525,574	-	-

#### Valuation Outstanding Assessed Valuation Improvement District 260 \$1,023,835,907 \$ 8,029,413 0.00784248 1,165,302,814 7,068,360 0.00606568 1,442,431,910 6,063,833 0.00420390 2,050,256,515 5,006,027 0.00244166 2,866,594,651 3,759,358 0.00131144 3,304,863,810 2,555,075 0.00077313 3,641,310,305 1,584,223 0.00043507 1,031,756 0.00030348 3,399,796,704 433,656 0.00012718 3,409,716,951 3,499,774,771

General


Obligation Debt

Assessed


General

Obligation Debt to


#### General Obligation Debt Outstanding - ID 160




General	Obligation	Debt	Outstanding	- ID	260
---------	------------	------	-------------	------	-----




	Improvement District 161				
2002	\$ 977,186,588	\$	302,180	0.00030923	
2003	1,122,693,719		256,221	0.00022822	
2004	1,398,433,040		206,815	0.00014789	
2005	2,007,153,739		155,112	0.00007728	
2006	2,817,492,876		99,961	0.00003548	
2007	3,260,457,444		51,704	0.00001586	
2008	3,593,517,713		1,101,935	0.00030665	
2009	3,341,406,070	4	4,701,935	0.00140717	
2010	3,361,758,076	4	4,701,935	0.00139865	
2011	3,442,885,652	4	4,676,040	0.00135817	



#### General Obligation Debt Outstanding - ID 161





### Ratio of General Obligation Debt to Assessed Values

### For the Past Ten Fiscal Years

(Continued)

Fiscal Year Ended	Assessed Valuation	General Obligation Debt Outstanding	General Obligation Debt to Assessed Valuation
	Imj	provement District	182
2002	\$ 291,208,540	\$ 5,300,000	0.01820002
2003	321,075,182	5,000,000	0.01557268
2004	367,379,519	4,700,000	0.01279331
2005	429,865,962	4,400,000	0.01023575
2006	509,371,089	4,000,000	0.00785282
2007	643,569,573	3,600,000	0.00559380
2008	720,602,896	3,561,290	0.00494210
2009	595,670,830	4,856,290	0.00815264
2010	600,594,737	4,356,290	0.00725329
2011	606,591,207	3,847,800	0.00634332

#### Valuation Outstanding Assessed Valuation Improvement District 282 \$ 291,208,540 \$ 3,600,000 0.01236227 321,075,182 3,500,000 0.01090087 367,379,519 3,200,000 0.00871034 429,865,962 3,000,000 0.00697892509,371,089 2,800,000 0.00549697 643,569,573 2,500,000 0.00388458720,602,896 2,200,000 0.00305300595,670,830 0.00482649 2,875,000 600,594,737 2,575,000 0.00428742

General

Obligation Debt


Assessed

606,591,207

General Obligation Debt to


0.00375047

#### General Obligation Debt Outstanding - ID 182




General Obligation Debt Outstanding - ID 282


2,275,000




Source: Irvine Ranch Water District and the County of Orange Auditor Controller

	Improvement District 184				
2002	\$ 201,954,218	\$ -	-		
2003	212,339,216	-	-		
2004	234,612,404	-	-		
2005	262,111,956	-	-		
2006	283,547,263	-	-		
2007	328,208,160	-	-		
2008	378,200,679	-	-		
2009	424,421,998	1,200,000	0.00282737		
2010	386,137,068	1,200,000	0.00310770		
2011	377,719,266	1,200,000	0.00317696		





General Obligation Debt Outstanding - ID 284



### Ratio of General Obligation Debt to Assessed Values For the Past Ten Fiscal Years

(Continued)


Fiscal Year Ended	Assessed Valuation	General Obligation Debt Outstanding	General Obligation Debt to Assessed Valuation
	Im <sub>j</sub>	provement District	186
2002	\$ 100,471,202	\$ 3,818,597	0.03800688
2003	112,738,988	3,582,046	0.03177292
2004	131,012,460	3,345,496	0.02553571
2005	160,071,307	3,092,050	0.01931670
2006	195,573,514	2,821,706	0.01442786
2007	226,924,367	2,551,363	0.01124323
2008	250,901,383	2,247,227	0.00895662
2009	203,882,434	2,931,195	0.01437689
2010	205,164,372	2,593,266	0.01263994
2011	200,509,899	2,238,441	0.01116374

#### Assessed Obliga Valuation Outs


General General
Obligation Debt Obligation Debt to
Outstanding Assessed Valuation

Im	provement District 28	6
\$ 100,471,202		
112,738,988		
131,012,460		
160,071,307		
195,573,514		
226,924,367		
250,901,383		
203,882,434		
205,164,372		
200,509,899	495,000	0.00246871

#### General Obligation Debt Outstanding - ID 186




#### General Obligation Debt Outstanding - ID 286




	Improvement District 188							
2002	\$ 11,230,337	\$	2,091,898	0.1862	7208			
2003	11,454,943		1,962,312	0.17130	0697			
2004	11,684,036		1,832,725	0.1568	5718			
2005	11,694,828		1,693,882	0.1448	4028			
2006	11,928,713		1,545,783	0.1295	8508			
2007	12,167,278		1,397,684	0.1148	7238			
2008	12,410,613		1,231,073	0.0991	9517			
2009	12,806,315		1,235,205	0.0964	5283			
2010	14,613,156		1,050,082	0.0718	5864			
2011	13,887,854		855,702	0.0616	1511			

Improvement District 288									
\$ 11,230,337	\$	-	-						
11,454,943		-	-						
11,684,036		-	-						
11,694,828		-	-						
11,928,713		-	-						
12,167,278		-	-						
12,410,613		-	-						
12,806,315	300,0	00	0.02342594						
14,613,156	300,0	00	0.02052945						
13,887,854	300,0	00	0.02160161						

#### General Obligation Debt Outstanding - ID 188






Ratios of Annual Debt Service Expenditures to Total General Expenditures - Cash Basis
For the Past Ten Fiscal Years
(in thousands)

Fiscal Year Ended	Total Annual Debt Service	Total General Expenditures	Ratio of Total Annual Debt Service to Total General Expenditures
2002	\$ 31,991	\$ 77,869	41.1%
2003	27,408	79,710	34.4%
2004	27,751	84,890	32.7%
2005	28,090	85,937	32.7%
2006	34,871	92,229	37.8%
2007	36,562	104,592	35.0%
2008	28,374	116,351	24.4%
2009	27,326	125,916	21.7%
2010	29,044	134,021	21.7%
2011	34,842	141,831	24.6%

#### Annual Debt Service to Annual General Expenditures



Source: Irvine Ranch Water District

### Debt Service Coverage For the Past Ten Fiscal Years (in thousands)

	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
Revenues					
Water sales and service charges	\$ 27,647	\$ 27,185	\$ 31,700	\$ 33,105	\$ 39,256
Sewer sales and service charges Developer Connection fees	17,518 5,059	18,521 6,677	23,346 5,546	24,622 6,188	29,248 17,903
Net real estate income	7,269	5,661	5,534	6,105	5,793
Interest income	30,523	5,973	5,158	7,695	7,749
Net earnings on JPA	2,452	2,360	3,269	3,277	3,367
Available 1% property tax revenue	0	0	0	0	0
Other	7,555	6,120	13,065	9,753	8,494
Total Revenues Expenses	98,023	72,497	87,618	90,745	111,810
Water supply services	25,184	24,820	26,139	25,198	29,813
Sewer services	15,060	15,558	19,052	19,055	18,480
Administrative and general	11,451	12,141	12,301	12,879	14,181
Customer accounts	2,165	2,207	2,307	2,410	2,620
Other	6,166	373	1,881	<u>430</u>	666
Total Expenses Net Revenues	<u>60,026</u> 37,997	55,099 17,398	61,680 25,938	<u>59,972</u> 30,773	65,760 46,050
ret Revenues		17,336			
Parity Obligations					
Certificates of Participation	-	470	599	1,094	1,873
1997 State Loan #3	227	227	226	226	227
Series 2010B Bonds Series 2011-A Index Tender Notes	-	-	-	-	-
Prior Reimbursement Agreements	_	-	-	-	-
Total Parity Obligations Debt Service	\$ 227	\$ 697	\$ 825	\$ 1,320	\$ 2,100
Remaining Revenues	\$ 37,770	\$ 16,701	\$ 25,113	\$ 29,453	\$ 43,950
Coverage Calculations					
Parity Obligation Coverage	167.4 x	25.0 x	31.4 x	23.3 x	21.9 x
Subordinate Obligations					
Fixed Payer Swap Payments	-	-	(705)	(1,811)	42
State Loans and SCWD Debt	292	292	292	292	317
Total Subordinate Obligations	<u>292</u> 37,478	292 16,409	(413) 25,526	(1,519)	359 43,591
Remaining Revenues Non-Double-Barrel GO Bonds	37,476	10,409	23,320	30,972	43,391
Revenues Pledged to Non-Double-Barrel GO Bonds					
1% Property tax revenues (Pledged to Secured Bonds)	11,279	12,833	14,474	7,335	10,177
Pro-rata Share Ad valorem Assessments for Non-Double		- 4-4	0.00	•	<b>=</b> 0.00
Barrel GO Bonds	2,451	1,414	830	390	5,060
Sub-total Pledged Revenues Additional Funds Available for Non-Double-Barrel GO	51,208	30,656	40,830	38,697	58,828
Bonds					
Remaining 1% Property Tax Revenues	0	0	0	0	0
Additional Net Revenues	37,478	16,409	25,526	30,972	43,591
Total with Additional Pledged Revenues	51,208	30,656	40,830	38,697	58,828
Debt Service Non Double Barrel CO Band Debt Service	27 677	26,310	25,685	27 422	29 025
Non-Double-Barrel GO Bond Debt Service Subordinate Debt Service Coverage GO Bond Coverage	27,677 e 1.9 x	20,310 1.2 x	25,085 1.6 x	27,432 1.4 x	28,935 2.0 x
Remaining Revenues	23,531	4,346	15,145	11,265	29,893
Total Debt Coverage	1.8 x	1.2 x	1.6 x	1.4 x	2.0 x

### Debt Service Coverage For the Past Ten Fiscal Years (Continued) (in thousands)

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Revenues Water sales and service charges	\$ 45,138	\$ 48,516	\$ 50,940	\$ 51,268	\$ 54,796
Sewer sales and service charges	37,649	39,811	41,157	45,344	45,375
Developer Connection fees	22,122	6,411	4,535	5,818	10,572
Net real estate income	6,081	7,171	7,010	5,624	3,715
Interest income	8,969	9,859	4,365	2,191	2,599
Net earnings on JPA	3,388	3,238	2,990	4,196	12,444
Available 1% property tax revenue	216	4,869	17,007	17,213	15,113
Other Total Revenues	10,457 134,020	11,130 131,005	9,918 137,922	10,706 142,360	7,987 152,601
	151,020	131,003	107,022	1 12,300	132,001
Expenses Water cumply convices	22 201	27.020	40 222	40 102	12 202
Water supply services Sewer services	33,281 23,439	37,030 26,032	40,333 27,402	40,103 27,804	42,383 30,787
Administrative and general	16,595	18,516	20,248	22,904	21,332
Customer accounts	2,829	3,178	3,234	3,772	3,737
Other	884	2,288	1,535	1,286	989
Total Expenses	77,028	87,044	92,752	95,869	99,228
Net Revenues	56,992	43,961	45,170	46,491	53,373
Parity Obligations					
Certificates of Participation	2,319	3,564	2,798	3,119	7,680
1997 State Loan #3	227	227	227	-	226
Series 2010B Bonds	-	-	-	-	4,080
Series 2011-A Index Tender Notes Prior Reimbursement Agreements	-	-	-	-	35
Total Parity Obligations Debt Service	\$ 2,546	\$ 3,791	\$ 3,025	\$ 3,119	\$ 12,021
Remaining Revenues	\$ 54,446	\$ 40,170	\$ 42,145	\$ 43,372	\$ 41,352
remaining revenues	Ψ 31,110	Ψ 10,170	Ψ 12,113	Ψ 13,572	Ψ 11,552
Coverage Calculations					
Parity Obligation Coverage	22.4 x	11.6 x	14.9 x	14.9 x	4.4 x
Subordinate Obligations					
Fixed Payer Swap Payments	612	2,115	5,694	7,391	7,734
State Loans and SCWD Debt	300	559	481	381	1,687
Total Subordinate Obligations	912 53,534	<u>2,674</u> 37,496	<u>6,175</u> 35,970	7,772 35,600	9,421 31,931
Remaining Revenues					
Non-Double-Barrel GO Bonds					
Revenues Pledged to Non-Double-Barrel GO Bonds 1% Property tax revenues (Pledged to Secured					
Bonds)	22,040	19,861	9,276	9,935	11,875
Pro-rata Share Ad valorem Assessments for Non-	,	,	,	,	,
Double-Barrel GO Bonds	8,411	9,515	9,959	11,244	11,690
Sub-total Pledged Revenues	83,985	66,872	55,205	56,779	55,496
Additional Funds Available for Non-Double-Barrel					
GO Bonds					
Remaining 1% Property Tax Revenues	216	2,395	15,454	16,348	15,113
Additional Net Revenues	53,318	35,101	20,516	19,252	16,818
Total with Additional Pledged Revenues	83,985	66,872	55,205	56,779	55,496
Debt Service					
Non-Double-Barrel GO Bond Debt Service	30,451	29,376	19,235	21,179	16,899
GO Bond Coverage	2.8 x	2.3 x	2.9 x	2.7 x	3.3 x
Remaining Revenues	53,534	37,496	35,970	35,600	38,597
Total Debt Coverage	2.6 x	2.0 x	======================================	2.1 x	2.0 x

### Principal Employers

Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2011

Name of Company	Employment		centage of Employment
University of California, Irvine	14,767	Educational	7.50%
Irvine Unified School District	2,495	Educational	1.27%
Cellco Partnership / Verizon Wireless	2,350	Wireless Communication	1.19%
Broadcom	2,290	Technology	1.16%
Edwards Lifesciences	1,934	Surgical Appliances and Supplies	0.98%
Allergan	1,922	Pharmaceutical (R&D/Marketing)	0.98%
Parker Hannifin	1,650	Aircraft Parts	0.84%
St. John Knits	1,918	Apparel	0.83%
B Braun Medical Inc.	1,400	Bio-Medical Manufacturing	0.71%
Glidwell Laboratories	1,230	Dental Lab	0.63%
			16.09%

Source: City of Irvine Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2010)

Data was not yet available for FY2010/11 from the City of Irvine.

The City of Irvine is only a part of the IRWD service area.

Information for Principal Employers is not available for FY2001/02 for comparison purposes.

### Irvine Ranch Water District Demographic & Economic Statistics For the Past Ten Fiscal Years

Fiscal Year Ended	IRWD Population	City of Irvine Population	City of Irvine Median Family Income	Total Personal Income	County of Orange Unemployment Rate	
2002	N/A	157,488	75,985	N/A	N/A	
2003	N/A	164,917	71,200	N/A	N/A	
2004	N/A	171,708	71,200	N/A	N/A	
2005	316,000	183,218	82,827	\$7,267	7,978 3.9%	
2006	322,000	192,167	84,270	7,352,3	397 3.6%	
2007	330,000	199,400	85,624	7,667,	079 4.0%	
2008	330,000	207,646	98,923	8,691,	214 5.3%	
2009	330,000	212,541	91,101	8,733,	8.3%	
2010	331,500	217,686	94,903	8,090,	9.5%	
2011	330,000	217,686	N/A (1)	N/A (1	9.2%	

Source: City of Irvine Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2010) and County of Orange website.

Data for the entire Irvine Ranch Water District service area is not readily available. The City of Irvine is only a part of the IRWD service area.


<sup>(1)</sup> Median Family Income and Total Personal Income for FY 2011 has not yet been published by the City of Irvine.

Operating Indicators by Function Water and Sewer Service Connections For the Past Ten Fiscal Years

Fiscal Year Ended	Potable Water	Non-Potable Water	Sewer & Recycled Water	Total Service Connections	Average Employee Population	Service Connections per Employee
2002	81,659	120	76,034	157,813	260	607
2003	83,526	120	77,880	161,526	275	587
2004	85,652	117	81,128	166,897	285	586
2005	88,423	143	83,982	172,548	293	589
2006	90,816	219	86,290	177,325	290	611
2007	93,531	293	88,316	182,140	303	601
2008	95,386	198	89,775	185,359	313	592
2009	96,110	201	90,545	186,856	310	603
2010	96,797	226	91,252	188,275	310	607
2011	98,453	184	92,837	191,474	305	628

Source: Irvine Ranch Water District

#### Service Connections and Average Employee Count



### Operating Indicators by Function New Service Connections For the Past Ten Fiscal Years

	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Water										
Residential	2,306	1,563	1,788	2,360	2,039	2,211	1,439	552	631	1,469
Commercial/Industrial/										
Public Authority	92	137	174	269	211	321	229	89	19	98
Fire Protection	234	152	141	137	128	162	173	86	43	40
Landscape Irrigation	20	15	25	6	91	93	(80)	13	4	11
Agricultural	(3)	0	(5)	(6)	0	2	(1)	(13)	(8)	(11)
Sewer										
Residential	2,296	1,561	1,808	2,355	2,002	1,462	891	527	613	1,462
Commercial/Industrial/										
Public Authority	148	115	1,268	237	150	290	357	156	21	37
Landscape Irrigation	246	168	174	264	156	276	207	84	63	85
Agricultural	0	2	(2)	(2)	0	(2)	4	3	10	1

Source: Irvine Ranch Water District

Irvine Ranch Water District Operating Indicators by Function Average Monthly Usage (in CCF) For the Past Ten Fiscal Years

	Fiscal Year									
	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Water										
Residential	10	11	10	10	11	11	11	10	10	9
Commercial	76	75	68	72	73	75	69	63	57	56
Industrial	263	258	227	258	241	228	226	211	200	201
Public Authority	483	487	398	464	454	356	359	347	300	295
Construction & Temporary	262	237	179	95	94	94	122	39	52	79
Treated - Landscape Irrigation	116	107	99	103	110	127	122	116	95	85
Treated - Agricultural	2,796	2,309	2,080	1,990	1,760	1,653	1,294	1,116	663	925
Untreated - Agricultural	7,399	6,836	5,686	5,520	7,659	7,991	6,405	7,495	6,925	4,714
	11,405	10,322	8,747	8,512	10,401	10,534	8,608	9,397	8,302	6,364
Recycled water										
Landscape Irrigation	225	188	199	178	176	211	191	182	152	134
Agricultural	134	277	330	268	895	1,792	1,792	2,418	1,874	2,247
- -	359	465	529	446	1,071	2,003	1,982	2,600	2,026	2,381

Source: Irvine Ranch Water District

### Capital Asset Statistics For the Past Eight Fiscal Years June 30, 2011

		2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Potable System									
Miles of Water Main	(1)	930	990	1,040	1,090	1,132	1,134	1,169	1,460
Number of Storage Tanks		27	27	29	37	37	37	37	37
Maximum Storage Capacity (Acre Feet)		412	418	440	460	460	460	460	490
Number of Pumping Plants		24	24	32	40	40	46	46	46
Number of Wells		21	21	26	26	27	27	27	27
Well Production Capacity (cfs)		100	100	109	109	117	117	117	117
Water Banking Storage (Acre Feet)		-	-	-	-	-	-	57,600	57,600
Non-Potable and Recycled Systems									
Miles of Water Main	(1)	277	307	337	367	399	400	407	468
Number of Storage Tanks	(2)	13	14	10	11	11	11	11	11
Number of Open Reservoirs	(2)			4	4	4	4	5	5
Maximum Storage Capacity (Acre Feet)		25,500	28,500	30,036	30,043	30,043	30,043	30,543	30,543
Number of Pumping Plants		17	17	14	20	19	19	19	19
Number of Wells		4	4	7	7	6	6	6	6
Well Production Capacity (cfs)		4.5	4.5	6.0	6.0	9.0	9.0	9	9
Sewer System									
Miles of Sewer Line		620	656	680	809	899	901	940	950
Number of Lift Stations		22	22	16	29	29	29	29	29
Treatment Plants		2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Treatment Capacity		22.5	22.5	22.5	22.5	22.5	22.5	23	23
Average Flows		17.8	18.6	19.5	19.5	19.5	19.5	20	20
Average % of Daily Flows - Michelson Plan	t	76%	74%	71%	72%	72%	72%	72%	70%
Average % of Daily Flows - Los Alisos Plant	t	24%	26%	29%	28%	28%	28%	28%	30%

Source: Irvine Ranch Water District

Information on prior years' capital asset statistics was not readily available.

Miles of Water Main only include Distribution and Transmission mains, not laterals.
 IRWD began reporting storage tanks and open reservoirs separately in 2006. Previously for purposes of these statistics, both have been combined under "storage tanks".


# Full-Time Employees For the Past Ten Fiscal Years

#### Fiscal Year

Average Full-Time Employees

2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
260	275	285	293	290	303	313	310	310	305

#### Average Full-Time Employees



Source: Irvine Ranch Water District

This page intentionally left blank.

### IRVINE RANCH WATER DISTRICT

POST OFFICE BOX 57000
IRVINE, CALIFORNIA 92619-7000
949.453.5300