SANTIAGO AQUEDUCT COMMISSION QUARTERLY MEETING THURSDAY, MARCH 16, 2023

| CALL TO ORDER: | 8:30 a.m., IRWD B | oard Room, 156 | 500 Sand Canyon | Avenue, Irvine, CA |
|---------------------|---|------------------------------------|---|--------------------|
| <u>ATTENDANCE</u> | Commission Chair: Commission Vice C | | / | |
| | Commissioner Kay Commissioner Sher Commissioner Jeffe Commissioner Don | rry Wanninger (I ery Thomas (MV | MNWD) WDOC) | |
| <u>ALSO PRESENT</u> | Paul Cook | lison Burns (SY | Kevin Burton Cheryl Clary Diane Squyres C&R) | |

COMMUNICATIONS

- 1. Pledge of Allegiance
- 2. Public Comments
- 3. Determine the need to discuss and/or take action on item(s) introduced that came to the attention of the Commission subsequent to the agenda being posted.

ACTION ITEMS

4. <u>ELECTION OF OFFICERS – COOK</u>

Recommendation: That an election be conducted of the Chairman and Vice Chairman.

5. <u>MINUTES OF REGULAR COMMISSION MEETING, DECEMBER 16, 2022</u>

Recommendation: That the minutes of the June 16, 2022 meeting be approved as presented.

6. <u>FEBRUARY 2023 FINANCIAL REPORTS – DISBURSEMENT AND STATEMENT</u> <u>OF NET POSITIONS - CRAIG / CLARY</u>

- A. Ratify Disbursement Resolution No. 717 dated February 2023.
- B. Receive and file the Statement of Net Position and the Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Positions for the period ending February 28, 2023.

Recommendation: That the Commission ratify Disbursement Resolutions Nos. 717 dated February 2023 in the total amount of \$31,718.50 and receive and file the Statement of Net Position and the Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Positions for the period ending February 28, 2023.

ACTION ITEMS, continued

7. <u>PROPOSED FISCAL YEARS 2023-24 AND 2024-25 OPERATIONS,</u> <u>MAINTENANCE, AND RESTORATION PROJECT BUDGET – CRAIG /</u> <u>TOBAR / LIN / CLARY</u>

Recommendation: That the Commission review and approve the proposed Fiscal Years 2023-24 and 2024-25 Operations, Maintenance and Restoration Project Budget as presented.

OTHER BUSINESS

- 8. <u>GENERAL MANAGER REPORT COOK</u>
- 9. <u>ENGINEER REPORT BURTON</u>
- 10. <u>MWDOC REPORT</u>
- 11. <u>ATTORNEY REPORT</u>

12. <u>COMMISSIONER COMMUNICATIONS</u>

Commissioners may discuss meetings, communications, correspondence, or other items of general interest relating to matters within the Commission's jurisdiction. There will be no voting or formal action taken.

13. <u>ADJOURN</u>

Availability of agenda materials: Agenda exhibits and other writings that are disclosable public records distributed to all or a majority of the members of the Santiago Aqueduct Commission in connection with a matter subject to discussion or consideration at an open meeting of the Commission are available for public inspection in the District's office, 15600 Sand Canyon Avenue, Irvine, California. If such writings are distributed to members of the Commission less than 72 hours prior to the meeting, these writings will be available from the IRWD District Secretary at the same time as they are distributed to Commission members, except that if such writings are distributed one hour prior to, or during, the meeting, they will be available at the entrance of the meeting room at the District Office. The IRWD Board Room is wheelchair accessible. If you require any special disability-related accommodations (e.g., access to an amplified sound system, etc.), please contact the District Secretary at (949) 453-5300 during business hours at least seventy-two (72) hours prior to the scheduled meeting. This agenda can be obtained in an alternative format upon written request to the District Secretary at least seventy-two (72) hours prior to the scheduled meeting.

MINUTES OF THE QUARTERLY REGULAR MEETING OF THE SANTIAGO AQUEDUCT COMMISSION

December 15, 2022

The quarterly meeting of the Santiago Aqueduct Commission ("SAC") was duly noticed and was held at 8:30 a.m. on December 15, 2022 at the Board Room of the Irvine Ranch Water District, 15600 Sand Canyon Avenue, Irvine, California. Chairman CHADD called the meeting to order at 8:31 a.m. ALLISON BURNS recorded the Minutes of the meeting.

COMMISSIONERS PRESENT

JOHN WITHERS, Irvine Ranch Water District ("IRWD"); DON CHADD, Trabuco Canyon Water District ("TCWD"); SANDRA JACOBS, Santa Margarita Water District ("SMWD"); SHERRY WANNINGER, Moulton Niguel Water District ("MNWD"); and CHARLES BUSSLINGER, Municipal Water District of Orange County ("MWDOC").

Also present: PAUL COOK, General Manager, IRWD; CHERYL CLARY, Treasurer, IRWD; KEVIN BURTON, Engineer, IRWD; ALLISON BURNS, General Counsel/Secretary; DIANE SQUYRES, Administrative Secretary, IRWD; JESSICA CRAIG, IRWD; MALCOLM CORTEZ, Assistant Engineer, IRWD; EILEEN LIN, Assistant Treasurer, IRWD; JAVIER TOBAR, IRWD; BARBARA MOURANT, IRWD; MATT COLLINGS, MNWD; ALEX MURPHY, IRWD; and FERNANDO PALUDI, TCWD.

COMMUNICATIONS

- 1. Pledge of Allegiance The Commission recited the Pledge of Allegiance.
- 2. Public Comments No public comments.
- 3. Determine the need to discuss and/or take action(s) on item(s) introduced that came to the attention of the Commission subsequent to the agenda being posted: No new items needed.

ACTION ITEMS

4. MINUTES OF REGULAR COMMISSION MEETING, JUNE 16, 2022

Recommendation: That the Minutes of the June 16, 2022, meeting of the Santiago Aqueduct Commission be approved as presented.

WITHERS moved the item as presented, second by JACOBS and passed unanimously.

5. <u>2021-22 FINANCIAL REPORT</u>

- a. Ratify Disbursement Resolution No. 713 dated June 2022.
- b. Ratify Disbursement Resolution No. 714 dated June 2022.
- c. Ratify Disbursement Resolution No. 715 dated September 2022.
- d. Ratify Disbursement Resolution No. 716 dated November 2022
- e. Receive and file Financial Statement dated November 30, 2022.

Recommendation: That the Commission ratify Disbursement Resolutions Nos. 713 through 716 and receive and file the Financial Statement dated November 30, 2022, for the Santiago Aqueduct Commission.

CLARY – June, September, November disbursements for ratification.

WITHERS moved the item as presented, second by JACOBS and passed unanimously.

JACOBS - Which components of ACWA JPIA insurance is Santiago Aqueduct Commission in?

CLARY-Just general liability because SAC has no employees.

JACOBS - Does Santiago Aqueduct Commission have a representative on the Board?

COOK – WITHERS is the representative and CHADD is the alternate.

JACOBS $- \frac{11}{30}/22$ How is there a decrease in FMV of investments if they are a capital asset (Section 5.e)?

LIN – The change has to do with the change in balance of investments with LAIF.

WITHERS – Due to risings interest rates.

LIN – The interest rate is set by the State.

The item was duly moved, seconded, and passed unanimously.

6. <u>FISCAL YEAR 2021-2022 FINANCIAL REPORT – CRAIG/TOBAR/LIN/CLARY</u>

Recommendation: That the Commission receive and file the Fiscal Year 2021-2022 Financial Report for Santiago Aqueduct Commission.

CLARY – before the Board for consideration is the annual audit for Fiscal Year 2021-22 and related items

Davis Farr, LLP performed the audit.

Three Exhibits:

Exhibit A. FY 2021-22 Financial ReportExhibit B. Auditor CommunicationExhibit C. Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

CRAIG presented the audit results. The Auditor issued a clean opinion on the financial statements.

CRAIG reviewed the several documents provided to the Board:

Exhibit A: Audited financials. Pages A-1 through A-18

Page A-10: Total assets increased by approximately \$910,000 due primarily to capital expenditures for the Baker Pipeline Project.

Page A-10: Total liabilities decreased by approximately \$50,000 due primarily to a reduction of accrued repair costs from the prior year relating to fire damage to the SAC pipeline.

Page A-11: Total revenues decreased by approximately \$10,000. Operating revenues increased \$61,000 due to an increase in member agency charges to fund the current fiscal year's maintenance expenses; prior years maintenance expenses were paid from cash reserves. Non -operating revenues decreased approximately \$71,000 due to a reduction of an insurance claim reimbursement in the prior year.

Operating expenses decreased approximately \$2400 from the prior fiscal year. Increases in cathodic protection and monitoring, general and administrative and depreciation expenses were more than offset by a decrease in contract labor.

Pages A-13 through A-18: Footnotes to the Audited Financial Statements

Exhibit B – Auditor Communications: There were no significant difficulties encountered during the audit and no disagreements with management.

Exhibit C – Internal controls over Financial Reporting: The audit did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that were considered to be a material weakness.

JACOBS – Exhibit B – Significant risks to B-2 – no leases identified. Santa Margarita identified the same per GASB 87. Telecom leases – are they Santiago Aqueduct Commission or Irvine Ranch Water District?

LIN – Irvine Ranch Water District

CLARY – This is the auditor's standard disclosure; staff requested that the auditor remove it; the auditor declined and said it's considered standard disclosure with the adoption of a new accounting standard.

WANNIGER moved the item as presented, second by JACOBS and passed unanimously.

<u>REPORTS</u>

7. <u>GENERAL MANAGER'S REPORT – COOK</u>

COOK – Holiday greetings. Tomorrow is Barbara Mourant's last day, retiring after 15 years. Thanks to her.

8. <u>ENGINEER REPORT – BURTON</u>

BURTON -

<u>Baker Pipeline Relocation in Irvine Regional Park</u>. At the June meeting, the last component of the Baker Pipeline relocation was habitat restoration along the 300-foot Santiago Creek Crossing. The plantings are now all in the ground, which is good timing with all the rain. The planting contract included 3 months of watering and weeding, which will end in February 2023. A final capital project reconciliation will be prepared. Staff will then commence the five-year monitoring phase, which will be part of the operating budget at an estimated cost of \$60,000 per year. This amount

will be included in the budget starting next year. Photos of the restoration are available. This project came in right on budget. No member owes more; no one will receive a refund.

Cathodic Protection. Staff performed the twice-yearly monitoring of cathodic protection. The pipeline was down for two months, but is now fully protected.

<u>Baker Pipeline Relocation in Orange Heights</u>. SAC has a longstanding agreement with the Irvine Company (from 2004) and the project has started and stopped several times – most recently in 2016. Irvine Company put the project out to bid but has informed staff that it is now on hold again. Irvine Company is working on permitting issues with Caltrans, but it the housing market slows, Irvine Company could shelve the project again. None of this results in any cost to Santiago Aqueduct Commission.

CHADD – Is monitoring in house or contracted out?

BURTON - Contracted out; hand watering operation. Staff visits occasionally.

JACOBS – How many acres?

BURTON – One-quarter to one-half acre.

JACOBS - Can we use dry packs? Same molecules as watering without hand watering.

BURTON – Labor is driving water truck, attaching a hose.

JACOBS – If MET cuts off allocations, what water will be used?

BURTON – SAC will use lake water. Water use is reduced substantially after planting. Weeding is most of the work.

9. <u>MWDOC REPORT</u>

BUSSLINGER -

CLEANUP ITEM: Upper feeder expansion valve during shutdown. All agencies reduced demand on Diemer plant.

Tuesday, MET's Board declared a drought emergency, called for conservation. This action sets the stage for the water allocation plan if there is no improvement. Director THOMAS is attending Colorado River Waters Conference in Las Vegas. Bureau of Reclamation has indicated the lower basin states need to cut 2- to 4-million-acre feet of demand per year. Could have curtailment of both major sources for Southern California.

Metropolitan only entitled to one million acre-feet from the Colorado River. Proposed cuts are a huge amount of water. If all lower basin states cut 100%, it still will not solve the problem.

Law of the river that has governed expires in 2026. A lot is going on right now. MWDOC is preparing for negotiations. Past 2040, things look shaky. It is time to make decisions now.

10. ATTORNEY REPORT

BURNS – Happy holidays!

11. COMMISSIONER COMMUNICATIONS

Commissioners may discuss meetings, communications, correspondence, or other items of general interest relating to matters within the Commission's jurisdiction. There will be no voting or formal action taken.

JACOBS – Merry Christmas; glad to be here.

MWDOC – None

WITHERS – None

CHADD – Merry Christmas, happy new year, happy holidays. Thank you IRWD for a stellar job.

12. <u>ADJOURN</u>

CHADD adjourned the meeting at 8:57 a.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Allison E. Burns, Secretary

Note: This page is intentionally left blank.

SANTIAGO AQUEDUCT COMMISSION DISBURSEMENT RESOLUTION NO. 717

February 2023

RESOLVED by Santiago Aqueduct Commission that items shown below be approved for payment and charges to the Contracting Agencies and to holders of capacity rights in the Santiago Aqueduct Commission in accordance with the policy with respect to standby charges, water surcharges and operation and maintenance costs adopted by this Commission on April 10, 1963: That the Contracting Public Agencies and other holders of capacity rights in said Santiago Aqueduct be called upon to pay this Commission for their respective shares of such items, and payments, such items and the distribution thereof are as follows:

OPERATIONS, MAINTENANCE AND BAKER PIPELINE CHARGES

| 1 | AT&T Charges for Nov - Jan 2023 | 535.76 |
|---|---|-----------------|
| | | 555.70 |
| 2 | Southern Calif Edison | |
| | Charges for Nov - Jan 2023 | 648.17 |
| 3 | Stradling Yocca Carlson & Rauth | |
| | Charges for legal fees | 672.00 |
| 4 | DavisFarr | |
| | Charges for Auditing | 5,000.00 |
| 5 | Micheal Baker | |
| | Charges for Cathodic Protection Monitoring | 16,496.76 |
| 6 | Irvine Ranch Water District | |
| | Baker Pipeline Operation (Oct - Dec 2022) | 4,515.82 |
| | Baker Pipeline Maintenance (Oct - Dec 2022) | 3,849.99 |
| 7 | TOTAL DISBURSEMENT RESOLUTION NO. 717 | \$ 31,718.50 |

Note: This page is intentionally left blank.

Santiago Aqueduct Commission

Statement of Net Position February 28, 2023

| ASSETS | Uı | naudited |
|--|----|----------------------|
| Current assets: Cash and investments | \$ | 269,513 |
| Receivables: Interest receivable Total receivables | | 893 893 |
| Total current assets | | 270,406 |
| Noncurrent assets: Capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation Capital assets, not being depreciated | | 1,079,725 125,415 |
| Total noncurrent assets, net | | 1,205,140 |
| TOTAL ASSETS | | 1,475,546 |
| LIABILITIES | | |
| Current liabilities: Account payable | | 102,444 |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES | | 102,444 |
| | | |
| NET POSITION | | |
| Investment in capital assets Unrestricted for water services | | 1,205,140 167,962 |
| TOTAL NET POSITION | \$ | 1,373,102 |

Santiago Aqueduct Commission

Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Position For the Period Ended February 28, 2023

| | Ur | naudited |
|--|----|-----------|
| OPERATING REVENUES | | |
| Water surcharge | \$ | 13,131 |
| Member charges | | 69,425 |
| Total operating revenues | | 82,556 |
| OPERATING EXPENSES | | |
| Contract labor | | 1,500 |
| Equipment usage | | 283 |
| Utilities | | 1,570 |
| Landscape | | 10,941 |
| Cathodic protection monitoring and maintenance | | 34,709 |
| Telemetry alarm | | 1,250 |
| General and administrative: | | |
| Audit | | 5,000 |
| Insurance | | 7,740 |
| Legal | | 1,306 |
| Administration management | | 15,400 |
| Other | | 1,017 |
| Depreciation | | 14,713 |
| Total operating expenses | | 95,429 |
| Operating income (loss) | | (12,873) |
| NONOPERATING REVENUES (EXPENSES) | | |
| Interest income | | 1,600 |
| Increase (decrease) in fair value of investments | | (1,078) |
| Total nonoperating revenues (expenses) | | 522 |
| Increase (decrease) in net position | | (12,351) |
| NET POSITION AT BEGINNING OF YEAR | | 1,385,453 |
| NET POSITION AT END OF FEBRUARY | \$ | 1,373,102 |

March 16, 2023 Prepared by: J. Craig / J. Tobar / E. Lin Submitted by: C. Clary Approved by: Paul A. Cook

SANTIAGO AQUEDUCT COMMISSION

PROPOSED FISCAL YEARS 2023-24 AND 2024-25 OPERATIONS, MAINTENANCE, AND RESTORATION PROJECT BUDGET

SUMMARY:

This year staff proposes that the Santiago Aqueduct Commission continue with a two-year budget cycle, which is consistent with the budget cycles several cities and water districts have adopted.

BACKGROUND:

Operations Budget:

The Operations budget includes the labor and administrative costs associated with operating, monitoring, and reading the meters for water deliveries of the Baker Pipeline. It is funded by a surcharge on each acre-foot (AF) of water delivered. The surcharge per AF for each year is shown in Exhibit "A", page A-3.

Staff recommends an increase from the current FY 2022-23 surcharge of \$.99 per AF to \$1.09 per AF in FY 2023-24 and then to \$1.12 per AF in FY 2024-25. The proposed increase from FY 2022-23 results primarily from inflation. The surcharge increases slightly to \$1.12 per AF in FY 2024-25 also primarily due to inflation. Expected deliveries of water to member agencies of 31,228 AF for each of the two fiscal years.

In accordance with past practice, depreciation is excluded from the FY 2023-24 and FY 2024-25 budgets based on the Commission's approach to financing future capital expenditures on a pay-as-you-go basis. Each member agency sets aside funding for future capital projects, which will be funded by cash calls to the member agencies on an as-needed basis.

Maintenance Budget:

The Maintenance budget includes the cost of maintaining the pipeline and the administrative costs associated with both maintenance of the pipeline and administration of the Commission. As shown in Exhibit "A", pages A-1 and A-2, the proposed budget for FY 2023-24 and FY 2024-25 reflects an increase of \$13,190 and \$1,640, respectively. The increase in FY 2023-24 and FY 2024-25 is due primarily to increased inflation.

Restoration Project Budget (for Reach 1U):

The Restoration Project budget includes site monitoring, watering, and weeding land care and IRWD staff time. As shown in Exhibit "A", pages A-1 and A-2, the proposed budget for FY 2023-24 and FY 2024-25 reflects \$70,600 and \$70,900, respectively. The increase in

Santiago Aqueduct Commission: Proposed Fiscal Years 2023-24 and 2024-25 Operations, Maintenance, and Landscape Project Budget March 16, 2023 Page 2

FY 2024-2025 is due primarily to inflation. Funding for the restoration project was discussed in the Dec 15, 2022, Baker Pipeline Relocation through Santiago Creek Project Update.

The proposed FY 2023-24 and FY 2024-25 Operations, Maintenance and Restoration Project budget is provided as Exhibit "A". The assumptions used to prepare the budget are included as Exhibit "B".

FISCAL IMPACTS:

Fiscal impacts to the participating agencies are shown in Exhibit "A", page A-3.

ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE:

This item is not a project as define in the California Environmental Quality Act Code of Regulations, Title 14, Chapter 3, Section 15378.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the Commission review and approve the proposed Fiscal Years 2023-24 and 2024-25 Operations, Maintenance and Restoration Project Budget as presented.

LIST OF EXHIBITS:

Exhibit "A" – Proposed FY 2023-24 and FY 2024-25 Operations, Maintenance and Restoration Project (Reach 1U) Budget

Exhibit "B" – Proposed Budget Assumptions

EXHIBIT "A-1" SANTIAGO AQUEDUCT COMMISSION Baker Pipeline Proposed Budget 2023-24

| | FY 2021-22 Actual | | | | FY | 2022-23 (as o | of February 28, 2 | 2023) | Approv | Approved FY 2022-23 Budget Proposed FY 2023-24 Budget | | | | | Inc | Increase/(Decrease) | | | | | |
|--|-------------------|-----------|-----------|--------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------|--------------|------------|---|-----------|------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|---------------------|----------|------------|-----------|------------|----------|
| | | | Silverado | | Tatal | | | | | | | | | | Landscape | | | | Landscape | | |
| Contract Labor and Equipment | Oper. | Maint. | Cyn Fire | Capital Proj | Total | Oper. | Maint. | Capital Proj | Total | Oper. | Maint. | Total | Oper. | Maint. | Project | Total | Oper. | Maint. | Project | Total | <u>%</u> |
| 1 Contract Labor | \$ 3.718 | \$ 801 | | | \$ 4,519 | \$ 1,500 | | | \$ 1,500 | \$ 4.400 | \$ 5,800 | \$ 10,200 | \$ 4,500 | \$ 1,400 | | \$ 5,900 | \$ 100 | \$ (4,400) | | \$ (4,300) | -42.2% |
| | , ., . | \$ 001 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | , | | | |
| 2 Equipment Usage | 474 | | | | 474 | 283 | | | 283 | 400 | 350 | 750 | 800 | 90 | | 890 | 400 | (260) | | 140 | 18.7% |
| 3 Administration/Management | 15,300 | 15,300 | | | 30,600 | 7,700 | 7,700 | | 15,400 | 15,400 | 15,400 | 30,800 | 16,200 | 16,200 | | 32,400 | 800 | 800 | | 1,600 | 5.2% |
| Outside Services | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 Telemetry Alarm System/Underground Aler | 1 2,120 | | | | 2,120 | 1,250 | | | 1,250 | 2,100 | | 2,100 | 2,225 | | | 2,225 | 125 | - | | 125 | 6.0% |
| 5 Depreciation (1) | 5,206 | | | | 5,206 | 14,713 | | | 14,713 | | | - | | | | - | - | | | - | |
| 6 Utilities | | 1,868 | | | 1,868 | | 1,570 | | 1,570 | | 3,000 | 3,000 | | 3,200 | | 3,200 | | 200 | | 200 | 6.7% |
| 7 Cathodic Protection Sys Maintenance/Upgr | ade | 8,462 | \$ 39,837 | | 48,299 | | 18,213 | | 18,213 | | 3,000 | 3,000 | | 10,000 | | 10,000 | | 7,000 | | 7,000 | 233.3% |
| 8 Cathodic Protection Sys Monitoring | | 24,187 | | | 24,187 | | 16,496 | | 16,496 | | 26,000 | 26,000 | | 30,000 | | 30,000 | | 4,000 | | 4,000 | 15.4% |
| 9 Maintenance - Landscape | | 10,290 | | | 10,290 | | 10,940 | | 10,940 | | 7,200 | 7,200 | | 11,500 | | 11,500 | | 4,300 | | 4,300 | 59.7% |
| 10 SAC Baker Pipeline Relocation Project | | | | \$ 910,179 | 910,179 | | | 125,415 | 125,415 | | | - | | | | - | | - | | - | |
| 11 Landscape Project Reach 1U | | | | | - | | | | - | | | | | | \$ 70,600 | 70,600 | | | \$ 70,600 | 70,600 | 100% |
| Adminstration | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 12 General Liability Insurance | 3,391 | 3,391 | | | 6,782 | 3,870 | 3,870 | | 7,740 | 3,625 | 3,625 | 7,250 | 4,175 | 4,175 | | 8,350 | 550 | 550 | | 1,100 | 15.2% |
| 13 Legal | 1,394 | 1,394 | | | 2,789 | 653 | 653 | | 1,306 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 4,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | | 4,000 | - | - | | - | 0.0% |
| 14 Bank Charges | 537 | 537 | | | 1,074 | 509 | 508 | | 1,017 | 550 | 550 | 1,100 | 1,000 | 1,000 | | 2,000 | 450 | 450 | | 900 | 81.8% |
| 15 Audit | 2,500 | 2,500 | | | 5,000 | 2,500 | 2,500 | | 5,000 | 2,500 | 2,500 | 5,000 | 3,050 | 3,050 | | 6,100 | 550 | 550 | | 1,100 | 22.0% |
| 16 Total Operations & Maintenance | \$ 34,639 | \$ 68,730 | \$ 39,837 | \$ 910,179 | \$ 1,053,387 | \$ 32,978 | \$ 62,450 | \$ 125,415 | \$ 220,843 | \$ 30,975 | \$ 69,425 | \$ 100,400 | \$ 33,950 | \$ 82,615 | \$ 70,600 | \$ 187,165 | \$ 2,975 | \$ 13,190 | \$ 70,600 | \$ 86,765 | 86.4% |

1

Note: (1) No depreciation will be charged for all fixed assets including the Pipeline Relocation Project in the current or future budgets based on the prior Board's discussion of financing future capital expenditures on a pay-as-you-go basis.

EXHIBIT "A-2" SANTIAGO AQUEDUCT COMMISSION Baker Pipeline Proposed Budget 2024-25

| | FY 2021-22 Actual | | | | FY 2022-23 (as of February 28, 2023) Proposed FY 2023-24 Budget | | | | | | | Proposed FY | 2024-25 Budget | | Increase/(Decrease) | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------------|-----------|-----------|--------------|---|-----------|-----------|--------------|------------|-----------|---------------|-------------|----------------|----------------|---------------------|-----------|----------------|----------|----------|-----------|-------|-------|
| | | | Silverado | | T | | | | | | | Landscape | | | | Landscape | | | | Landscape | | |
| Contract Labor and Equipment | Oper. | Maint. | Cyn Fire | Capital Proj | Total | Oper. | Maint. | Capital Proj | Total | Oper. | Maint. | Project | Total | Oper. | Maint. | Project | Total | Oper. | Maint. | Project | Total | % |
| 1 Contract Labor | \$ 3.718 | \$ 801 | | | \$ 4,519 | \$ 1,500 | | | \$ 1,500 | \$ 4.500 | \$ 1,400 | | \$ 5,900 | \$ 4.600 | \$ 1,400 | | \$ 6,000 | \$ 100 | s - | 9 | 5 100 | 1.7% |
| 2 Equipment Usage | 474 | ¢ 001 | | | 474 | 283 | | | 283 | 800 | ¢ 1,100 90 | | 890 | ¢ 1,000 800 | 90 | | ¢ 0,000 890 | - | ÷ . | | - | 0.0% |
| 3 Administration/Management | 15,300 | 15,300 | | | 30,600 | 7,700 | 7,700 | | 15,400 | 16,200 | 16,200 | | 32,400 | 16,800 | 16,800 | | 33,600 | 600 | 600 | | 1,200 | 3.7% |
| Outside Services | 10,000 | 10,000 | | | 00,000 | 1,100 | 1,100 | | 10,400 | 10,200 | 10,200 | | 02,400 | 10,000 | 10,000 | | 00,000 | 000 | 000 | | 1,200 | 0.170 |
| 4 Telemetry Alarm System/Underground Aler | rl 2,120 | | | | 2,120 | 1,250 | | | 1,250 | 2,225 | | | 2,225 | 2,300 | | | 2,300 | 75 | | | 75 | 3.4% |
| , , , | | | | | | | | | | 2,225 | | | | 2,300 | | | 2,300 | 75 | - | | | 3.470 |
| 5 Depreciation (1) | 5,206 | | | | 5,206 | 14,713 | | | 14,713 | | | | - | | | | | - | | | - | |
| 6 Utilities | | 1,868 | | | 1,868 | | 1,570 | | 1,570 | | 3,200 | | 3,200 | | 3,400 | | 3,400 | | 200 | | 200 | 6.3% |
| 7 Cathodic Protection Sys Maintenance/Upgr | ade | 8,462 | \$ 39,837 | | 48,299 | | 18,213 | | 18,213 | | 10,000 | | 10,000 | | 10,000 | | 10,000 | | - | | - | 0.0% |
| 8 Cathodic Protection Sys Monitoring | | 24,187 | | | 24,187 | | 16,496 | | 16,496 | | 30,000 | | 30,000 | | 30,000 | | 30,000 | | - | | - | 0.0% |
| 9 Maintenance - Landscape | | 10,290 | | | 10,290 | | 10,940 | | 10,940 | | 11,500 | | 11,500 | | 12,000 | | 12,000 | | 500 | | 500 | 4.3% |
| 10 SAC Baker Pipeline Relocation Project | | | | \$ 910,179 | 910,179 | | | 125,415 | 125,415 | | | | - | | | | - | | | | - | |
| 11 Landscape Project Reach 1U | | | | | | | | | | | | \$ 70,600 | 70,600 | | | \$ 70,900 | 70,900 | | | 300 | 300 | 0.4% |
| Adminstration | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | - | | | | | |
| 12 General Liability Insurance | 3,391 | 3,391 | | | 6,782 | 3,870 | 3,870 | | 7,740 | 4,175 | 4,175 | | 8,350 | 4,320 | 4,320 | | 8,640 | 145 | 145 | | 290 | 3.5% |
| 13 Legal | 1,394 | 1,394 | | | 2,789 | 653 | 653 | | 1,306 | 2,000 | 2,000 | | 4,000 | 2,060 | 2,060 | | 4,120 | 60 | 60 | | 120 | 3.0% |
| 14 Bank Charges | 537 | 537 | | | 1,074 | 509 | 508 | | 1,017 | 1,000 | 1,000 | | 2,000 | 1,030 | 1,030 | | 2,060 | 30 | 30 | | 60 | 3.0% |
| 15 Audit | 2,500 | 2,500 | | | 5,000 | 2,500 | 2,500 | | 5,000 | 3,050 | 3,050 | | 6,100 | 3,155 | 3,155 | | 6,310 | 105 | 105 | | 210 | 3.4% |
| 16 Total Operations & Maintenance | \$ 34,639 | \$ 68,730 | \$ 39,837 | \$ 910,179 | \$ 1,053,387 | \$ 32,978 | \$ 62,450 | \$ 125,415 | \$ 220,843 | \$ 33,950 | \$ 82,615 | \$ 70,600 | \$ 187,165 | \$ 35,065 | \$ 84,255 | \$ 70,900 | \$ 190,220 | \$ 1,115 | \$ 1,640 | \$ 300 \$ | 3,055 | 1.6% |

Note: ⁽¹⁾ No depreciation will be charged for all fixed assets including the Pipeline Relocation Project in the current or future budgets based on the prior Board's discussion of financing future capital expenditures on a pay-as-you-go basis.

EXHIBIT "A-3" SANTIAGO AQUEDUCT COMMISSION Baker Pipeline Proposed 2023-24 and 2024-25 Surcharge and Allocation

| | | | | | Prop | osed FY 2023 | 3-24 E | Budget | | | | Pro | posed FY 2 |)24-25 E | udget | | |
|---|------------|---------------|-----------|----------|------|--------------|--------|---------------------------|---------------|-----------|----------|-----|------------|----------|-------------------|----|---------|
| | | | Landscape | | | | | | | Landscape | | | | | | | |
| | | | C | perating | Ма | aintenance | Pro | oject ⁽¹⁾ | Total | C | perating | Mai | intenance | Proje | ct ⁽¹⁾ | | Total |
| 1 Contract Labor | | | \$ | 4,500 | \$ | 1,400 | | | \$ 5,900 | \$ | 4,600 | \$ | 1,400 | | | \$ | 6,000 |
| 2 Equipment Usage | | | | 800 | | 90 | | | 890 | | 800 | | 90 | | | | 890 |
| 3 Administration/Management | | | | 16,200 | | 16,200 | | | 32,400 | | 16,800 | | 16,800 | | | | 33,600 |
| 1 Telemetry Alarm System | | | | 2,225 | | | | | 2,225 | | 2,300 | | | | | | 2,300 |
| 5 Depreciation | | | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | | |
| 6 Utilities | | | | | | 3,200 | | | 3,200 | | | | 3,400 | | | | 3,400 |
| 7 Cathodic Prot Sys Maintanance | | | | | | 10,000 | | | 10,000 | | | | 10,000 | | | | 10,000 |
| 3 Cathodic Prot Sys Monitoring | | | | | | 30,000 | | | 30,000 | | | | 30,000 | | | | 30,000 |
| 9 Maintenance-Landscape | | | | | | 11,500 | | | 11,500 | | | | 12,000 | | | | 12,000 |
| 0 SAC Landscape Special Budget for Reach 1U | | | | | | | \$ | 70,600 | 70,600 | | | | | \$ | 70,900 | | 70,900 |
| 1 General Liability Insurance | | | | 4,175 | | 4,175 | | | 8,350 | | 4,320 | | 4,320 | | | | 8,640 |
| 2 Legal | | | | 2,000 | | 2,000 | | | 4,000 | | 2,060 | | 2,060 | | | | 4,120 |
| 3 Bank Charges | | | | 1,000 | | 1,000 | | | 2,000 | | 1,030 | | 1,030 | | | | 2,060 |
| 4 Audit | | | | 3,050 | | 3,050 | | | 6,100 | | 3,155 | | 3,155 | | | | 6,310 |
| 5 Total | | | \$ | 33,950 | \$ | 82,615 | \$ | 70,600 | \$ 187,165 | \$ | 35,065 | \$ | 84,255 | \$ | 70,900 | \$ | 190,220 |
| | Proposed F | Y 2023-24 and | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 2024-25 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Proposed | Capacity per | | | | | | Reach | | | | | | Rea | | | |
| Member Agencies | Acre Feet | Linear Foot | | | | | | <u>U % ⁽¹⁾</u> | | | | | | 1U % | (1) 2 | | |
| 6 East Orange County Wtr District | | 2.58% | | | \$ | 2,131 | \$ | 6,728 | \$ 8,859 | | | \$ | 2,174 | \$ | | \$ | 8,931 |
| 7 Irvine Ranch Wtr Dist (NonBaker and Baker Project) | 7,200 | 30.68% | \$ | 7,828 | | 25,346 | | 35,858 | 69,032 | \$ | 8,085 | | 25,850 | ; | 36,010 | | 69,945 |
| 8 Santa Margarita Wtr Dist (Baker Project) | 8,454 | 22.28% | | 9,191 | | 18,407 | | 9,425 | 37,023 | | 9,492 | | 18,772 | | 9,465 | | 37,729 |
| 9 Trabuco Canyon Wtr Dist (NonBaker and Baker Project | 3,778 | 13.71% | | 4,107 | | 11,327 | | 5,754 | 21,188 | | 4,242 | | 11,551 | | 5,778 | | 21,571 |
| 0 El Toro Wtr Dist (Baker Project) | 3,342 | 8.54% | | 3,633 | | 7,055 | | 3,565 | 14,253 | | 3,753 | | 7,195 | | 3,580 | | 14,528 |
| 1 Moulton Niguel Wtr Dist (Baker Project) | 8,454 | 22.21% | | 9,191 | | 18,349 | | 9,270 | 36,810 | | 9,493 | | 18,713 | | 9,309 | | 37,515 |
| 2 Total | 31,228 | 100.00% | \$ | 33,950 | \$ | 82,615 | \$ | 70,600 | \$ 187,165 | \$ | 35,065 | \$ | 84,255 | \$ | 70,900 | \$ | 190,220 |
| | | | \$ | 1.09 | | | | | | | 1.12 | | | | | | |

| ⁽¹⁾ SAC Landscape Budget- Reach 1U Percentage | | | FY 2023 - 24 | FY 2024 - 25 | | | |
|--|---------|----|--------------|--------------|--------|--|--|
| 24 East Orange County Water District | 9.53% | \$ | 6,728 | \$ | 6,757 | | |
| 25 Irvine Ranch Water District | 50.79% | | 35,858 | | 36,010 | | |
| 26 Santa Margarita Water District | 13.35% | | 9,425 | | 9,465 | | |
| 27 Trabuco Canyon Water District | 8.15% | | 5,754 | | 5,778 | | |
| 28 El Toro Water District | 5.05% | | 3,565 | | 3,580 | | |
| 29 Moulton Niguel Water District | 13.13% | | 9,270 | | 9,309 | | |
| 30 Total | 100.00% | \$ | 70,600 | \$ | 70,900 | | |

Note: This page is intentionally left blank.

EXHIBIT "B" SANTIAGO AQUEDUCT COMMISSION PROPOSED BUDGET FY 2023-24 OPERATIONS & MAINTENANCE ASSUMPTIONS

CONTRACT LABOR AND EQUIPMENT

OPERATIONS

 Annual Meter Reading Expense <u>Contract Labor</u> 3 hours per month required Assume 10% contingency (rereads, access issues, etc) 36 hours per year required Meter reader at \$34.48 per hour rate 36 hours X \$34.48 per hour = \$1,241 direct cost Add 150% overhead per agreement or \$1,862

Total direct and overhead labor expense: \$3,103

Equipment Usage 36 hours X \$19.19 per hour (Medium Duty Vehicle) = \$691 However, staff does not always charge usage amount use - \$600

Total Annual Meter Reading Expense: \$3,703

2. System Operations

<u>Contract Labor</u> 1 hours per month or 12 hours per year required – System review/ changes/reports and respond to system problems in the field 12 hours X \$45.68 per hour = \$548 per year direct cost Add 150% overhead per agreement or \$822

Total direct and overhead labor expense: \$1,370

Equipment Usage

12 hours X \$19.19 per hour (Medium Duty Vehicle) = \$230 However, staff does not always charge usage amount use - \$200

Total Annual System Operations Expense: \$1,570

TOTAL OPERATIONS EXPENSE CONTRACT LABOR: \$4,473 – Rounded \$4,500 EQUIPMENT USAGE: \$800 – Rounded \$800

MAINTENANCE

1. Meter Maintenance

Contract Labor

6 hours per year required – Test and calibrate all active SAC Meters 6 hours X \$39.52 per hour = \$237 direct cost Add 150% overhead per agreement or \$356

Total direct and overhead expense: \$593

Equipment Usage 6 hours X \$19.19 per hour (Medium Duty Vehicle) = \$115 However, staff does not always charge usage amount use - \$50

Total Annual Meter Maintenance Expense: \$643

2. Air Vac Valve / Valve Maintenance

<u>Contract Labor</u> 4 hours per year required – Locate, exercise all SAC valves – includes structures maintenance 4 hours X \$39.52 per hour = \$158 direct cost Add 150% overhead per agreement or \$237

Total direct and overhead expense: \$395

Equipment Usage 4 hours X \$19.19 per hour (Medium Duty Vehicle) = \$77 However, staff does not always charge usage amount use - \$20

Total Annual Valve Maintenance Expense: \$415

3. Maintenance and Repairs Contingency

<u>Contract Labor</u> 4 hours X \$39.52 per hour = \$158 direct cost Add 150% overhead per agreement or \$237

Total direct and overhead expense: \$395

Equipment Usage 4 hours X \$19.19 per hour (Medium Duty Vehicle) = \$77 However, staff does not always charge usage amount use - \$20

Total Annual Maintenance and Repairs Contingency: \$415

TOTAL MAINTENANCE EXPENSE CONTRACT LABOR: \$1,383 – Rounded \$1,400 EQUIPMENT USAGE: \$90 – Rounded \$90

ADMINISTRATION/MANAGEMENT

Administration and management of the SAC Commission activities includes a number of responsibilities. Among the responsibilities are: Preparation of all Commission agendas, scheduling of meetings, management of SAC contracts (Liability insurance, engineering fees, consultant management, legal counsel), accounting and treasury functions, and communications with outside entities. This includes payment for services provided by the General Manager.

This is a fixed fee, $1/12^{\text{th}}$ to be paid quarterly. The annual budgeted fixed fee shall be \$32,400.

OUTSIDE SERVICES

From time to time, the Commission will require outside services such as engineering assistance, audit services, contract repair beyond the scope as defined under maintenance, or capital improvements to the SAC system. These are brought to the Commission on a case by case basis or included in the annual budget.

Outside Services are included in the proposed 2023-24 budget as follows:

Operations

1. Telemetry Alarm System/Underground Alert - \$2,225

<u>Maintenance</u>

- 1. Utilities Electrical power for cathodic protection stations \$3,200
- 2. Cathodic Protection System Maintenance \$10,000
- Cathodic Protection System Monitoring Provide corrosion services for the monitoring of the cathodic protection system for the Baker Pipeline: total budget\$30,000
- 4. Landscape \$11,500
- 5. Landscape Project \$70,600

ADMINISTRATION

The proposed administration includes the following:

- 1. General Liability Insurance \$8,350
- 2. Legal Attendance and preparation of minutes for Commission meetings. Budget assumes 3 meetings of the Commission \$4,000
- 3. Bank Charges \$2,000
- 4. Audit Annual audit fee by independent CPA \$6,100

SANTIAGO AQUEDUCT COMMISSION PROPOSED BUDGET FY 2024-25 OPERATIONS & MAINTENANCE ASSUMPTIONS

CONTRACT LABOR AND EQUIPMENT

OPERATIONS

 Annual Meter Reading Expense <u>Contract Labor</u> 3 hours per month required Assume 10% contingency (rereads, access issues, etc) 36 hours per year required Meter reader at \$35.31 per hour rate 36 hours X \$35.31 per hour = \$1,271 direct cost Add 150% overhead per agreement or \$1,907

Total direct and overhead labor expense: \$3,178

Equipment Usage 36 hours X \$19.19 per hour (Medium Duty Vehicle) = \$691 However, staff does not always charge usage amount use - \$600

Total Annual Meter Reading Expense: \$3,778

2. System Operations

<u>Contract Labor</u> 1 hours per month or 48 hours per year required – System review/ changes/reports and respond to system problems in the field 12 hours X \$47.19 per hour = \$566 direct cost Add 150% overhead per agreement or \$849

Total direct and overhead labor expense: \$1,415

Equipment Usage

12 hours X \$19.19 per hour (Medium Duty Vehicle) = 230However, staff does not always charge usage amount use - 200

Total Annual System Operations Expense: \$1,615

TOTAL OPERATIONS EXPENSE CONTRACT LABOR: \$4,593 – Rounded \$4,600 EQUIPMENT USAGE: \$800 – Rounded \$800

MAINTENANCE

1. Meter Maintenance

<u>Contract Labor</u> 6 hours per year required – Test and calibrate all active SAC Meters 6 hours X \$40.83 per hour = \$245 direct cost Add 150% overhead per agreement or \$367

Total direct and overhead expense: \$612

Equipment Usage 6 hours X \$19.19 per hour (Medium Duty Vehicle) = \$115 However, staff does not always charge usage amount use - \$50

Total Annual Meter Maintenance Expense: \$662

2. Air Vac Valve / Valve Maintenance

<u>Contract Labor</u> 4 hours per year required – Locate, exercise all SAC valves – includes structures maintenance 4 hours X \$40.83 per hour = \$163 direct cost Add 150% overhead per agreement or \$245

Total direct and overhead expense: \$408

Equipment Usage 4 hours X \$19.19 per hour (Medium Duty Vehicle) = \$77 However, staff does not always charge usage amount use - \$20

Total Annual Valve Maintenance Expense: \$428

3. Maintenance and Repairs Contingency

<u>Contract Labor</u> 4 hours X \$40.83 per hour = \$163 direct cost Add 150% overhead per agreement or \$245

Total direct and overhead expense: \$408

Equipment Usage 4 hours X \$19.19 per hour (Medium Duty Vehicle) = \$77 However, staff does not always charge usage amount use - \$20

Total Annual Maintenance and Repairs Contingency: \$428

TOTAL MAINTENANCE EXPENSE CONTRACT LABOR: \$1,428 – Rounded \$1,400 EQUIPMENT USAGE: \$90 – Rounded \$90

ADMINISTRATION/MANAGEMENT

Administration and management of the SAC Commission activities includes a number of responsibilities. Among the responsibilities are: Preparation of all Commission agendas, scheduling of meetings, management of SAC contracts (Liability insurance, engineering fees, consultant management, legal counsel), accounting and treasury functions, and communications with outside entities. This includes payment for services provided by the General Manager.

This is a fixed fee, $1/12^{th}$ to be paid quarterly. The annual budgeted fixed fee shall be \$33,600.

OUTSIDE SERVICES

From time to time, the Commission will require outside services such as engineering assistance, audit services, contract repair beyond the scope as defined under maintenance, or capital improvements to the SAC system. These are brought to the Commission on a case by case basis or included in the annual budget.

Outside Services are included in the proposed 2024-25 budget as follows:

Operations

1. Telemetry Alarm System/Underground Alert - \$2,300

Maintenance

- 1. Utilities Electrical power for cathodic protection stations \$3,400
- 2. Cathodic Protection System Maintenance \$10,000
- 3. Cathodic Protection System Monitoring Provide corrosion services for the monitoring of the cathodic protection system for the Baker Pipeline: total budget\$30,000
- 4. Landscape \$12,000
- 5. Landscape Project \$70,900

ADMINISTRATION

The proposed administration includes the following:

- 1. General Liability Insurance \$8,640
- 2. Legal Attendance and preparation of minutes for Commission meetings. Budget assumes 3 meetings of the Commission \$4,120
- 3. Bank Charges \$2,060
- 4. Audit Annual audit fee by independent CPA \$6,310